

North Fergus Property 950 – 960 St. David Street North

Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

Proposed Zoning By-Law Amendment Submission

May 2022

Prepared for: Reid's Heritage Homes R.R.#2 6783 Wellington Road #34 Cambridge, ON N3C 2V4

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd. 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4 Tel: (519) 579-4410 Fax: (519) 579-6733

Project Number: 1614-14172

Sign-off Sheet

This document entitled North Fergus Property, 950 – 960 St. David Street North, Fergus, Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. ("Stantec") for the account of Reid's Heritage Homes (the "Client") to support the permitting process for Client's application for a Zoning By-Law Amendment Submission (the "Application") for the North Fergus Property (the "Project"). In connection thereto, this document may be reviewed and used by the provincial and municipal government agencies participating in the permitting process in the normal course of their duties. Except as set forth in the previous sentence, any reliance on this document by any third party for any other purpose is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and the Client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

Jacquelin Fellimare (signature) Prepared by:

Jackie Bellemare, EIT Project Coordinator

Stormwater Management Prepared by:

(signature)

Maximilian Ornat Water Resources Specialist

Reviewed by:

(signature)

Trevor Fraser, P.Eng Project Manager, Community Development

Stormwater Management Reviewed by:

· W aleraugh Br

(signature)

Kate Macnaughton, P.Eng Water Resources Engineer



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Table of Contents

1.0 1 1		1.1
1.1		
2.0	OVERALL GRADING AND DRAINAGE	2.1
2.1		
2.2	PROPOSED GRADING	2.1
2.0		2.2. 0.4
3.0	SANITARY SERVICING	3.1
4.0	WATER SERVICING	4.1
4.1	WATER SERVICING STRATEGY	4.1
4.2		4.1
4.3	FIRE FLOW REQUIREMENTS	4.1
5.0	STORM DRAINAGE	5.1
6.0	HYDROGEOLOGY	6.1
7.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	7.1
7.1	OVERVIEW	7.1
	7.1.1 Adjacent Commercial Property Consideration	7.1
	7.1.2 Design Approach	7.1
7 0		
7.Z		
7.5	7.3.1 Topography and Existing Surface Drainage	
	7.3.2 Soils and Hydrogeology	7.3
7.4	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN	7.3
	7.4.1 Hydrologic Modeling	7.3
	7.4.2 Proposed SWM Strategy	7.10
7.5	DETAILED DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	7.15
8.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	8.1
9.0	UTILITIES	9.1
9.1	CW HYDRO	9.1
9.2	ENBRIDGE GAS	9.1
9.3	BELL CANADA	9.1
9.4	ROGERS CABLE	9.1
10.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	10.1



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

LIST OF FIGURES AND DRAWINGS

Figure 1: Site Location Plan	1.2
Figure 2: Drainage Area Plan Pre-Development	7.6
Figure 3: Drainage Area Plan Post-Development	7.9

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Rainfall Events and Parameters	7.4
Table 2: Flow Summary	7.13
Table 3: Underground Attenuation Storage Characteristics	7.14
Table 4: Infiltration Facility Characteristics	7.14

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A DRAWINGS:

Existing Conditions, Removals, and ESC Plan (REM-1) Conceptual Servicing Plan (SSP-1) Conceptual Grading Plan (GP-1) Conceptual Earthworks Isopac Plan (GP-2)

APPENDIX B SANITARY DESIGN AND WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS: Application for Servicing Capacity Check Sanitary Sewer Design Calculations Water Demand Calculations

APPENDIX C STORMWATER MANAGEMENT: Stormwater Management Calculations Nearby Infiltration Testing Results StormTech Details OGS Sizing Calculations CB Shield Removal Rates

- APPENDIX D UTILITY CORRESPONDENCE
- APPENDIX E CORRESPONDENCE



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Introduction May 2022

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Stantec Consulting Ltd. was retained by Reid's Heritage Homes (Reid's) to complete a Functional Servicing Report (FSR) in support of a Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBA) application related to the lands municipally known as 950 and 960 St. David Street North, Fergus, Township of Centre Wellington (the "subject lands"). The 1.97 ha area is currently occupied by a commercial development complete with asphalt surface and parking lot on the south portion (950 St. David Street North) and vacant grassed area and agricultural area on the north portion (960 St. David Street North). The lands are bound by St. David Street North (Highway 6) to the south, agricultural land to the west, open space protected environmental area to the north, and an existing mid-rise residential development to the east. Refer to Figure 1 for the Site Location Plan.

The proposed ZBA application is required to permit the development of the subject lands. The lands are proposed to be developed into a 1.30 ha residential townhouse development (the "site") complete with 112 stacked townhouses and a common amenity area to the north and a 0.67 ha commercial development (the "commercial property") fronting St. David Street North. Access to the site will be provided by a private access road along the east side of the property, also providing vehicular access to the proposed commercial property. The proposed development is illustrated on the Concept Plan prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd., dated April 27, 2022, located in Appendix A.

The purpose of this FSR is to outline how the subject lands can be developed with adequate municipal services including sanitary, domestic water, stormwater management (SWM) and utilities in support of the ZBA application.

1.1 BACKGROUND REPORTS AND DOCUMENTATION

Supplementary reports that should be read in conjunction with this report include:

 Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation – 950 – 960 St. David Street North, Fergus, Ontario dated December 17, 2021 – prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd.

The servicing strategies presented in the report are preliminary. Detailed engineering drawings and a final SWM Report will be submitted as part of the engineering detailed design process, once the subject lands have received ZBA approval.





FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Overall Grading and Drainage May 2022

2.0 OVERALL GRADING AND DRAINAGE

2.1 EXISTING SITE TOPOGRAPHY AND LAND USE

The subject lands are currently occupied by an existing commercial property complete with an asphalt parking lot fronting St. David Street N and an agricultural area on the north half of the property. A swale is located in the middle of the subject lands directing drainage to the east. The subject lands are currently zoned Highway Commercial (C2) area. Open space zoned Environmentally Protected is located directly north and east of the property containing an existing wetland.

The subject lands are generally flat with bounding elevations of approximately 422.0 m above mean sea level (ASL) at St. David Street North and along the west property line, and 421.0 m ASL at along the east property line. The lands slope gently from St. David Street towards the northeast corner of the property. The majority of runoff is therefore directed to the east/rear of site and discharging to the existing wetland/ agricultural drain, on Township owned lands.

The Existing Conditions Plan (C-050) is provided in Appendix A.

2.2 AREA GRADING AND ROAD PROFILE DESIGN CONSTRAINTS

Based on the proposed Concept Plan, the following design constraints and criteria were used in the preliminary grading design:

- Match existing road elevations (i.e., St. David Street North)
- Match existing boundary grades around the perimeter of property
- Match existing grades, where possible, to minimize grading and cut/fill quantities and minimize changes to the surface hydrology and hydrogeology of the area
- Satisfy the Township of Centre Wellington's requirements for minimum and maximum road grades
- Ensure a 1.0 m separation above high groundwater to the underside elevation of proposed infiltration facilities
- Ensure 0.5 m separation from high groundwater to proposed finished floor elevations
- Maintain adequate cover over storm and sanitary sewers, and watermains
- Meet AODA standards for site accessibility
- Provide a major overland flow route for emergency overflow runoff (i.e., greater than the 100-year rainfall event)



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Overall Grading and Drainage May 2022

2.3 PROPOSED GRADING

The proposed preliminary grading has been completed in accordance with the design constraints outlined in Section 2.2 in order to match existing grades along adjacent roadways and property lines. Where matching to adjacent properties could not be achieved via 3:1 transition slopes, retaining walls have been proposed. Retaining walls are currently proposed along the majority of the property limits and will be eliminated or reduced in height where possible at detailed engineering design. The site is generally proposed to be built-up in elevation from the property boundaries sloping upwards to the stacked townhouse units. A proposed central low-lying area will collect overland runoff for minor storm events.

In general, the residential site is proposed to be raised an average of 1-3 m to provide sufficient clearance from the highest recorded high groundwater level in the development area to allow for infiltration facilities to be feasible. The proposed commercial development will be raised 1-2 m to allow for blending to both St. David Street North and the proposed residential site. An ongoing hydrogeological investigation is being completed to confirm high groundwater levels and any engineering design revisions will be completed by Stantec through the site plan approval process.

The proposed grading generally ranges from 2.0% to 5.0% slopes. The proposed internal road profiles are relatively smooth with grades ranging from a minimum of 0.5% to a maximum of 5.0%. This proposed grading allows for the majority of runoff to be collected and directed via storm sewers and overland flow to the on-site infiltration galleries. The major overland flow route is located within the roadway and spills over the retaining wall at the northeast corner of site to the existing wetland/ agricultural drain on Township owned land.

A proposed trail through the site is intended to connect the site to the existing park to the north of the site. Due to the limitations of the site grades, an 8% slope or steps will be required along the trail at the rear of the site between the two blocks of townhomes as shown on GP-1. If possible, this slope will be reduced at detailed design in an effort to remain less than 5% to meet AODA requirements.

The Grading Plan is illustrated on drawing GP-1 located in Appendix A.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Sanitary Servicing May 2022

3.0 SANITARY SERVICING

Sanitary servicing for the site will be provided via the existing 300 mm diameter municipal sanitary sewer located on St. David Street North. The sanitary service connection will be complete with a manhole and drop structure at the intersection of St David Street North and the site access, from which a 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer service will extend along the site access road and service the 112 proposed townhouse units. The proposed sewer will be located within the private site access road at an approximate depth of 3.0 - 4.0 m ensuring sanitary service connections maintain a 2% slope to the buildings per OBC/Township requirements.

The redevelopment of the commercial property is set to commence after the residential development at an undetermined future date. As such, during servicing of the residential parcel, the existing sanitary sewer lateral servicing the commercial property directly from St. David Street N will remain. It is anticipated that a new sanitary service will be required and constructed at the time of the commercial redevelopment and will be confirmed during the future Site Plan Application process for the commercial property. Provision may be made for the future commercial development to be serviced from the proposed sanitary sewer branch extending to the townhouse development within the private site access road. A second option is to upgrade the capacity of the existing services and maintain their current locations. A preferred option will be presented at detailed engineering design of the residential property with input from Township staff.

Preliminary sanitary peak flow demands were estimated for the development. The peak demands were calculated in accordance with the Township of Centre Wellington Development Manual with a residential flow of 0.004 l/s/c and commercial flow of 0.6 l/s/ha. Infiltration was accounted for at 0.15 L/s/ha. The residential population was calculated with an assumed density of 2.5 people per townhouse unit. The peak sanitary flow discharge was calculated to be approximately 5.4 L/s for the entire subject lands.

The preliminary sanitary servicing plan is illustrated on drawing C-100 in Appendix A, and the preliminary design calculations are provided in Appendix B.

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Water Servicing May 2022

4.0 WATER SERVICING

4.1 WATER SERVICING STRATEGY

Water servicing for the site will be provided via connection to the existing 300 mm diameter municipal watermain on St. David Street North. An appropriately sized watermain will be extended to the site to service the proposed townhouse development. 25mm diameter service laterals will service the individual townhouse units.

The closest fire hydrant to the site is located on St. David Street North approximately 65 m south of the site. The proposed townhouse development does not fall completely within the service radius of existing hydrants and as such, fire protection for the site will be provided from proposed fire hydrants to service the townhouse development. Specific hydrant locations within the site will be provided at Site Plan Approval.

Water demand requirements are presented in Section 4.2, and the proposed water servicing strategy is illustrated on Drawing C-100 in Appendix A.

4.2 DOMESTIC USE

Based on the domestic water demand calculations completed for the proposed townhouse development included in Appendix B, full occupancy is expected to have an average day demand of 63,000 L/day (0.73 L/s), a maximum day demand of 126,000 L/day (1.46 L/s), and a peak hour demand of approximately 189,000 L/day (2.19 L/s). The projected domestic water demand is calculated based on the following criteria for the proposed development:

- Townhouse Development: Projected population of 280 persons for 112 townhouse units at a population density of 2.5 persons/household
- An average day water demand rate of 225 L/cap/day
- A maximum day demand factor of 2.0, and a peak hour factor of 3.0.

It is understood that the Township will review and confirm the above-noted criteria or advise of any necessary revisions. It should be noted that the water demand projections are considered preliminary at this stage and will be refined through detailed engineering design and upon confirmation of the design criteria by the Township.

4.3 FIRE FLOW REQUIREMENTS

Per the Township of Centre Wellington design criteria, the fire flow requirements for any development shall be determined in accordance with the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) methodology. Accordingly, the fire flow demand for the proposed development is determined using the *Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, A Guide to Recommended Practice (1999), Fire Underwriter's Survey (FUS)*. Based on the FUS manual, the required fire flows are as follows:



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Water Servicing May 2022

- Modern semi and detached home > 3 m separation 4,000 L/min (67 L/s)
- Modern semi and detached home < 3 m separation 6,000 L/min (100 L/s)
- High-density, contiguous multi-block homes 8,000 L/min (133 L/s)

The proposed townhouse development area is projected to have a fire flow requirement of 133 L/s per the above-noted criteria. It is understood that the township will review and confirm the above-noted criteria or advise of any necessary revisions.

We trust the above-noted preliminary domestic demands and fire flow requirements will allow the Township of Centre Wellington to confirm adequate flow and pressure exist in the existing water distribution system.

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Storm Drainage May 2022

5.0 STORM DRAINAGE

The proposed grading strategy for the subject lands generally conveys all stormwater runoff to on-site infiltration facilities via storm sewers and overland flow grading strategies. Details of the SWM strategy are discussed in Section 8 of this report. Rooftop runoff from both the proposed residential units and proposed commercial building is to be directed to clean water collector pipes and ultimately to on-site infiltration facilities per Township recommendation. The system has been sized for the 25 mm rainfall event. "Dirty" stormwater runoff will be collected from the roadways and conveyed to on-site oil-grit separator (OGS) units for quality control. For the residential site, treated drainage will then discharge to the proposed SWM storage facility for on-site attenuation before discharging via storm sewers to the outlet at the northeast corner of site. From there, stormwater flows will enter the existing wetland to the north of site, similar to existing conditions. For the commercial site, following stormwater quality treatment, runoff will discharge to the east, similar to existing conditions. A culvert is proposed to span underneath the private access at the entrance to site in order to maintain existing ditch flows along St. David Street North.

The storm sewers/clean water collection system will be constructed on-site such to pick-up and convey all runoff to the at-source infiltration locations on-site where possible. Sewers and a clean water collection system will be installed generally underneath the private roadway and will be sized appropriately throughout detailed design.

Allowance will be made for sump pumps to discharge to grade or provision may be made to pump directly to infiltration facilities if possible.

Appropriately sized storm sewers are proposed on the commercial property to convey the majority of the runoff to the existing swale east of site and ultimately discharging to the stream to the north.

The preliminary storm servicing plan is illustrated in the Conceptual Servicing Plan located in Appendix A.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Hydrogeology May 2022

6.0 HYDROGEOLOGY

A hydrogeological assessment was conducted by Stantec to assess the baseline geological and groundwater conditions on-site and evaluate how the proposed development may impact the existing hydrogeological regime of the development area. Where necessary, potential mitigation strategies are recommended to ensure post-development groundwater functions mimic pre-development conditions.

It was found that shallow overburden soil across the site generally consists of native silty sand to sandy silt interpreted as Grand River Outwash (AFA2) deposits overlying glacial tills consisting of silty sand to sandy silt till interpreted as Port Stanley Till (ATB1), and clayey silt to silty clay till interpreted as Maryhill Till and Glaciolacustrine Sediments (ATB3). Bedrock was not encountered during the investigation. Regional mapping indicates top of bedrock surface occurs about 40 m to 50 m below ground surface (BGS) in the area.

Groundwater monitoring was conducted from November 2021 to March 2022 and it was found that the depth to groundwater in the monitoring wells across the site ranged from approximately 0.05 m above ground surface to 1.74 m BGS corresponding to elevations ranging from approximately 419.27 m AMSL to 421.62 m AMSL. It was noted that the water table is likely to be higher beneath the site during the traditionally wetter periods of the year (e.g., April to May) than what was recorded during the investigation. Continuous groundwater level monitoring within the existing on-site monitoring wells has commenced and is scheduled to continue to confirm the seasonal high groundwater condition in support of the future redevelopment plan for the site.

Shallow groundwater flow at the site is interpreted to be easterly toward the adjacent unnamed stream, and the site is located within a groundwater recharge area. As such, it is recommended that the suitability of using Low Impact Development (LID) stormwater management strategies for the site be evaluated to assist in achieving the maximum groundwater recharge possible under the post-development conditions. Preliminary estimates of infiltration rates for the overburden soils encountered at depths between 1.2 m and 9.1 m BGS ranged from 29 mm/hour to 52 mm/hour for sand / silt / sandy silt till deposits (AFA2 / ATB1), and from 8 mm/hour to 10 mm/hour for silty clay till deposits (ATB3).

Private supply wells are present on nearby properties. The nearby overburden private supply wells are predominantly shallow installations, constructed to depths less than 10 m BGS. Based on overburden conditions observed beneath the site and surrounding area, there is potential for well interference with shallow overburden supply wells nearby the site. The need for and extent of private well monitoring near areas where on-site excavation is required should be confirmed as part of the supporting documentation for any PTTW application/EASR registration. High dewatering volumes are anticipated for excavations extending below the water table on-site, however excavations on-site are not expected to extend below the water table.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Hydrogeology May 2022

The site is located within WHPA-C with a vulnerability score of 6. The Source Protection Plan (SPP) for the Township of Centre Wellington includes policies related chemicals and DNAPL storage/handling activities which apply to WHPA-C with vulnerability scores of 6. However, Stantec understands these actives are not expected as part of the future redevelopment plan for the site. The site is also located within WHPA-Q assigned a risk level of significant. As such, Stantec anticipates that the Township of Centre Wellington may require the completion of a water balance as part of Site Plan approvals and expect that pre-development infiltration volumes at the site be maintained under the post-development conditions.

Portions of the Site intercept a SGRA and IPZ-3, with assigned low and/or moderate vulnerability scores. No protection policies are specified in the SPP for SGRA and IPZ-3 and, subsequently, such policies will not be applicable to the future development of the Site.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

7.1 OVERVIEW

The subject lands are 1.97 ha and are located within the Township of Centre Wellington (Township), as illustrated on Figure 1. Note that the attached figures show an arrow indicating true north, but for the purposes of this memo, all directional discussion is in reference to "construction" north, which is considered to be the back of the residential property (i.e., furthest property line from Highway 6 (Hwy 6)), and Hwy 6 at "construction" south. The lands are bounded by St. David Street North (Hwy 6) to the south, agricultural and farmland to the north and west, and multi-residential buildings to the east. The lands are under the jurisdiction of the Grand River Conservation Authority (GRCA) and is located within the West Montrose - Grand River Watershed.

7.1.1 Adjacent Commercial Property Consideration

The commercial property, immediately to the south of the site (commercial property) will be redeveloped in the near future, likely following development of the townhouse development. This ZBA application is for the entire property, both residential and commercial, and as such, existing and future drainage for the commercial property has been considered and a conceptual assessment of SWM measures has been identified and sized based upon a preliminary site plan that has been provided for that property.

7.1.2 Design Approach

The preliminary SWM plan ensures that the proposed development includes the necessary controls to protect the hydrology of the receiving water systems and meets the design criteria established through consultation with Township staff.

The approach employed in completing the SWM design for this development is summarized in the following tasks:

- Preliminary assessment of available information, previous reports, comments provided by the Township, and existing field conditions to identify grading and drainage constraints.
- Completion of hydrologic models for the existing and proposed conditions to determine runoff volumes and peak flow rates to downstream areas.
- Preliminary design of the SWM infrastructure that will control runoff from the site and the commercial property in a manner that will mitigate potential impacts resulting from the proposed development.
- Assessment of erosion potential and provision of a preliminary Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plan.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

7.1.3 Background Information

The following studies, drawings, and correspondence have been reviewed in conjunction with this study:

- Hydrogeological summary email to/from Trevor Fraser/Nicole Semper, Stantec, April 29, 2022.
- Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation 950-960 St. David Street North, Fergus, Ontario. Memo to Mr. Kevin Fergin (Reid's Heritage Homes), Stantec, December 17, 2021
- Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, 2019.
- Draft Development Manual, Township of Centre Wellington, March 2018
- Gordon Grove Estates Stormwater Management Facility and Typical Details (Sheets 12 and 13 of 14), As Constructed drawings, Philips Planning and Engineering Ltd., May 2001.

7.2 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CRITERIA

Based on discussions with Township staff and typical GRCA requirements, the following stormwater management criteria have been assumed for the site.

Water Quality – Provide an Enhanced level of water quality control (i.e., 80% removal of total suspended solids - TSS).

Water Quantity – Control post-development flow rates to pre-development flow rates for all storm events up to and including the 100-year event. Provide conveyance for storms greater than the 100-year event.

Infiltration – Infiltration of rooftop runoff is to be provided where possible.

7.3 EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES

The proposed residential site is currently used primarily for agricultural purposes. Site access to Hwy 6 is asphalt and hard-packed gravel and currently used by the existing business on the Commercial property. The Commercial property is primarily asphalt and hard-packed gravel with some meadow along the northern limit.

7.3.1 Topography and Existing Surface Drainage

Existing topography across both the site and the commercial property is generally flat with elevations ranging from approximately 420.3 mASL to 422.0 mASL, and slopes ranging from 0% to 2%.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

Runoff from most of the site is directed northward by a combination of sheet flow and an existing swale immediately east of the site to an agricultural drain on Township owned lands located approximately 55 m north of the site. Small portions of the site drain via sheet flow westward to adjacent agricultural lands and southward to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch.

Runoff from the commercial property is conveyed via sheet flow and conveyed almost equally to agricultural lands to the west, the Hwy 6 roadside ditch, and across the site to the swale immediately east of the Site.

Existing drainage conditions are discussed in greater detail in Section 4, below.

7.3.2 Soils and Hydrogeology

The Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation identified site soils as fill and / or topsoil overlying native deposits of silt and sand, which in turn were underlain by glacial till. Preliminary estimates of infiltration rates for the overburden soils encountered at depths between 1.2 m and 9.1 m below ground surface, ranged from 29 mm/hour to 52 mm/hour for sand / silt / sandy silt till deposits, and from 8 mm/hour to 10 mm/hour for silty clay till deposits. For the purposes of this study, an infiltration rate of 15 mm/hour has been assumed for both the site and the commercial property.

Groundwater levels range from approximately 419.27 mASL to 421.62 mASL. The water table is likely to be higher beneath the site during the traditionally wetter periods of the year (e.g., April to May) than what has been recorded during the investigation and therefore continuous groundwater monitoring has been initiated to confirm the seasonal high groundwater condition in support of future development.

7.4 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN

The following sections discuss existing and proposed drainage conditions and the proposed SWM measures that will be implemented to meet the quality, quantity and infiltration criteria for the Site and the Commercial property.

7.4.1 Hydrologic Modeling

The modelling software SWMHYMO was used to predict flows for the existing and proposed development conditions and to estimate the required storage volumes for the SWM system. To address the criteria, existing and proposed development conditions were modeled for the following rainfall events:

- The 25 mm, 4-hour Chicago distribution derived from City of Guelph IDF parameters
- The 5- and 100-year, 3-hour Chicago distributions derived from Shand Dam (Belwood) IDF parameters (per Township instruction)
- The 48-hour Regional event (Hurricane Hazel)



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

Return Period	Α	В	С	Depth (mm)		
	City Of Guelph IDF Parameters					
25 mm, 4-hour 509 6		0.799	25.0			
	Shand Dam (Belwood) IDF Parameters					
5-year, 3-hour	547.5	0.938	0.7025	42.75		
100-year, 3-hour	907.5	0.1250	0.7025	70.85		
Hurricane Hazel				285		

Table 1: Rainfall Events and Parameters

7.4.1.1 Hydrologic Modeling Assumptions

The following assumptions were made to complete the hydrologic analyses:

- Northward flowing runoff from the site ultimately reaches the existing agricultural drain on Township owned lands to the north.
- A legal outlet can be provided/constructed within the property immediately north of the site. This may include construction of a new swale that would convey runoff to the existing agricultural drain, north of the site. It has further been assumed that existing grades north of the site allow for positive drainage from the proposed swale to the agricultural drain.
- The agricultural drain to the north can be utilized as the ultimate discharge location for runoff from the site and that quantity control requirements will not be more stringent than those identified above.
- Highway 6 does not have a viable storm sewer outlet for site discharge.
- The existing swale along the eastern site limit is a free-flowing outlet, flows northward, and ultimately discharges to the existing agricultural drain to the north.
- The existing swale along the eastern site limit can be used as an outlet following development.
- The neighboring commercial property will be developed after site development and will primarily drain eastward, to the existing swale along the eastern site limit.
- SWM requirements and criteria for the commercial property are the same as those identified for the site.

7.4.1.2 Existing Conditions

Existing conditions drainage catchments are illustrated on Figure 2 and summarized as follows:

Catchment 100 (Residential site) - 1.19 ha of agricultural land draining northward via sheet flow.

Catchment 102 (Residential site) – 0.22 ha of agricultural land draining east via an existing agricultural swale and/or tile drain to the northward running swale, immediately east of the site. The swale east of the site ultimately discharges to the existing agricultural drain, north of the site.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

Catchment 104 (Residential site) – 0.07 ha of existing asphalt and gravel area, draining east via sheet flow to the existing northward running swale, immediately east of the site.

Catchment 110 (Residential site) – 0.07 ha of agricultural land draining westward via sheet flow.

Catchment 120 (Residential site)– 0.06 ha of asphalt and gravel parking area, draining south toward the Hwy 6 roadside ditch.

Catchment 200 (Commercial site) - 0.26 ha of asphalt, gravel, meadow, and rooftop draining via sheet flow onto the site (Catchment 102) and then via swale and/or tile drain north to the existing swale, immediately east of the site.

Catchment 210 (Commercial site) – 0.19 ha of asphalt, gravel, and grassed area draining westward via sheet flow.

Catchment 220 (Commercial site)– 0.22 ha of asphalt and rooftop draining southward via sheet flow to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch.







FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

7.4.1.3 Proposed Conditions

Under proposed conditions, the site will include 5 stacked townhouse blocks (112 units), paved entrance, a paved parking lot, and landscaped amenity area. It is understood that the site will be raised by an average of 1 m to 3 m and grades will range from 2% to 5% with 3:1 transition sloping where required at the limits of the property. With the exception of 0.07 ha that will drain to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch, runoff from the entire site will be directed to the agricultural drain, north of the site, via the existing swale immediately east of the site and a new swale to be constructed at the northern site limit.

For the purposes of this study, it has been assumed that runoff from the impervious areas of the commercial property will be directed to the swale immediately east of the site. The commercial area will be redeveloped complete with a proposed commercial/ retail building, loading area and parking in the future. Landscaped areas of the commercial property will drain westward and southward to agricultural lands and the Hwy 6 roadside ditch.

Proposed conditions drainage catchments are illustrated on Figure 3 (attached) and summarized as follows:

Catchment 300 (Residential site) – 0.07 ha of paved entranceway will drain uncontrolled to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch.

Catchment 305 (Residential site) – 0.09 ha of paved entranceway, will be conveyed along the surface to a catchbasin manhole at the low point and then discharged, uncontrolled to the existing swale immediately east of the Site. This swale ultimately discharges to the agricultural drain, north of the site.

Catchment 310 (Residential site) - 0.11 ha of landscaped area. Runoff from all storms up to and including the 100-year event will be infiltrated. Excess runoff (i.e. greater than 100-year event) will be conveyed westward to agricultural lands via sheet flow.

Catchment 320 (Residential site) – 0.09 ha of landscaped area will drain northward, uncontrolled, via sheet flow towards the existing agricultural drain to the north.

Catchment 340 (Residential site) - 0.08 ha of landscaped area. Runoff from all storms up to and including the 100-year event will be infiltrated. Excess runoff (i.e. greater than 100-year event) will be conveyed eastward to the existing swale immediately east of the site.

Catchment 350 (Residential site) - 0.59 ha of parking lot and landscaped amenity area. All runoff will be collected within catchbasins and directed to an underground storage facility before discharging to the new swale at the northern site limit.

Catchment 360 to 395 (Residential site) - 0.27 ha of townhouse rooftop areas. 25 mm storm event will be directed to infiltration facilities. Excess runoff will be directed to the parking lot, then to an underground storage facility before discharging to the new swale at the northern site limit.

Catchment 400 (Commercial site) – 0.05 ha of landscaped area will be directed to the commercial parking lot, underground storage, and to the existing swale immediately east of the site.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

Catchment 410 (Commercial site) - 0.31 ha of parking lot for the commercial property. All runoff will be collected within catchbasins and directed to an underground storage facility before discharging to the existing swale immediately east of the site.

Catchment 420 (Commercial site) – 0.13 ha of landscaped area will drain uncontrolled to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch.

Catchment 430 (Commercial site) – 0.14 ha of rooftop area. 25 mm storm event will be directed to an infiltration facility. Excess runoff will be directed to the commercial parking lot, then to an underground storage facility and discharged to the existing swale immediately east of the site.

Catchment 440 (Commercial site) – 0.04 ha of landscaped area will drain westward to agricultural lands, uncontrolled.





FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

7.4.2 Proposed SWM Strategy

The following sections discuss the proposed SWM measures for the site and the commercial property to meet the criteria discussed in Section 2, above. The two properties will have separate owners in the future and it is assumed they will not be developed simultaneously. As such, SWM measures have been proposed for each site individually; however, the measures will work in tandem to ensure that individual and combined peak flow rates of either site can be effectively attenuated. SWM measures are illustrated on the Preliminary Servicing Plan located in Appendix A.

7.4.2.1 Water Quality Control

Enhanced water quality control for the site and the commercial property will be provided by a combination of catchbasin shields, oil and grit separators (OGS), and grassed swales, as discussed in the following sections. All OGS units have been sized to provide Enhanced (i.e. 80% TSS removal) water quality control (see attached sizing documents).

7.4.2.1.1 Site Water Quality Control

- Flows to existing swale immediately east of the site
 - Catchment 305 (Site entrance) all runoff will be collected by a catchbasin and conveyed, along with runoff from the Commercial property parking area, through an OGS (ADS FD-4HC or approved equivalent) prior to discharging to the existing swale immediately east of the site, which will provide additional cleansing of runoff.

• Flows to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch

 Catchment 300 (Site entrance) - as previously discussed, the site entrance at Hwy 6 is similar to existing Catchment 120 in both size and imperviousness and no quality control will be provided onsite. Flows from this area will discharge to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch, which is vegetated and at a shallow slope and will therefore provide some measure of cleansing of runoff as per existing conditions.

• Flows to new swale and agricultural drain, north of site

- Catchment 350 (parking) all runoff will be conveyed to an OGS (ADS FD-4HC or approved equivalent) located immediately downstream of the underground storage facility.
- Catchments 360-395 (rooftop) although considered clean and not requiring quality control, due to the proposed storm sewer configuration, all rooftop runoff (Catchments 360 to 395) in excess of the 25 mm storm event will also be conveyed through the parking lot OGS.
- The OGS will discharge to a new vegetated swale that will provide further cleansing of runoff before discharging to the agricultural drain to the north.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

Landscaped areas

o Catchments 310, 320, 340 - No quality control is required for the site landscaped areas.

7.4.2.1.2 Commercial Property Water Quality Control

· Flows to existing swale immediately east of the site

- Catchment 410 (parking) all runoff will be conveyed to an OGS (ADS FD-4HC or approved equivalent) located downstream of the Commercial property underground storage.
- Catchment 430 (rooftop) although considered clean and not requiring quality control, all rooftop runoff (Catchment 430) in excess of the 25 mm storm event will also be conveyed through the OGS due to the proposed storm sewer configuration.
- The OGS will discharge to the existing swale immediately east of the site, which will provide additional cleansing of runoff.

Landscaped areas

 Catchments 400, 420, 440 - no quality control is required for the site landscaped areas, however due to the proposed final grading configuration, it is likely that runoff from Catchment 400 will be conveyed through the OGS.

7.4.2.2 Water Quantity Control

As mentioned above, the two properties will have separate owners and are not anticipated to be developed simultaneously. As such, SWM measures have been proposed for each site individually; however, as shown in Table 2, the measures will ultimately work in tandem to ensure that individual and combined peak storm flow rates from the site will not exceed existing rates for all storms up to and including the Regional event (this exceeds the requirement to match flows up to the 100-year event).

7.4.2.3 Site Water Quantity Control

Post development flows from the site will be controlled to existing peak rates through a combination of underground storage and infiltration as illustrated on the Preliminary Servicing Plan and as follows:

• Flows to existing swale immediately east of the site

- Catchment 305 (Site entrance) runoff will be collected within a DCBMH and discharged uncontrolled to the swale east of the site, as under existing conditions.
- Catchment 340 (landscaped) all runoff up to and including the 100-year event will be captured and infiltrated within an infiltration facility. Excess runoff will be conveyed via sheet flow to the existing swale, east of the site.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

• Flows to the existing Hwy 6 roadside ditch

 Catchment 300 (Site entrance) – these flows will be discharged, uncontrolled via sheet flow, to the existing Hwy 6 roadside ditch, as under existing conditions.

• Flows to agricultural lands to the west

 Catchment 310 (landscaped) - all runoff up to and including the 100-year event will be captured and infiltrated within an infiltration facility. Excess runoff will be conveyed via sheet flow to the agricultural lands to the west.

• Flows to new swale and agricultural drain, north of site

- Catchment 350 (parking) all runoff will be captured and conveyed to the underground storage facility for quantity control and then discharged at a reduced rate to the proposed swale north of the site that will convey flows to the existing agricultural drain on Township owned Lands.
- Catchments 360-395 (rooftop) Runoff from the 25 mm storm event will be captured and infiltrated within infiltration facilities. Excess runoff will be directed to the parking lot and conveyed to the underground storage facility and then to the proposed swale north of the site and ultimately to the agricultural drain on Township owned Lands.

7.4.2.4 Commercial Property Water Quantity Control

• Flows to existing swale immediately east of the site

- Catchment 410 (parking) all runoff will be captured and conveyed to an underground storage facility for quantity control and then discharged at a reduced rate to the existing swale, east of the site.
- Catchment 430 (rooftop) Runoff from the 25 mm storm event will be captured and infiltrated within an infiltration facility. Excess runoff will be directed to the parking lot and conveyed to the underground storage facility for quantity control and ultimately to the existing swale, east of the site.

• Flows to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch

 Catchment 420 (landscaped) – all runoff will be conveyed via uncontrolled sheet flow to the existing Hwy 6 roadside ditch.

• Flows to agricultural lands to the west

• Catchment 440 (landscaped) - all runoff will be conveyed via uncontrolled sheet flow to the existing agricultural lands to the west.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

Storm Event	25	mm	5-1	Year	100	-Year	Re	egional
Flow (m ³ /s)								
Location	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed	Existing	Proposed
Flow to existing ag	Flow to existing agricultural drain to the north							
Site = Total North	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.07
Flow to existing sv	vale immed	liately east	of site – ult	timately con	veyed to ag	ricultural dra	ain north of	fsite
Site	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.01
Commercial	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.05	0.04	0.05
Total	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.08	0.06
Combined flow fro	m north an	d east to ag	gricultural of	drain north o	of site			
Site	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.16	0.08
Commercial	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.05	0.04	0.05
Total	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.13
Flow to agricultura	al lands to f	the west						
Site	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
Commercial	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.10	0.03	0.01
Total	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.10	0.04	0.01
Flow to Hwy 6 road	dside ditch							
Site	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.01
Commercial	0.04	0.00	0.10	0.01	0.16	0.03	0.03	0.02
Total	0.05	0.01	0.12	0.04	0.21	0.07	0.04	0.03
Note: Bold values exceed existing								

Table 2: Flow Summary

As shown in Table 2, with only two exceptions, the proposed quantity controls will attenuate flows at all outlets to rates less than existing rates for all storm events. There is a slight increase in proposed flows from the commercial property to the existing swale east of the site during the Regional event, however the proposed total flow to this outlet (i.e., residential and commercial property combined) is considerably less than under existing conditions and hence the exceedance is considered acceptable. Similarly, proposed flows from the site to the Hwy 6 roadside ditch slightly exceed existing rates during the 100-year event. Again, the proposed combined flow is less than the combined existing rate and the exceedance is considered acceptable.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

Note that the SWMHYMO modeling indicates that timing of the proposed condition hydrograph peak flows to the existing swale to the east and the proposed swale to the north property will coincide. As such, it was necessary to over-control proposed flows (i.e., provide additional storage to allow for a further reduction in discharge rates) to meet the total combined flow from the north and east to the agricultural drain. As only a small change in imperviousness will occur as a result of redevelopment of the commercial property, the bulk of the additional storage requirement was assigned to the site (i.e., the increase in storage was assigned 95% to the site and 5% to the commercial property). Required attenuation storage volumes for each property are listed in Table 3.

Location	Required to Match Existing 100-year from Each Property Separately	Additional Required to Match 100-year Total Combined to Agricultural Drain	Total Required	Peak Discharge Rate (m³/s)	
Site	185	48 233		0.035	
Commercial Property	80	3	88	0.055	

Table 3: Underground Attenuation Storage Characteristics

7.4.2.5 Infiltration

Two (2) infiltration facilities are proposed for the residential site and one (1) for the commercial property, as illustrated on Preliminary Servicing Plan. Preliminary sizing has been completed by ADS and is attached. The designs allow for a separation of at least 1.0 m above groundwater elevations. As discussed above, an infiltration rate of 15 mm/hr has been assumed for both the site and the commercial property. Infiltration volumes and drawdown times are listed in Table 4.

Table 4: Infiltration Facility Characteristics

Infiltration Facility	Footprint (m ²)	Volume (m ³)	Drawdown Time (hrs)			
Site East	92	54	39			
Site West	121	77	42			
Commercial Property983524						
Note: Drawdown time assumes infiltration rate of 15 mm/hr						



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Stormwater Management May 2022

7.5 DETAILED DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The following additional considerations may be required at the detailed design stage which may affect the results and conclusions of the preceding analyses. A summary of additional considerations that may be required are included below:

Legal Outlets

It has been assumed that a legal outlet is provided within the lands immediately north and east of the Site given it is Township owned lands and regulated by the GRCA. This outlet will include construction of a new proposed swale from the site to the agricultural drain to the north.

Additional Consultation with Regulatory Authorities

Formal pre-consultation with the regulatory authorities may revise criteria from those assumed. Preliminary discussions have been underway with the GRCA, provided in Appendix E.

Rooftop and/or Parking Lot Storage

No allowance for rooftop and/or parking lot storage has been assumed in the calculations. The addition of rooftop and/or parking lot storage will likely result in a reduced footprint requirement for the storage facilities.

Additional Information

This assessment was completed as a conceptual analysis with available information provided at the time of the study. As additional information on the subject site is obtained, site criteria may be revised, or results of the preceding analyses may no longer be relevant.

Detailed Design

Other considerations to be confirmed at detailed design may include; orifice sizing for underground storage facilities and swale sizing/configuration from the site to the agricultural drain.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Erosion and Sediment Control Plan May 2022

8.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

An erosion and sediment control strategy will be developed during detailed design and implemented during the construction process in order to minimize the potential for off-site discharge of sediment and the associated negative environmental impacts.

Though subject to refinement in conjunction with final design activities, the following preliminary strategy has been developed to outline the anticipated approach. Most of the various construction activities will result in the disturbance of at-surface soils to various extents, ranging from construction traffic to topsoil stripping and/or grading activities involving cutting or filling, all of which expose the underlying earth to potential erosion and sediment transport to off-site locations. In all instances where the potential for erosion is identified, a series of control measures should be implemented that may include:

- Erect silt fence before grading begins around the perimeter of site to protect the downstream lands from potential sediment transport that may be entrained in overland flows.
- Erect silt fencing around perimeter of all infiltration facilities to act as construction barrier.
- Install silt fencing and silt socks around, and siltsacks in, all catchbasins directly connected to infiltration facilities.
- Install erosion control matting on all steep (>3:1) slopes.
- Provide a construction entrance feature ("mud mat") at all site entrances to minimize the transport of sediment on construction vehicle tires.
- Direct runoff via swales and erosion control berms (where necessary) to sediment control measures to ensure that no untreated runoff is discharged from the Site.
- Install temporary rock check dams in swales where appropriate to help attenuate flows, reduce erosive velocities, and encourage sediment deposition.
- During construction, all catchbasins are to be sealed until roads are paved to prevent sediment deposition in the catchbasin sumps and conveyance of silt to the infiltration galleries.
- Immediately stabilize all disturbed areas not subject to construction activities within 30 days, according to OPSS 572.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Utilities May 2022

9.0 UTILITIES

Utility Groups were contacted by requesting information outlining servicing capabilities for the site. There is significant existing overhead and underground utility infrastructure on St David Street North. The following information has been obtained from the various utility companies. Coordination with the companies will continue throughout the design process to determine distribution systems for the site.

9.1 CW HYDRO

There is significant existing hydro infrastructure surrounding the site and servicing the existing homes within the development area. Correspondence is ongoing with CW Hydro to confirm the servicing capacities within the existing system and provide a detailed scope of work for servicing the site.

9.2 ENBRIDGE GAS

Enbridge Gas has existing infrastructure along the St David Street north boulevard fronting the site. Enbridge has confirmed that the existing system has capacity to service the development at this time.

9.3 BELL CANADA

Bell Canada has two existing non encased ducts fronting the property on St David Street North, as well as a long haul fiber cable. No servicing conflicts were noted at this time.

9.4 ROGERS CABLE

Rogers is not servicing Fergus at this time, and has advised that the project would require assessment to determine if Rogers will provide servicing.

Hydro, telecommunications and Enbridge lines will be buried in a joint trench where possible within the proposed townhouse development. Utility Correspondence is provided in Appendix D.



FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Conclusions and Recommendations May 2022

10.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this report, it is concluded that:

- The proposed residential site and future commercial redevelopment can be adequately serviced with municipal sewage, water services and utilities;
- Municipal servicing and roadworks can be provided in accordance with the Township of Centre Wellington guidelines;
- Quality and quantity control stormwater management requirements are achieved by way OGS units, multiple infiltration galleries, and end of pipe storage;
- The development can be serviced with Hydro, Gas, and telecommunications extended from existing facilities surrounding the site; and

It is further recommended that:

- A detailed dewatering assessment be conducted during detailed engineering design to assess any requirement for construction groundwater dewatering and any associated volumes and attain any required water taking permits.
- The current hydrogeological investigation be continued through 2022 to better understand the high groundwater levels on-site.
- The report be circulated to the municipalities and various approval agencies in support of the Zoning By-Law Amendment for the site.
- Detailed grading and servicing design drawings be prepared, and a Final Stormwater Management Report and Erosion and Sediment Control Plan be completed in support of Site Plan Approval following approval of the zoning bylaw amendment application.
- The preliminary engineering design be approved to support Zoning Bylaw Amendment application.

Appendix A Drawings



>\161414172\design\drawing\civil\sheet_files\161414172_C-050DP.dw





Stantec Consulting Ltd. 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4 Tel: (519) 579-4410 www.stantec.com

www.sidillec.com

Copyright Reserved The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Notes

SITE PLAN PREPARED BY STANTEC CONSULTING LTD., DATED APRIL 27, 2022.
TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PREPARED BY BSR&D, DATED MARCH 29, 2022.



Legend

0. ISSUED FOR ZBA		JBM	TAHF	2022.05.17
Revision		Ву	Appd	YYYY.MM.DD
File Name: 161414172_C-050DP	JBM	JBM	TAHF	2022.05.17
	Dwn.	Chkd.	Dsan.	YY.MM.DD

Permit-Seal









Stantec Consulting Ltd. 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4 Tel: (519) 579-4410 www.stantec.com

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Notes

SITE PLAN PREPARED BY STANTEC CONSULTING LTD., DATED APRIL 27, 2022. TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PREPARED BY BSR&D, DATED MARCH 29, 2022.



regena	
	RETAINING WALL
۲	PROPOSED STORM MANHOLE
	PROPOSED STORM CATCHBASIN MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN
•	PROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE
Ø	PROPOSED VALVE & BOX
+	PROPOSED HYDRANT
_	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
	PROPOSED CLEAN WATER COLLECTOR
	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
	PROPOSED WATERMAIN
	PROPOSED SLOPE (3:1 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE)

0. ISSUED FOR ZBA	JBM	TAHF	2022.05.17
Revision	Ву	Appd	YYYY.MM.DD
File Name: 161414172 C-100UG	IBM	ТАНЕ	2022.05.17

Permit-Seal

PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Not for permits, pricing or other official purposes. This document has not been completed or checked and is for general information or comment only. Client/Project **REID'S HERITAGE HOMES**

NORTH FERGUS 950-960 ST. DAVID ST

Fergus, ON

Title PRELIMINARY SERVICING PLAN

Scale 0 4 12 1:400 Project No. 20m 161414172 Drawing No. Revision Sheet 2 of 4 0



ED(







Stantec Consulting Ltd. 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4 Tel: (519) 579-4410 www.stantec.com

www.sidillec.com

Copyright Reserved

The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Notes

. SITE PLAN PREPARED BY STANTEC CONSULTING LTD., DATED APRIL 27, 2022. 2. TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PREPARED BY BSR&D, DATED MARCH 29, 2022.



.eyenu	
• 352.82	EXISTING ELEVATION
• 352.92	PROPOSED ELEVATION
<u>5.0%</u> <u>2.0%</u>	FLOW DIRECTION
	PROPOSED DRAINAGE SWALE
— 349.00 —	EXISTING CONTOUR
	RETAINING WALL
\odot	PROPOSED STORM MANHOLE
	PROPOSED STORM CATCHBASIN MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN
ē	PROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE
	PROPOSED VALVE & BOX
+	PROPOSED HYDRANT
	PROPOSED SLOPE (3:1 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE)
	OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION
-	

0. ISSUED FOR ZBA		 	TAHF	
Revision		Ву	Appd	YYYY.MM.DD
File Name: 161414172_C-400GP	JBM	JBM	TAHF	2022.05.17
		Chkd	Dran	

Permit-Seal




ORIGINAL SHEET - ARCH D

	Elevations Table										
Number	Minimum Elevation	Maximum Elevation	Area	Color							
1	-0.25	0.00	104.10								
2	0.00	0.25	89.71								
3	0.25	1.00	519.68								
4	1.00	1.50	1717.56								
5	1.50	2.00	2032.02								
6	2.00	2.50	2755.88								
7	2.50	3.00	3470.26								
8	3.00	3.82	1864.79								





Stantec Consulting Ltd. 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4 Tel: (519) 579-4410 www.stantec.com

Copyright Reserved The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT scale the drawing - any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay. The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Notes

SITE PLAN PREPARED BY STANTEC CONSULTING LTD., DATED APRIL 27, 2022. TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PREPARED BY BSR&D, DATED MARCH 29, 2022.



Legend

0. Issued for zba		JBM	TAHF	2022.05.17
Revision		By	Appd	YYYY.MM.DD
File Name: 161414172_C-450ISO	JBM	JBM	TAHF	2022.05.13
	Dwn.	Chkd.	Dsgn.	YY.MM.DD

Permit-Seal



Client/Project **REID'S HERITAGE HOMES**

NORTH FERGUS 950-960 ST. DAVID ST

Fergus, ON

Title PRELIMINARY EARTHWORKS PLAN

Scale 0 4 12 20 1:400 Project No. 20m 161414172 Drawing No. Revision Sheet 4 of 4 0

Appendix B Sanitary and Water Design Calculations

SUBDIVISION DESIGN PARAMETERS \bullet Township of Centre Wellington 90-960 St David Street North, Fergus Stantec SANITARY SEWER AVERAGE DAILY FLOW RESIDENTIAL: 0.0041 l/s/c PER PERSON = 350 l/c/day COMMERCIAL: 0.6000 l/s/ha **DESIGN SHEET** MINIMUM VELOCITY = 0.800 m/s INDUSTRIAL: 0.0000 l/s/ha 5/3/2022 0.013 INSTITUTIONAL: DATE: n = 0.0000 l/s/ha MAX PEAK FAC.= DESIGNED BY: JB FILE NUMBERS: 4.500 INFILTRATION: 0.1500 l/s/ha CHECKED BY: PROJECT NUMBER: 161414172 May 3, 2022 MIN PEAK FAC.= 1.500 RESIDENTIAL HARMON PEAKING FACTOR LOCATION RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION COMM INDUST INSTIT C+I+I INFILTRATION PIPE TOTAL STREET POP. AREA VEL. FROM ΤO AREA CUMULATIVE PEAK PEAK ACCU. AREA ACCU. PEAK TOTAL ACCU. INFILT. FLOW DIA SLOPE CAP. ACCU. AREA DIST M.H. M.H. AREA POP. FACT. FLOW AREA AREA AREA FLOW AREA AREA FLOW (FULL) (FULL) (ACT.) (ha) (ha) (l/s) (ha) (ha) (ha) (ha) (ha) (ha) (l/s) (ha) (ha) (l/s) (l/s) (m) (mm) (%) (l/s) (m/s) (m/s) 950-960 St David St. David Street North 1.30 280 1.30 280 4.091 4.696 0.67 0.67 0.402 1.97 1.97 0.296 5.394 Street North

5/3/2022

Domestic Water Demand Projections

Usage Description	Residential Population Density ⁽¹⁾	Residential Population	Average Day Water Demand Criteria	Average Day Demand (ADD)		Maximum Day Demand (MDD) @ MDD factor = 2.0		Peak Hour Demand (PHD) @ PHD factor = 3.0	
	рри	persons		L/s	L/day	L/s	L/day	L/s	L/day
Staked Townhouses: 112 Townhouse Units	2.5	280	225 L/cap/day	0.729	63,000	1.458	126,000	2.187	189,000
Total Development				0.73	63,000	1.46	126,000	2.19	189,000

Note 1: Residential population densities based on City of Guelph Development Charges Background Study dated February 2019 prepared by Watson and Associates Ltd.

Appendix C Stormwater Management

Subject:	CN Calculation
Project:	Fergus SWM Design
Project No.:	1614-14172
Client:	Reid's Heritage Homes
Date:	05/03/22

TABLE OF CURVE NUMBERS (CN's)											
Land Use			Source								
		A	AB	В	BC	С	CD	D			
Meadow	"Good"	30	44	58	65	71	75	78	USDA		
Woodlot	"Fair"	36	48	60	67	73	76	79	USDA		
Lawns	"Good"	39	50	61	68	74	77	80	USDA		
Pasture/Range	e	58	62	65	71	76	79	81	USDA		
Crop - SR = C	R "Good"	64	70	75	79	82	84	85	USDA		
Gravel		76	81	85	87	89	90	91	USDA		
Scarified Soil		69	74	78	81	83	85	87	USDA		
Wetland/Lake		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	USDA		
Impervious		98	98	98	98	98	98	98	USDA		

MTO - Ministry of Transportation Ontario Drainage Manual (1997), Design Chart 1.09-Soil/Land Use Curve Numbers USDA - United States Department of Agriculture (2004), National Engineering Handbook, Part 630 Hydrology, Chapter 9 Hydrologic Soil Cover Complexes

	HYDROLOGIC SOIL TYPE (%) - Existing Conditions											
			Hydro	ologic Soil Ty	ре							
Catchment	A	AB	В	BC	С	CD	D	TOTAL				
100						100		100				
102						100		100				
104	100											
110	100											
120						100		100				
200						100		100				
210						100		100				
220						100		100				

	LAND USE (%) - Existing Conditions											
Catchment	Meadow	Woodlot	Lawns	Pasture Range	Crop	Bare Soil	Gravel	Impervious	Total			
100					90			10	100			
102					98		2		100			
104							2	98	100			
110					100				100			
120					8			92	100			
200	20						15	65	100			
210	20						8	72	100			
220			2					98	100			

Note: Where STANDHYD command used (shaded), impervious fraction is not considered in CN determination, since %Imp directly input in STANDHYD command

1	CURVE NUMBER (CN) - Existing Conditions											
Catchment	Meadow	Woodlot	Lawns	Pasture Range	Crop	Bare Soil	Gravel	Impervious	Weighted CN w/ imp area	Weighted CN w/o imp area		
100					75			10	85	84		
102					82		2		84	84		
104							2	96	98	90		
110					84				84	84		
120					7			90	97	84		
200	15						14	64	92	81		
210	15						7	71	93	79		
220			2					96	98	77		
									0	NA		
	ĺ									NA		
										NA		
										NA		
	ĺ									NA		

Subject:	CN Calculation
Project:	Fergus SWM Design
Project No.:	1614-14172
Client:	Reid's Heritage Homes
Date:	05/03/22

TABLE OF CURVE NUMBERS (CN's)										
Land Use			Source							
		A	AB	В	BC	С	CD	D		
Meadow	"Good"	30	44	58	65	71	75	78	USDA	
Woodlot	"Fair"	36	48	60	67	73	76	79	USDA	
Lawns	"Good"	39	50	61	68	74	77	80	USDA	
Pasture/Range	e	58	62	65	71	76	79	81	USDA	
Crop - SR = C	R "Good"	64	70	75	79	82	84	85	USDA	
Gravel		76	81	85	87	89	90	91	USDA	
Scarified Soil		69	74	78	81	83	85	87	USDA	
Wetland/Lake		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	USDA	
Impervious		98	98	98	98	98	98	98	USDA	

MTO - Ministry of Transportation Ontario Drainage Manual (1997), Design Chart 1.09-Soil/Land Use Curve Numbers USDA - United States Department of Agriculture (2004), National Engineering Handbook, Part 630 Hydrology, Chapter 9 Hydrologic Soil Cover Complexes

 HYDROLOGIC SOIL TYPE (%) - Existing Conditions

 Hydrologic Soil Type
 AB
 B
 BC
 C
 CD
 Catchment D TOTAL А 100 100 100 100 100 300 305 310 320 330 340 350 360 370 380 390 395 100 400 410 100 100 100 100 100 420 430 440 100

	LAND USE (%) - Existing Conditions											
Catchment	Meadow	Woodlot	Lawns	Pasture	Crop	Bare Soil	Gravel	Impervious	Total			
300			15					85	100			
305			35					65	100			
310			100					0	100			
320			100					0	100			
330			100					0	100			
340			100					0	100			
350			15					85	100			
360							1	99	100			
370							1	99	100			
380							1	99	100			
390							1	99	100			
395							1	99	100			
400			100						100			
410			10					90	100			
420			100						100			
430							1	99	100			
440			100						100			

Where STANDHYD command used, impervious fraction is not considered in CN determination, since %Imp directly input in STANDHYD command Note:

1	CURVE NUMBER (CN) - Existing Conditions											
Catchment	Meadow	Woodlot	Lawns	Pasture range	Crop	Bare Soil	Gravel	Impervious	Weighted CN w/ imp area	Weighted CN w/o imp area		
300			12					83	95	77		
305			27					64	91	77		
310			77						77	NA		
320			77						77	NA		
330			77						77	NA		
340			77						77	NA		
350			12					83	95	77		
360							1	97	98	90		
370							1	97	98	90		
380							1	97	98	90		
390							1	97	98	90		
395							1	97	98	90		
400			77						77	NA		
410			8					88	96	77		
420			77						77	NA		
430							1	97	98	90		
440			77						77	NA		

Subject:	SWMHYMO Parameters
Project:	Fergus SWM Design
Project No.:	1614-14172
Client:	Reid's Heritage Homes
Date:	5/3/2022

Existing Conditions

	Catchment	SWMHYMO								
Area Description	Number	Command	Area	CN	TIMP	XIMP	Slope	Length	Tc	Тр
			(ha)				(%)	(m)	(hrs)	(hrs)
Agricultural - drains northeast	100	DESIGN NASHYD	0.88	85	N/A	N/A	0.25	76	0.56	0.34
Agricultural - drains east	102	DESIGN NASHYD	0.22	84	N/A	N/A	0.25	107	0.67	0.40
Site entrance - asphalt - drains east	104	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.07	90	0.98	0.10	2.00	22	0.15	0.09
Agricultural - drains southwest	110	DESIGN NASHYD	0.07	84	N/A	N/A	0.25	21	0.30	0.18
Existing asphalt - drains to Highway 6	120	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.06	84	0.93	0.10	2.00	20	0.14	0.09
Existing commercial - drains through site	200	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.26	81	0.65	0.10	2.00	42	0.21	0.13
Existing commercial - drains east	210	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.19	79	0.81	0.10	2.00	36	0.19	0.12
Existing commercial - drains to Hwy 6	220	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.22	77	0.98	0.10	2.00	38	0.20	0.12
Total			1.96							

Proposed Conditions

	Catchment	SWMHYMO								
Area Description	Number	Command	Area	CN	TIMP	XIMP	Slope	Length	Tc	Тр
			(ha)				(%)	(m)	(hrs)	(hrs)
Proposed site entrance - drains uncontrolled to Hwy 6	300	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.07	77	0.90	0.10	2.00	30	0.18	0.11
Proposed Driveway - drains drains east	305	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.09	77	0.90	0.10	2.00	30	0.18	0.11
Landscaped area - drains west, uncontrolled	310	DESIGN NASHYD	0.11	77	N/A	N/A	5.00	8	0.07	0.04
Landscaped area - drains north, uncontrolled	320	DESIGN NASHYD	0.09	77	N/A	N/A	5.00	8	0.07	0.04
Landscaped area - drains west, uncontrolled	340	DESIGN NASHYD	0.08	77	N/A	N/A	5.00	8	0.07	0.04
Landscaped and parking areas - Drains North, controlled	350	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.59	77	0.70	0.65	2.00	15	0.13	0.08
Rooftop - infiltrated	360	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.05	90	0.99	0.01	30.00	5	0.03	0.02
Rooftop - infiltrated	370	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.04	90	0.99	0.01	30.00	5	0.03	0.02
Rooftop - infiltrated	380	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.04	90	0.99	0.01	30.00	5	0.03	0.02
Rooftop - infiltrated	390	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.08	90	0.99	0.01	30.00	5	0.03	0.02
Rooftop - infiltrated	395	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.06	90	0.99	0.01	30.00	5	0.03	0.02
Landscaped - Drains west, uncontrolled	400	DESIGN NASHYD	0.05	77	NA	NA	5.00	10	0.08	0.05
Parking area - drains east, controlled	410	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.31	77	0.90	0.80	2.00	15	0.13	0.08
Landscaped - Drains to Hwy 6, uncontrolled	420	DESIGN NASHYD	0.13	77	NA	NA	5.00	15	0.09	0.06
Rooftop - infiltrated	430	DESIGN STANDHYD	0.14	90	0.99	0.01	2.00	10	0.10	0.06
Landscaped - Drains west, uncontrolled	440	DESIGN NASHYD	0.04	77	NA	NA	5.00	5	0.05	0.03
Total			1.97							

Notes:

CN calculated for pervious areas only for DESIGN STANDHYD. CN is a weighed average for DESIGN NASHYD

 TIMP
 Total percent impervious

 XIMP
 Percent impervious directly connected

 Time of Concentration calculated using the Airport Method
 Total percent impervious directly connected

 Time of Concentration calculated using the Airport Method
 Total percent impervious directly connected

 Time of Concentration calculated using the Airport Method
 Total percent impervious directly connected

 Time to Peak
 Total percent impervious

 Time to Peak
 Tp = 0.6Tc

Minors = all storms up to and including the 2 year event Majors = all storms greater than the 2 year event

TZERO=[0.0]hrs or date, METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[4] ["hurhaz48.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM</pre>

2 Metric units	****
# Project Name: [# Date : A	Fergus] Project Number: [161414172] pril. 12, 2022
# Modeller : [1 # Company : S	M. Ornat] tantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo)
# License # : #**********************************	arJo904 ************************************
`# *# Per Centre Well *#	ington Design Standards(<-CONFIRM?) - Fergus IDF Parameters
# Soil type based # hydrologic s	on Geotechnical Investigation (Dec 2021); oil type CD (Assumed).
" #************************************	TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[001] ["UL-25mm stm"] <storm filename.one="" for="" line="" nstorm<="" per="" td=""></storm>
**READ STORM	

₩ ₩ ₩ ₩	DRAINAGE within site boundary ******************
*#*************************************	***************************************
# Catchment 100	- Largest portion of site draining northeast
*= DESIGN NASHYD	<pre>TD=[1], NHYD=["100"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.88](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[85], TP=[0.34]hrs, RAINFALE[, , ,](mm/hr), END=-1</pre>
# # Catchment 102	 - Agricultural portion of site draining east
*#DESIGN NASHYD	 TD=[2], NHYD=["102"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.22](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[84], TP=[0.40]hrs, RAINFALLE(
*# Catchment 104	Portion of Future site access, currently paved, drains eas
*#DESIGN STANDHYD	 ID=[3],NHYD=["104"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.07](ha), XIMP=[0.1], TIMP=[0.98], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[90], SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[,](mm/br), END=-1
'# Catchment 110	- Small Western portion of site draining west
*# DESIGN NASHYD	 TD=[4],NHYD=["110"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.07](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[84], TP=[.18]hrs, DATURDIC (- 1/m-(4-))
#	<pre>RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/nr), END=-1</pre>
#	
#****	XIMP=[0.10], TIMP=[0.93], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[84], SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1
*# Total Flow dr *#****	aining east from Reid's (102 + 104)
ADD HYD	IDsum=[10], NHYD=["R-east"], IDs to add=[2,3]
# Total Combine #************************************	<pre>d East and North Flow from Reid's (100 + 102 + 104) ************************************</pre>
: # * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****
# #***********************************	NAGE FROM OUTSIDE SITE BOUNDARY ************************************
#	
# Catchment 200	- Existing Commercial Area. Drains east through site
" DESIGN STANDHYD	<pre>ID=[6], NHYD=["200"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.26](ha), XIMP=[0.10], TIMP=[0.65], DMP=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[79], SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[, , ,] [mm/hr), END=-1</pre>
*#Catchment 210	 - Existing Commercial Area draining west
DESIGN STANDHYD	 ID=[7], NHYD=["210"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.19](ha), XIMP=[0.10], TIMP=[0.81], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[79], SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[,,,,](mm/hr), END=-1
*# Catchment 220	 - Existing Commercial Area draining to Hwy 6
*# DESIGN STANDHYD	 ID=[8], NHYD=["220"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.22](ha), XIMP=[0.10], TIMP=[0.98], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[77], SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[, , ,] [mm/hr), END=-1
*#************************************	**************************************
*#************************************	<pre>IDsum=[2], NHYD=["Qeast"], IDs to add=[10,6]</pre>
*#************************************	d north and east (200 + 100 + 102 + 104)
HYD	IDsum=[3], NHYD=["Qcombo"], IDs to add=[9,6]
*#************************************	**************************************
*#************************************	IDsum=[10], NHYD=["Qwest"], IDs to add=[4,7]
*#************************************	**************************************
" IOLAI FIOW dr *#***********************************	IDsum=[2], NHYD=["Qhwy6"], IDs to add=[5,8]
*8	
3TART * #	<pre>TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[2] ["Fer5yr.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm<="" one="" per="" pre=""></storm></pre>

_____ (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. SSSSS W М Н Н У М М 000 999 999
 Sec
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P _____ Ver 4 05 001.0004-----Sept 2011 Catchment 102 - Agricultural portion of site draining east 99 ° # 4730904 * # --------StormWater Management HYdrologic Model 999 . 999 DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= 22 Curve Number (CN)=84.00 ***** 02:102 DT= 1.00 | Ia (mm)= U.H. Tp(hrs)= # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 1.500 .400
 SWMHYMO Ver/4.05

 SwmHYMO Ver/4.05

 SwmHYMO Ver/4.05

 State

 Distributed by:

 Distributed by:

 Ottawa,

 Ot Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .021 PEAK FLOW (TIME TO PEAK (RUNOFF VOLUME (cms)= .004 (i) (hrs) = 2.083 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 25.025 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.025 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .307 Ottawa, Ontario: (613) 836-3884 Gatineau, Quebec: (819) 243-6858 ******* * * * * * * * * * ********* E-Mail: swmhymo@jfsa.Com ***: (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. +++++++++ Licensed user: Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Kitchener) ++++++++++++++ Kitchener SERIAL#:4730904 +++++++++ 001:0005-----+++++++ Kitchener SERIAL#:4730904 ++++++++ *#----Catchment 104 - Portion of Future site access, currently paved, drains eas _____ +++++ PROGRAM ARRAY DIMENSIONS ++++++ ********

 Maximum value for ID numbers : 10

 Max. number of rainfall points: 105408

 Max. number of flow points : 105408

 * * * * * * * * * ****** DESIGN STANDHYD x GIANUMILU | Arêa (ha)= .07 4 DT=1.00 | Total Imp(%)= 98.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00 (ha) =03:104 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) (ha)= Surface Area .07 .00 1.50 Dep. Storage Average Slope Length (mm) =(%) = (m) = 2.00 2 00 40.00 Mannings n DATE: 2022-05-04 TIME: 11:28:15 RUN COUNTER: 000522 .013 .250 Input filename: C:\PROGRA-2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\ExKM5.dat
Output filename: C:\PROGRA-2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\ExKM5.out
Summary filename: C:\PROGRA-2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\ExKM5.sum 74.93 3362.92 over (min) Storage Coeff. (min)= Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 1.00 3.00 .93 (ii) 2.66 (ii) 1.00 3.00 (min) = User comments: 1.12 .41 .00 1.58 24.22 25.02 *TOTALS* .012 (iii) 1.583 2:______ 3:______ 01 PEAK FLOW 1.60 TIME TO PEAK (hrs) =RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = RUNOFF VOLUME 24.38 24.364 25.025 25.02 25.02 001:0001------.974 *# Project Name: [Fergus] Project Number: [161414172]
*# Date : April. 12, 2022
*# Modeller : [M. Ornat]
*# Compute : \$\$ Compute for the Computer of the computer is the computer of the (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: (I) CM FROEDONE SELECTED FOR TEXTICOL DISEST.
 (N* = 90.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
 (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. : Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo) Company : Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo) License # : 4730904 Existing conditions model 5, 100 Year and Regional Storm Events Per Centre Wellington Design Standards (<-CONFIRM?) - Fergus IDF Parameters Catchment 110 - Small Western portion of site draining west Soil type based on Geotechnical Investigation (Dec 2021); hydrologic soil type CD (Assumed). *#---------TART | Project dir.: C:\PROGRA~2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0 METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 001 NSTORM '
 DESIGN NASHYD
 |
 Area
 (ha) =

 04:110
 DT=
 1.00
 |
 Ia
 (mm) =

 ----- U.H. Tp(hrs) =
 Area (ha)= .07 Ia (mm)= 1.500 (CN)=84.00 Curve Number # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 .180 | START Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .015
 PEAK FLOW
 (cms) =
 .002

 TIME TO PEAK
 (hrs) =
 1.783

 RUNOFF VOLUME
 (mm) =
 7.691

 COMULT DALMERLI, (mm) =
 25.025
 .002 (i) NSTORM= = 1 # 1=GU-25mm.stm TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.025 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .307 001:0002----------(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

 READ STORM
 |
 Filename: 25-mm, 4-hr, Chicago Storm, Guelph (a=50

 Ptotal=
 25.02 mm|
 Comments: 25-mm, 4-hr, Chicago Storm, Guelph (a=50

 001:0007-----TIME RATN | TIME RATN I TIME RATN I TIME RATN mm/hr | 1.466 | mm/hr 4.028 Catchment 120 - Future site access, currently paved, drains to hwy 6 hrs hrs mm/hr 5.770 mm/hr 2.077 hrs hrs 1.08 .08 2.08 3.08 #. -----2.17 2.25 2.33 2.42 4.974 4.379 3.917 3.549 1.542 1.17 4.819 6.031 3.17 1.979 _____ .17 | DESIGN STANDHYD (ha) =1.722 1.33 8.122 12.538 27.224 3.33 .33 1.811 05:120 .42 1.739 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) .50 1.957 1.50 2.50 3.248 3.50 1.672 Surface Area .06 .80 2.00 .00 1.50 2.00 . 58 2.103 1.58 74.928 2.58 2.997 3.58 1.611 (ha) =1.67 1.75 1.83 2.785 2.276 31.441 16.835 1.555 Dep. Storage Average Slope (mm) = (%) = 2.83 3.83 (m) = 20.00 .83 2.739 11.368 2.446 1.454 Length 40.00 Mannings n .013 .250 . 92 3.058 1.92 8.571 2.92 2.307 3.92 1.409 1.00 3.471 | 2.00 6.888 3.00 2.185 4.00 1.367 74.93 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 899.37 1.00 4.00 3.82 (ii) .89 (ii) 001:0003-----1.00 4 00 1.15 .29 *TOTALS* .008 (iii) 1.600 21.897 .00 .01 PEAK FLOW (cms) = PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME (hrs) = (mm) = (mm) = 1.58 24.22 25.02 1.62 21.64 # _ _ _ _ _ DTAL RAINFALL Catchment 100 - Largest portion of site draining northeast 25.02 25.025 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .86 .875 *#-----(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: ------ (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES CN* = 84.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. .88 1.500 .340 DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= Curve Number (CN)=85.00 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 01:100 DT= 1.00 | (mm) = Ia (mm) = U.H. Tp(hrs) = (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .099 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .017 (i) 2.000 8.097 TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME (hrs) =RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = *# Total Flow draining east from Reid's (102 + 104) 25.025 .324

ADD HYD (R-east) ID: NHYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)	Unit Unit	Hyd. Tpeak Hyd. peak	(min) = (cms) =	1.00 .91	3.	00 37			
	ID1 02:102 +ID2 03:104	.22	.004	2.08 1.58	7.69 24.36	.000	PEAK	FLOW	(cms) =	.00		03	*TOTAI	.S* 37 (iii)	
	SUM 10:R-east	.29	.013	1.58	11.72	.000	TIME	TO PEAK FF VOLUME	(hrs) = (mm) =	1.58	1. 23.	60 41	1.58	3	
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE BASI	EFLOWS IF	ANY.				TOTA RUNO	L RAINFALL FF COEFFICI	(mm) = ENT =	25.02	25.	02 94	25.02	19	
							(i) CN PROCED	URE SELECTH	ED FOR PER	VIOUS LOS	SES:			
*# Total Combine	**************************************	************	****** d's (100	+ 102 +	104)		(ii) TIME STEP	(DT) SHOUL	LD BE SMAL	LER OR EQ	UAL			
*#************		*********	*****	T 102 T	104)		(iii) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT :	INCLUDE BA	SEFLOW IF	ANY.			
ADD HYD (Rcombo) ID: NHYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)	001:0013-								
	ID1 02:102 +ID2 03:104	.22	.004	2.08	7.69 24.36	.000	*#****** *# Tot	************ al Flow dra	ining east	(200 + 10)	********* 2 + 104)	*****			
	+ID3 01:100	.88	.017	2.00	8.10	.000	*#******	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	**********	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	*****			
	SUM 09:Rcombo	1.17	.022	2.00	8.99	.000	ADD HYD	(Qeast) ID: NH	HYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE BASI	EFLOWS IF	ANY.						ID1 10:R-6 +ID2 06:200	east D	.29	.013	1.58 1.73	11.72 13.03	.000
001:0010									SUM 02:Qea	ast	.55	.023	1.58	12.34	.000
*#	*****	********	*******	******	******	*******	NOTE:	PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INC	CLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF	ANY.			
*#	AGE FROM OUTSIDE SI:	TE BOUNDAR	*******	*******	*******	******									
*# *# Catchment 200	 - Existing Commercia	al Area D	rains ea	st throu	ah site		*#******	**************************************	**********	************	*********	******	4)		
*#	 				.gn 0100		*#******	**********	*********	*****	******	*****	- /		
DESIGN STANDHYD 06:200 DT= 1.0	Area (ha)= 0 Total Imp(%)=	.26	Dir. Con	n.(%)=	10.00		ADD HYD	(Qcombo) ID: NH	HYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)
	IMPERVIOU	S PERVI	OUS (i)						ID1 09:Rcd +ID2 06:200	odmo D	1.17	.022	2.00	8.99 13.03	.000
Surface Area Dep. Storage	(ha) = .17 (mm) = .80	1.	09 50						SUM 03:Qc	 ombo	1.43	.030	1.83	9.73	.000
Average Slope Length Mannings n	(%) = 2.00 (m) = 41.63 = .013	2. 40. .2	00 00 50				NOTE:	PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INC	CLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF	ANY.			
Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr) = 74.93	68.	85				001:0015-								
Storage Coeff.	r (min) 1.00 (min) = 1.38	(ii) 9.	57 (ii)				*# Tot	al Flow dra	ining West	(110 + 21	 D) 	******			
Unit Hyd. peak	(cms) = .88	10.	12	*	c *						70F7	ODEAK	TDEAK	DV	DWF
PEAK FLOW	(cms) = .01		01	.01	2 (iii)			(Qwest	 TD1 04.110	n	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(cms)
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) = 24.22 (mm) = 25.02	11.	78	13.02	7				+ID2 07:210	0 0 ==============	.19	.015	1.67	16.52	.000
RUNOFF COEFFIC	IENT = .97		47	.52	1				SUM 10:Qwe	est	.26	.017	1.67	14.15	.000
(i) CN PROCE CN* = 7	DURE SELECTED FOR PER 9.0 Ia = Dep. Sto:	RVIOUS LOS rage (Abc	SES:				NOTE:	PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INC	CLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF	ANY.			
(ii) TIME STE THAN THE	P (DT) SHOULD BE SMAI STORAGE COEFFICIENT	LLER OR EQ	UAL				001:0016-								
(iii) PEAK FLO	W DOES NOT INCLUDE BA	ASEFLOW IF	ANY.				*#****** *# Tot	************ al Flow dra	ining to Hu	wy 6 (120 ·	******** + 220)	*****			
001:0011							*#******	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	*********	********	* * * * * * * * *	*****			
## Catchment 210	 - Existing Commercia	al Area dr	aining we	est			ADD HYD	(Qhwy6) ID: NH	HYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R.V. (mm)	DWF (cms)
*#		1.0							ID1 05:120 +ID2 08:220	D	.06	.008	1.60	21.90 23.49	.000
07:210 DT= 1.0	0 Total Imp(%)=	81.00	Dir. Con	n.(%)=	10.00				SUM 02:Qh	wуб	.28	.045	1.58	23.15	.000
Curface Area	IMPERVIOU:	S PERVI	OUS (i)				NOTE:	PEAK FLOWS	DO NOT INC	CLUDE BASE	FLOWS IF	ANY.			
Dep. Storage	(mm) = .80 (&) = 2.00	1. 2	50				001.0017-								
Length Mannings n	(m) = 35.59 = .013	40.	00				** END	OF RUN :	1						
Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr)= 74.93	197.	75				*******	********	*******	*******	* * * * * * * * *	******	* * * * * * * *	******	******
ove: Storage Coeff.	r (min) 1.00 (min)= 1.25	7. (ii) 6.	00 63 (ii)												
Unit Hyd. Tpea Unit Hyd. peak	k (min) = 1.00 (cms) = .93	7.	00 17												
PEAK FLOW	(cms) = .00		01	*TOTAL .01	S* 5 (iii)		START		Project	dir.: C:\	PROGRA~2	SWMHYMO	Projects	\Fergus	1
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(hrs) = 1.58 (mm) = 24.22	1. 15.	67 67	1.66 16.52	4		TZERO	= .00 hr	Rainfall s on	dir.: C:\:	PROGRA~2\	SWMHYMO\	Projects	\Fergus'	1
RUNOFF COEFFIC	(mm) = 25.02 IENT = .97	25.	63	25.02	0		NRUN	1 = 2 (out = 002	put = METR.	10)					
(i) CN PROCE	DURE SELECTED FOR PER	RVIOUS LOS	SES:				NSTOR	# 1=Fer5	yr.stm						
(ii) TIME STE THAN THE	P (DT) SHOULD BE SMAI STORAGE COEFFICIENT	LLER OR EQ	DUAL				002:0002-		********	*****		******	 * * * * * * * * *	******	
(iii) PEAK FLO	W DOES NOT INCLUDE BA	ASEFLOW IF	ANY.				*# Proje	ct Name: [F	ergus] Pro	oject Numb	er: [1614	14172]			
001:0012							*# Model *# Compa	ler :[M ny :St	. Ornat] antec Consu	ulting Ltd	. (Waterl	.00)			
*#220 *#	 - Existing Commercia	al Area dr	aining to	o Hwy 6			*# Licen *#******* *# Exist	se # : 4 ************ ing conditi	730904 ************ ons model 5	*********** 5, 100 Yea:	********* r and Reg	ional St	******* orm Ever	******* its	*******
DESIGN STANDHYD 08:220 DT= 1.0	 Area (ha)= 0 Total Imp(%)=	.22 98.00	Dir. Con	n.(%)=	10.00		*# *# Per C *#	entre Welli	ngton Desig	gn Standar	ds (<-CONF	IRM?) -	Fergus I	DF Para	neters
	IMPERVIOU	S PERVI	OUS (i)				*# Soil *# hy	type based drologic so	on Geotech il type CD	(Assumed)	stigation •	(Dec 20	21);		
Surface Area Dep. Storage	(na) = .22 (mm) = .80	1.	50				*#******	* * * * * * * * * * * *	*******	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	******	* * * * * * * *	*****	******
Average Slope Length	(%) = 2.00 (m) = 38.30	2. 40.	00				002:0002-								
Mannings n	= .013	.2	:50				READ ST	ORM	Filenar	me: 5-yr,	3hr Chica	igo Storm	— Sha	ind Dam :	ID
Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr) = 74.93 r (min) 1.00	3317.	69 00				Ptotal=	42.75 mm	Comment	ts: 5-yr, 1	3nr Chica	igo Storm	a€" Sha	ind Dam :	DDTT
Storage Coeff.	(min) = 1.31	(11) 3.	U5 (ii)				1	TIME	RAIN	TIME R	AIN I	IME R	AIN	TIME	RAIN

950 St. David St. - Fergus

<pre>hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .08 4.561 .83 16.206 1.58 9.956 2.33 5.609 .17 4.883 .92 30.537 1.67 9.078 2.42 5.377 .25 5.266 1.00 174.456 1.75 8.367 2.50 5.167 .33 5.732 1.08 37.648 1.83 7.777 2.58 4.975 .42 6.312 1.17 23.457 1.92 7.279 2.67 4.800 .50 7.059 1.25 17.808 2.00 6.852 2.75 4.640 .58 8.064 1.33 14.631 2.08 6.481 2.83 4.491 .67 9.508 1.42 12.554 2.17 6.156 2.92 4.354 .75 11.803 1.50 11.074 2.25 5.867 3.00 4.227</pre>	<pre> DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .06 05:120 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 93.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00 </pre>
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .099 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .041 (i)	002:0008
TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.400 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 19.769 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.751 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .462	*#************************************
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
002:0004	+1D2 03:104 .07 .031 1.00 42.08 .000
*# Catchment 102 - Agricultural portion of site draining east *#	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .22 Curve Number (CN)=84.00 02:102 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	002:0009
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .021	*# Total Combined East and North Flow from Reid's (100 + 102 + 104)
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .009 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.483	IAD HYD (Rcombo) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 18.983 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.751	ID1 02:102 .22 .009 1.48 18.98 .000 +ID2 03:104 .07 .031 1.00 42.08 .000 +ID2 03:104 .07 .031 1.00 72 .000
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	SUM 09:Rcombo 1.17 .052 1.40 20.96 .000
002:0005	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>*#</pre>	002:0010
002:0006	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 79.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 002:0011
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .005 TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.183 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 18.980 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 42	<pre>*#</pre>
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .444	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = .15 .04
(1) PEAK FLUW DUES NUT INCLUDE KASEPLUW IP ANY.	Lep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 35.59 40.00
002:000/ #=	Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 174.46 654.83
*	Storage Coeff. (min)= .89 (ii) 4.22 (ii)

Unit Hyd. Tpea Unit Hyd. peak	k (min) = 1.00 (cms) = 1.14	4.00				
PEAK FLOW	(cms) = .01	.04	*	TOTALS* .045 (iiii)		
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(hrs) = 1.00 (mm) = 41.95	1.03 31.76		1.000 32.783		START Project dir.: C:\PROGRA~2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\
TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	(mm) = 42.75 CIENT = .98	42.75 .74		42.751 .767		Rainfall dir.: C:\PROGRA~2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\ TZERO = .00 hrs on 0
(i) CN PROCE	DURE SELECTED FOR P	ERVIOUS LOSSE	s:			METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 003
CN* = 7 (ii) TIME STE	9.0 Ia = Dep. St P (DT) SHOULD BE SM	orage (Above ALLER OR EQUA) L			NSTORM= 1 # 1=Fer100y.stm
THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLC	STORAGE COEFFICIEN	T. BASEFLOW IF A	NY.			003:0002
						*#************************************
002:0012						*# Date : April. 12, 2022 *# Modeller : [M. Ornat]
# Catchment 220	- Existing Commerc.	ial Area drai	ning to H	łwy 6		<pre># Company : Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo) *# License # : 4730904</pre>
DESIGN STANDHYD	 Area (ha)=	.22				*#************************************
08:220 DT= 1.0	00 Total Imp(%)=	98.00 Di	r. Conn.	(%)= 10.00		*# *# Per Centre Wellington Design Standards(<-CONFIRM?) - Fergus IDF Parameters
Surface Area	(ha) = .22	US PERVIOU	S (i)			*# *# Soil type based on Geotechnical Investigation (Dec 2021):
Dep. Storage Average Slope	(mm) = .80 (%) = 2.00	1.50				*# hydrologic soil type CD (Assumed).
Length Mannings n	(m) = 38.30 = 013	40.00				*
Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr) = 174.46	7805.49				003:0002
ove Storage Coeff	er (min) 1.00 (min) = 93	2.00 (ii) 2.17	(11)			READ STORM Filename: 100-yr, 3hr Chicago Storm â€" Shand Dam
Unit Hyd. Tpea	(min) = 1.00 (cms) = 1.12	2.00	(11)			TIME DAIN TIME DAIN TIME DAIN TIME DAIN TIME DAIN
DEAK FLOW	(cms) = 01		*	TOTALS*		hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr
TIME TO PEAK	(hrs) = 1.00 (mm) = 41.05	1.00		1.000		.00 7.302 .03 20.913 1.30 10.319 2.33 9.301 .17 8.096 .92 50.868 1.67 15.060 2.42 8.916 .25 9.732 .00 297 020 1.75 13.970 2.50 9.567
TOTAL RAINFALL	(mm) = 41.93 (mm) = 42.75	42.75		42.751		.25 8.755 1.00 27.955 1.75 15.879 2.50 8.367 .33 9.505 1.08 62.785 1.83 12.900 2.58 8.250
RUNOFF COEFFIC	.1ENT = .98	.96	~	.963		.42 10.468 1.17 39.004 1.92 12.073 2.67 7.560 .50 11.707 1.25 29.581 2.00 11.364 2.75 7.693
(1) CN PROCE CN* = 7	7.0 Ia = Dep. St	ERVIOUS LOSSE orage (Above	S:)			.58 13.376 1.33 24.292 2.08 10.749 2.83 7.447 .67 15.774 1.42 20.837 2.17 10.208 2.92 7.219
(ii) TIME STE THAN THE	P (DT) SHOULD BE SM STORAGE COEFFICIEN	ALLER OR EQUA T.	L			.75 19.588 1.50 18.376 2.25 9.729 3.00 7.008
(iii) PEAK FLC	W DOES NOT INCLUDE :	BASEFLOW IF A	NY.			003:0003
002:0013						*#*************************************
*#************************************	aining east (200 +	******************** 102 + 104)	****			*#************************* DRAINAGE within site boundary ************************************
*#***************	· * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******	****			*#*************************************
ADD HYD (Qeast) ID: NHYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK 1 (cms) (PEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm)	DWF (cms)	<pre>*# Catchment 100 - Largest portion of site draining northeast *#</pre>
	ID1 10:R-east +ID2 06:200	.29	.032 .039	1.00 24.56 1.07 27.58	.000	*#
	SUM 02:Qeast	.55	.067	1.00 25.99	.000	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .88 Curve Number (CN)=85.00 01:100 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	IS DO NOT INCLUDE BA	SEFLOWS IF AN	Υ.			U.H. Tp(hrs) = .340
						Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .099
002:0014	****	**********	 * * * *			PEAK FLOW (cms)= .091 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.383
*# Total Combine *#*********	d north and east (2	00 + 100 + 10	2 + 104) ****			RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 42.126 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 70.853
ADD HYD (Qcombo) ID: NHYD	AREA	OPEAK 1	PEAK R.V.	DWF	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .595
·····	ID1 09:Rcombo	(ha) 1.17	(cms) ((hrs) (mm) 1.40 20.96	(cms)	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
	+ID2 06:200	.26	.039	1.07 27.58	.000	003.0004
	SUM 03:Qcombo	1.43	.072	1.00 22.16	.000	*#
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	IS DO NOT INCLUDE BA	SEFLOWS IF AN	Y.			*#
002.0015						DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .22 Curve Number (CN)=84.00
*#************************************	**************************************	**************************************	* * * *			U.H. Tp (hrs) = .400
*#**********	*****	**********	* * * *			Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .021
ADD HYD (Qwest) ID: NHYD	AREA	QPEAK 1	PEAK R.V.	DWF	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .020 (i)
	ID1 04:110	(na) .07	.005	(nrs) (mm) 1.18 18.98	(Cms)	$\frac{1.467}{\text{RUNOFF VOLUME}} (\text{mm}) = 40.852$
	+ID2 07:210	.19	.045	1.00 32.78	.000	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .577
	SUM 10:Qwest	.26	.047	1.03 29.07	.000	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	IS DO NOT INCLUDE BA	SEFLOWS IF AN	Y.			
002:0016						003:0005 *#
*#************************************	aining to Hwy 6 (12	******************* 0 + 220)	* * * *			<pre>*# Catchment 104 - Portion of Future site access, currently paved, drains eas *#</pre>
*#***************	· * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******	****			DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .07
ADD HYD (Qhwy6) ID: NHYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK I (cms) (PEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm)	DWF (cms)	03:104 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 98.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00
	ID1 05:120 +ID2 08:220	.06	.023	1.00 39.45 1.00 41.18	.000	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .07 .00
	SUM 02:0hwv6	 	.118	1.00 40 81		Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00
NOTE . DEAK ET OM	IS DO NOT INCLUDE DA	SEFLONG TE AN	• • • • •	10.01		Length $(m) = 21.60$ 40.00 Mannings n = 013 250
NOID. FEAR FLOW	DO NOT INCLUDE BA	GWOLI AN				May eff Inten $(mm/hr) = -2.97 - 0.230$
002:0017						$v_{\text{over (min)}} = 207.95 - 12932.02$ over (min) 1.00 2.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 54 (ii) 1.55 (ii)
002:0002	2					Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 2.00 Unit Hyd. Roak (mon)= 4.3 65
**************************************	-	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	*******	************	*****	OF A CONSTRUCT OF A CONSTRUCT OF A CONSTRUCT AND A CONSTR
						TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.00 1.000

950 St. David St. - Fergus

ExKM5.out

RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.05 70.20 70.183 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 70.85 70.85 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .991 .991	over (min) 1.00 4.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .80 (ii) 4.33 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 4.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.21 .27
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 90.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .02 .09 .098 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.03 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.05 51.15 53.045 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 70.85 70.853 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .72 .749
003:0006	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 79.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (D') SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
04:110 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 	003:0011
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
*#	Mainlings n013 .230 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 287.93 1229.33 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .73 (ii) 3.32 (ii) Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.27 .35
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = .06 .00 Dep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = .20.3 .250	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .02 .09 .101 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.02 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.05 58.68 59.816 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 70.85 70.853 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .83 .844
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 287.93 3665.18 over (min) 1.00 2.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = .52 (ii) 2.19 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 2.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = 1.45 .53	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 79.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
**TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = .00 .04 .043 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.00 1.000 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.05 67.16 67.452 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 70.85 70.85 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .95 .952	003:0012
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 84.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 	DESIGN STANDALD AFed (Ha)22 08:220 DT Total Imp(%)= 98:00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00
003.0008	Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 287.93 12928.03
*# ADD HYD (R-east) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF 	over (min) 1.00 2.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .76 (ii) 1.77 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 2.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.24 .60
SUM 10:R-east .29 .056 1.00 47.93 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	FLAN. FLOW (Lms) = 1.02 1.13 1.104 (lll) TIME TO FEAK (hrs) = 1.00 1.000 1.001 1.001 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.05 69.17 69.261 100 1.001 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 70.85 70.85 70.853 300 300 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .98 .978 .978
003:0009	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ADD HYD (Rcombo) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 02:102 .22 .020 1.47 40.85 .000 +ID2 03:104 .07 .053 1.00 70.18 .000 +ID3 01:100 .88 .091 1.38 42.13 .000	003:0013
SUM 09:Rcombo 1.17 .114 1.38 43.57 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ADD HYD (Qeast) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) IDI 10:R-east .29 .056 1.000 47.93 .000 +ID2 06:200 .26 .098 1.00 53.04 .000
*# ***********************************	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>*# Catchment 200 - Existing Commercial Area. Drains east through site *#</pre>	*# Total Combined north and east (200 + 100 + 102 + 104) *#***********************************
1 06:200 DT=1.01 Area (maj-2.20) Total Imp(%)= 65.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00 IMPERVIOUS Surface Area (ha)= .17 .09 Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00	HDS HID (QCOMDO () (D) AREA QPEAN TEPAN K.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) (mm) (cms) 1D1 09:Rcombo 1.17 .114 1.38 43.57 .000 + ID2 06:200 .26 .098 1.00 53.04 .000
Length (m) = 41.63 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

950 St. David St. - Fergus

*#************************************	**************************************	**************************************	*****			10.75 2.000 22.75 2.000 34.75 2.000 46.75 38.000 11.00 2.000 23.00 2.000 35.00 2.000 47.00 38.000 11.25 2.000 23.25 2.000 35.25 3.000 47.25 13.000
ADD HYD (Qwest) ID: NHYD ID1 04:110	AREA (ha) .0	QPEAK T (cms) (1 07 .011	PEAK R.V. hrs) (mm) 1.17 40.85	DWF (cms) .000	11.50 2.000 23.50 2.000 35.50 3.000 47.50 13.000 11.75 2.000 23.75 2.000 35.75 3.000 47.75 13.000 12.00 2.000 24.00 2.000 36.00 3.000 48.00 13.000
	SUM 10:0west	.2	.101 .101	1.00 59.82 ====================================	.000	004:0003
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	NS DO NOT INCLUI	E BASEFLOWS	IF ANY.			*# *#*********************************
						*#
*#************************************	**************************************	(120 + 220)	*****			*# Catchment 100 - Largest portion of site draining northeast *# *#
ADD HYD (Qhwy6) ID: NHYD ID1 05:120	AREA (ha) .0	QPEAK T (cms) (1	PEAK R.V. hrs) (mm) 1.00 67.45	DWF (cms) .000	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .88 Curve Number (CN)=85.00 01:100 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .340
	+1D2 08:220 ===================================	.2	.2 .104	1.00 69.26 ====== 1.00 68.87	.000	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .099
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	WS DO NOT INCLUI	E BASEFLOWS	IF ANY.			PEAK FLOW (cms)= .121 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 46.083 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 244.796 TOTAL PAINFALL (mm)= 285.000
J3:0017						RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .859
3:0002						(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
3:0002 ** END OF RUN :	3					004:0004
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****	*****	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	******	*# *# Catchment 102 - Agricultural portion of site draining east
0.000.000	 I Duningt die		2) CHMUVMO) Dree	icoto) Formero		U.H. Tp(hrs) = .400
TZERO = .00 h	- Rainfall din	.: C:\PROGRA	~2\SWMHIMO\PIO	jects\Fergus jects\Fergus	3 \ 3 \	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .029 (i)
METOUT= 2 (ou NRUN = 004 NSTORM= 1	stput = METRIC)					TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 46.150 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 242.171 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285.000 BUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 50
4:0002						(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
#*************************************	**************** [Fergus] Projec	**************************************	.614141721	* * * * * * * * * * * *	*******	
# Date : # # Modeller :	April. 12, 2022 [M. Ornat]					004:0005
# Company : S # License # :	Stantec Consulti 4730904	ng Ltd. (Wat	erloo)			<pre>*# Catchment 104 - Portion of Future site access, currently paved, drains *#</pre>
#*************************************	**************************************	.00 Year and	Regional Storm	************* Events	******	DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .07
# # Per Centre Well	lington Design S	tandards (<-C	CONFIRM?) - Fer	gus IDF Para	ameters	03:104 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%) = 98.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 10.00
# Soil type based	d on Geotechnica	l Investigat	ion (Dec 2021)	;		Surface Area (ha)= .07 .00
# # #	****	******	****	* * * * * * * * * * * *	******	Average Slope $(m) =$ 2.00 2.00 Length $(m) =$ 21.60 40.00
04:0002						Mannings n = .013 .250
READ STORM Ptotal= 285.00 mm	Filename: n Comments:	REGIONAL STO REGIONAL STO	DRM DRM			Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 53.00 2385.00 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.07 (ii) 3.05 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00
TIME	RAIN TIN mm/hr ha	E RAIN s mm/hr	TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr	TIME hrs	RAIN mm/hr	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.03 .37 *TOTALS*
.25 .50 .75 1.00	2.000 12.2 2.000 12.5 2.000 12.5 2.000 12.7 2.000 13.0	25 2.000 60 2.000 75 2.000 10 2.000 10 2.000	24.25 2.000 24.50 2.000 24.75 2.000 25.00 2.000 25.25 2.000	36.25 36.50 36.75 37.00	6.000 6.000 6.000 6.000	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .00 .01 .100 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 45.20 45.97 45.983 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 284.19 284.330 284.330 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285.00 285.00 285.000 PUNDEF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 1.998
1.50 1.75 2.00	2.000 13.5 2.000 13.5 2.000 13.7 2.000 14.0	2.000 5 2.000 0 2.000	25.50 2.000 25.75 2.000 26.00 2.000 26.25 2.000	37.50 37.75 38.00	4.000 4.000 4.000	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 90.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DP) SHOULD BE SMALLEP OR FOLLA
2.50	2.000 14.5	0 2.000 5 2.000	26.50 2.000 26.75 2.000	38.50 38.75	6.000	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
3.00	2.000 15.0	0 2.000 5 2.000	27.00 2.000 27.25 2.000	39.00 39.25 1	6.000 L3.000	
3.50	2.000 15.5	0 2.000 5 2.000	27.50 2.000	39.50 1	L3.000 L3.000	004:0006
4.00 4.25	2.000 16.0	2.000 5 2.000 0 2.000	28.25 2.000 28.50 2.000	40.00 1	L3.000 L7.000	<pre></pre>
4.30 4.75 5.00 5.25	2.000 16.3 2.000 16.3 2.000 17.0 2.000 17.2	2.000 2.000 0 2.000 5 2.000	28.30 2.000 28.75 2.000 29.00 2.000 29.25 2.000	40.30 1 40.75 1 41.00 1 41.25 1	L7.000 L7.000 L7.000 L3.000	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .07 Curve Number (CN)=84.00 04:110 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .180
5.50	2.000 17.5	5 2.000 2.000	29.50 2.000 29.75 2.000	41.50 1	L3.000	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .015
6.00	2.000 18.0	5 2.000	30.25 2.000	42.25 2	23.000	PEAK FLOW (cms) = $.010$ (i) TIME TO PEAK (bre) = $.46,000$
6.75 7 00	2.000 18.3	5 2.000	30.75 2.000	42.75 2	23.000	RUNOFF VOLUME (IIIS) = 40.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 242.168 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285.000
7.00	2.000 19.0	5 2.000	31.25 2.000	43.25 1	L3.000	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .850
7.75	2.000 19.3	5 2.000 0 2.000	31.75 2.000	43.75 1	L3.000 L3.000	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
8.25	2.000 20.2	5 2.000 0 2.000	32.25 2.000 32.50 2.000	44.25 1 44.50 1	L3.000 L3.000	
8.75	2.000 20.7	5 2.000 0 2.000	32.75 2.000 33.00 2.000	44.75 1 45.00 1	L3.000 L3.000	<pre>*# *# Catchment 120 - Future site access, currently paved, drains to hwv 6</pre>
9.25 9.50	2.000 21.2 2.000 21.5	5 2.000	33.25 2.000 33.50 2.000	45.25 5 45.50 5	53.000 53.000	*#
9.75 10.00	2.000 21.7 2.000 22.0	5 2.000 0 2.000	33.75 2.000 34.00 2.000	45.75 5 46.00 5	53.000 53.000	DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .06 05:120 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 93.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00
10.25	2.000 22.2	5 2.000 0 2.000	34.25 2.000	46.25 3	38.000	TMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)

Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope Length	(ha) = .06 (mm) = .80 (%) = 2.00 (m) = 20.00	.00 1.50 2.00 40.00			TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	(hrs)= 45.2 (mm)= 284.1 (mm)= 285.0 IENT = 1.0	8 46. 9 271. 0 285. 0 .	00 09 00 95	46.000 272.416 285.000 .956	
Max.eff.Inten. ove Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpea	(mm/hr) = 53.00 r (min) 1.00 (min) = 1.02 k (min) = 1.00	681.25 4.00 (ii) 4.29 (ii) 4.00			 (i) CN PROCE CN* = 7 (ii) TIME STE THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLO 	DURE SELECTED FOR 9.0 Ia = Dep. S P (DT) SHOULD BE S STORAGE COEFFICIE W DOES NOT INCLUDE	PERVIOUS LOS torage (Abc MALLER OR EQ NT. BASEFLOW IF	SSES: ove) DUAL 7 ANY.		
Unit Hyd. peak	(cms) = 1.06	.27	*TOTALS*							
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL	(cms) = .00 (hrs) = 45.18 (mm) = 284.20 (mm) = 285.00	.01 46.00 281.17 285.00	.009 (iii) 46.000 281.471 285.000		004:0012 *# *# Catchment 220 *#		cial Area dr	aining t	о Нжу б	
(i) CN PROCE	DURE SELECTED FOR P	ERVIOUS LOSSES:	. 200		DESIGN STANDHYD 08:220 DT= 1.0	Area (ha) 0 Total Imp(%)	= .22 = 98.00	Dir. Con	n.(%)= 10.00	
CN* = 8 (ii) TIME STE THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLO	4.0 Ia = Dep. St P (DT) SHOULD BE SM STORAGE COEFFICIEN W DOES NOT INCLUDE	orage (Above) ALLER OR EQUAL T. BASEFLOW IF ANY.			Surface Area Dep. Storage Average Slope	 IMPERVI (ha) = .2 (mm) = .8 (%) = 2.0	OUS PERVI 2 . 0 1. 0 2.	OUS (i) 00 50		
004:0008 ##*******************************	**************************************	**************************************			Length Mannings n Max.eff.Inten. ove	(m) = 38.3 = .01 (mm/hr) = 53.0 r (min) 2.0	0 40. 3 .2 0 2384. 0 3.	89 00		
ADD HYD (R-east) ID: NHYD	AREA QPEAK	TPEAK R.V.	DWF	Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpea	(min) = 1.5 k (min) = 2.0	0 (ii) 3. 0 3.	49 (ii) 00		
	ID1 02:102 +ID2 03:104	(ha) (cms) .22 .029 07 010	(hrs) (mm) 46.15 242.17 45 98 284 33	(cms) .000	PEAK FLOW	(cms) = .6	ь. О	03	*TOTALS*	
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	SUM 10:R-east S DO NOT INCLUDE BA	.29 .039 SEFLOWS IF ANY.	46.00 252.35	.000	TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	(hrs) = 45.3 (mm) = 284.1 (mm) = 285.0 IENT = 1.0	0 45. 9 283. 0 285. 0 .	97 30 00 99	45.967 283.377 285.000 .994	
 004:0009 *#**********	*****	****			(i) CN PROCE CN* = 7 (ii) TIME STE	DURE SELECTED FOR 7.0 Ia = Dep. S P (DT) SHOULD BE S	PERVIOUS LOS torage (Abc MALLER OR EÇ	SSES: ove) QUAL		
*# Total Combine *#********	d East and North Fl	ow from Reid's (10 ******	0 + 102 + 104)		THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLO	STORAGE COEFFICIE W DOES NOT INCLUDE	NT. BASEFLOW IF	ANY.		
ADD HYD (Rcombo) ID: NHYD ID1 02:102 +ID2 03:104	AREA QPEAK (ha) (cms) .22 .029 .07 .010	TPEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm) 46.15 242.17 45.98 284.33	DWF (cms) .000	 004:0013 ##******************************	**************************************	***************************************	*****		
	+ID3 01:100	.88 .121	46.08 244.80	.000	*#************	***************************************	*********	*****		
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	SUM 09:Rcombo S DO NOT INCLUDE BA	1.17 .158 SEFLOWS IF ANY.	46.03 246.67	.000	ADD HYD (Qeast) ID: NHYD ID1 10:R-east	AREA (ha) .29	QPEAK (cms) .039	TPEAK R.V. (hrs) (mm) 46.00 252.35	DWF (cms) .000
						+ID2 06:200	.26	.038	46.00 262.76	.000
004:0010	*****	*****	****	******		SUM 02:Qeast	.55	.077	46.00 257.27	.000
*# *#************* DRAI	NAGE FROM OUTSIDE S	ITE BOUNDARY ****	****		NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE B	ASEFLOWS IF	ANY.		
*# *#*********************************		*********************************	ast through site	* * * * * * * *	004:0014 *#******************************	**************************************	**************************************	102 + 10	4)	
DESIGN STANDHYD	 Area (ha)=	.26			ADD HYD (Ocombo) ID: NHYD	AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK R.V.	DWF
06:200 DT= 1.0	0 Total Imp(%)=	65.00 Dir. Co	onn.(%)= 10.00			ID1 09:Rcombo	(ha) 1.17	(cms) .158	(hrs) (mm) 46.03 246.67	(cms) .000
Surface Area	(ha) = .17	US PERVIOUS (i) .09				+ID2 06:200	.26	.038	46.00 262.76	.000
Dep. Storage Average Slope Length	(mm) = .80 (%) = 2.00 (m) = 41.63	1.50 2.00 40.00			NOTE: PEAK FLOW	SUM 03:Qcombo S DO NOT INCLUDE B	1.43 ASEFLOWS IF	.196 ANY.	46.00 249.59	.000
Mannings n	= .013	.250								
Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpea	$\begin{array}{ccc} (\min(r) & - & -53.00 \\ r & (\min) & 2.00 \\ (\min) & - & 1.58 \\ k & (\min) & - & 2.00 \\ (\min) & - & - & 65 \end{array}$	(ii) 7.84 (ii) 8.00 8.00			*#************************************	**************************************	**************************************	******		
DEAK FION	(cms) = .65	.14	*TOTALS*		ADD HYD (Qwest) ID: NHYD	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK R.V.	DWF
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(hrs) = 45.32 (mm) = 284.20	46.00	46.000			ID1 04:110 +ID2 07:210	.07	.010	46.00 242.17	.000
TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	(mm) = 285.00 IENT = 1.00	285.00	285.000			SUM 10:0west	.26	.038	46.00 264.27	.000
<pre>(i) CN PROCE CN* = 7 (ii) TIME STE</pre>	DURE SELECTED FOR P 9.0 Ia = Dep. St P (DT) SHOULD BE SM	ERVIOUS LOSSES: orage (Above) ALLER OR EQUAL			NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE B	ASEFLOWS IF	ANY.		
THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLO	STORAGE COEFFICIEN W DOES NOT INCLUDE	T. BASEFLOW IF ANY.			004:0016 *#*******************************	**************************************	************* 20 + 220)	*****		
004:0011						ハ・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0DF7F	TPEAK D V	าพะ
*# Catchment 210	- Existing Commerc	ial Area draining	west		(QumAo	 ID1 05:120	(ha) .06	(cms) .009	(hrs) (mm) 46.00 281.47	(cms)
DESIGN STANDHYD	 Area (ha)=	.19				+ID2 08:220	.22	.032	45.97 283.38	.000
07:210 DT= 1.0	0 Total Imp(%)=	81.00 Dir. Cc	onn.(%)= 10.00			SUM 02:Qhwy6	.28	.041	46.00 282.97	.000
Surface Area	(ha) = .15	US PERVIOUS (i)			NOTE: PEAK FLOW	S DO NOT INCLUDE B	ASEFLOWS IF	ANY.		
Dep. Storage Average Slope	(mm) = .80 (%) = 2.00	1.50			004:0017					
Length Mannings n	(m) = 35.59 = .013	40.00			004:0002					
Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr) = 53.00	250.21			004:0002					
ove Storage Coeff.	r (min) 1.00 (min) = 1.44	6.00 (ii) 6.33 (ii)			004:0002					
Unit Hyd. Tpea Unit Hyd. peak	к (min) = 1.00 (cms) = .85	6.00 .18	+=======		FINISH					
PEAK FLOW	(cms) = .00	.03	*TOTALS* .028 (iii)		WARNINGS / ERR	**************************************	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	******	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******

Simulation ended on 2022-05-04 at 11:28:17



2 Metric units	****	*#************************************	********* TDsum=[7]. NHYD=["Hwy6"]. TDs to add=[1.4]
*# Project Name:	[Fergus] Project Number: [161414172]	*#	
*# Date : A *# Modeller :	April. 21, 2022 [K. Macnaughton]	*# Catchment 400	- Landscaped area, drains East
*# Company : 5 *# License # : *#*********************************	Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo) 4730904 ***********************************	DESIGN NASHYD	<pre>ID=[1], NHYD=["400"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.05 DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[77], TP=[0.05]hrs, RAINFALL=[,](mm/br), END=-1</pre>
*# Proposed condit	tions model 25 mm, 5-year, 100-year, and Regional Storm Events	*#	Communical Dauking Kata during Dauk
*# *# Per Centre Well	lington Design Standards(<-CONFIRM?)	*# Catchment 410	- Commercial Parking Lot, drains East
*# Fergus *# *# Soil type based	Shand Dam IDF Parameters i on Geotechnical Investigation (Dec 2021);	DESIGN STANDHYD	<pre>ID=[4],NHYD=["410"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.31] XIMP=[0.8], TIMP=[0.9], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS= SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[, , ,](mm/hr),</pre>
*# hydrologic s *# *#	soil type CD (Assumed).	ADD HYD ROUTE RESERVOIR	<pre>IDsum=[6], NHYD=["C-East"], IDs to add=[1,4 IDout=[1], NHYD=["C-Sto"], IDin=[6], PDT=[1](min)</pre>
*# - 25 mm e *# - all Rei	vent infiltrated from all rooftops id's rooftops directed to parking area and northward		TABLE of (OUTFLOW-STORAGE) values (cms) - (ha-m)
*# - 100 yea *# - site & comm s *# - 95% to 5%	ar event infiltrated for Catchments 310 and 340 storage increased to match Total combined north and east flow split on increase in volume requirements		[0.0 , 0.0] [0.03, 0.006] [0.055, 0.00875]
*#************************************	TZERO=[0.0]. METOUT=[2]. NSTORM=[1]. NRUN=[001]		[-1 , -1] (max twen
*# *8 READ_STORM	["GU-25mm.stm"] <storm filename,="" for="" line="" nstorm<="" one="" per="" td=""><td>*#************************************</td><td>********* Swale at East</td></storm>	*#************************************	********* Swale at East
*#************		ADD HYD	<pre>IDsum=[4], NHYD=["TotalE"], IDs to add=[5, **********</pre>
×# *#**********************************	DRAINAGE within site boundary *******************	*# Total Flow Nor *#***********************************	tn ******** IDsum=[5], NHYD=["ComboT"], IDs to add=[2,4
*#*************************************	***************************************	*#430	25 mm infiltrates
*# Catchment 305	5 - Paved driveway, drains to swale, east of site	*#	
*# DESIGN STANDHYD	 ID=[1],NHYD=["305"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.09](ha), XIMP=[0.1], TIMP=[0.9], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[77],	DESIGN STANDHYD	<pre>ID=[2],NHYD=["430"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.14] XIMP=[0.01], TIMP=[0.99], DWF=[0](cms), LOS SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr),</pre>
*#	SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[,,,,](mm/hr), END=-1	COMPUTE DUALHYD	<pre>IDin=[2], CINLET=[.026](cms), NINLET=[1], MAJID=[4], MajNHYD=["430of"], MINID=[6], MiNHYD=["430of"]</pre>
*#	The for Number (1940), and find the second of the second s		TMJSTO=[] (cu-m)
DESIGN NASHYD	D=[2, NHYD=["340"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.08](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[77], TP=[0.04]hrs,	*# Catchment 440	 D - Landscaped area, drains west
COMPUTE DUALHYD	RAINFALL=[, , , ,] (mm/hr), END=-1 IDin=[2], CINLET=[.024] (cms), NINLET=[1],	*# DESIGN NASHYD	 ID=[1], NHYD=["440"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.04
	MAJID=[4], MajNHYD=["340of"], MINID=[9], MinNHYD=["340in"]		DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[77], TP=[0.03]hrs,
	TMJSTO=[] (cu-m)	ADD HYD	IDsum=[2], NHYD=["C-West"], IDs to add=[4,1
*#		*# Total Flow West	t
*#	Landscaped area, drains west - 100 yr infiltrates	ADD HYD	<pre>IDsum=[1], NHYD=["TotalW"], IDs to add=[3,2</pre>
DESIGN NASHYD	<pre>ID=[1], NHYD=["310"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.11](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[77], TP=[0.04]hrs,</pre>	*8	
COMPUTE DUALHYD	RAINFALL=[, , , ,] (mm/hr), END=-1 IDin=[1], CINLET=[.033] (cms), NINLET=[1],	START *#	<pre>TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRU ["Fer5yr.stm"] <storm filename,="" one="" per<="" pre=""></storm></pre>
	MAJID=[3], MajNHYD=["310of"], MINID=[8], MinNHYD=["310in"], TMJSTC=[](cu=m)	* % START *#	<pre>TZERO=[0.0]hrs or date, METOUT=[2], NSTOR ["Fer100v.stm"] <storm filename,="" one="" per<="" pre=""></storm></pre>
*#		*8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*#		START	TZERO=[0.0]hrs or date, METOUT=[2], NSTOR
DESIGN STANDHID	ID=[1],NHID=["395"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.2/](ha), XIMP=[0.01], TIMP=[0.99], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[90], SLOPE=[30](%) PainFaint_[] (mm/br) FND=1	*8	["nurnaz48.stm"] <storm filename,="" one="" pe<="" td=""></storm>
COMPUTE DUALHYD	Dion=[1], CINLET=[.056](cms), NINLET=[1], MAJID=[4], MajNHYD=["393of"], MINID=[7], MinNHYD=["393in"], TMJSTO=[](cu-m)	FINISH	
*#350) - Parking lot and Landscaped amenity area		
*# DESIGN STANDHYD	ID=[1],NHYD=["350"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.59](ha),		
ADD HYD	<pre>XIMP=[0.65], TIMP=[0.70], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[77], SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[, , , ,] (mm/hr), END=-1 IDsum=[6], NHZD=["SUB"], IDs to add=[1.4]</pre>		
*#			
*# Storage for par	rking lot and excess rooftop runoff		
ROUTE RESERVOIR	<pre>IDout=[4], NHYD=["R-Sto"], IDin=[6],</pre>		
	<pre>KUT=[1](min), TABLE of (OUTFLOW-STORAGE) values (cms) - (ha-m) [0.01, 0.01] [0.015, 0.0125] [0.035, 0.02325]</pre>		
*#	<pre>IDovf=[], NHYDovf=[]</pre>		
*# Catchment 320) - Landscaped area, drains north		
" DESIGN NASHYD	<pre>ID=[1], NHYD=["320"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.09](ha), DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[77], TP=[0.04]hrs,</pre>		
ADD HYD ADD HYD	<pre>KALNFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1 IDsum=[2], NHYD=["RNorth"], IDs to add=[1,4] IDsum=[10], NHYD=["Rcombo"], IDs to add=[2.5]</pre>		
*# Catchment 300) - Future site access, paved, drains to hwy 6		
*#			
DESIGN STANDHID	<pre>LD=[1],MRID=[^300⁻], DT=[1]mln, AREA=[0.07][na], XIMP=[0.1], TIMP=[0.90], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[77], SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[,,,,](mm/hr), END=-1</pre>		
*#************************************	***************************************		
*#*************************************	DRAINAGE from Commercial Site ************************************		
*#*************************************	·····		
*# Catchment 420 *#) - Landscaped area, drains to Hwy 6 TDE[4] NHYDE["420"] DTE[1]min 2002-[0,12](ba)		
Solon MAGNID	DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[77], TP=[0.06]hrs, RAINFALL=[, , , ,](mm/hr), END=-1		
*#************************************	******* Wy б		

```
---
ID=[1], NHYD=["400"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.05](ha),
DWF=[0](cms), CN/C=[77], TP=[0.05]hrs,
RAINFALL=[,,,,](mm/hr), END=-1
IGN NASHYD
----
     Catchment 410 - Commercial Parking Lot, drains East
                                --

TD=[4],NHYD=["410"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.31](ha),

XIMP=[0.8], TIMP=[0.9], DWF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[77],

SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALLE[, , , , ](mm/hr), END=-1

IDsum=[6], NHYD=["C-East"], IDs to add=[1,4]

IDout=[1], NHYD=["C-Sto"], IDin=[6],

RDT=[1](min),

TABLE of (OUTFLOW-STORAGE ) values
IGN STANDHYD
) HYD
JTE RESERVOIR
                                                                   (cms) - (ha-m)
0.0 , 0.0 ]
0.03, 0.006
[ 0.055, 0.00875]
[ 0.055, 0.00875]
[ -1, , -1 ] (m
IDovf=[ ], NHYDovf=[ ]
                                                                                               (max twenty pts)
 Total Flow to Swale at East
 HYD IDsum=[4 ], NHYD=["TotalE"], IDs to add=[5,1 ]
 Total Flow North
         IDsum=[5], NHYD=["ComboT"], IDs to add=[2,4 ]
HYD
  Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates
                                --
TD=[2],NHYD=["430"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.14](ha),
XIMP=[0.01], TIMP=[0.99], DMF=[0](cms), LOSS=[2], CN=[90],
SLOPE=[2](%), RAINFALL=[, , , ] (mm/hr), END=-1
IDin=[2], CINLET=[.026](cms), NINLET=[1],
MAJID=[4], MajNHYD=["430of"],
MINID=[6], MinNHYD=["430oin"],
TMJSTO=[](cu-m)
SIGN STANDHYD
MPUTE DUALHYD
     Catchment 440 - Landscaped area, drains west

      SIGN NASHYD
      ID=[1], NHYD=["440"], DT=[1]min, AREA=[0.04] (ha),
DWF=[0] (cms), CN/C=[77], TP=[0.03]hrs,
RAINFALL=[,,,,](mm/hr), END=-1
IDsum=[2], NHYD=["C-West"], IDs to add=[4,1]

 Total Flow West
                                IDsum=[1], NHYD=["TotalW"], IDs to add=[3,2]
D HYD
ART TZERO=[0.0], METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[2]

["Fer5yr.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM

ART TZERO=[0.0]hrs or date, METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[3]

["Fer100y.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM
 _____
```

TZERO=[0.0]hrs or date, METOUT=[2], NSTORM=[1], NRUN=[4]
["hurhaz48.stm"] <--storm filename, one per line for NSTORM</pre>

PD2511.out

	Dep. Storage $(mm) = .80$ 1.50
SSSSS W W M H H Y Y M M 000 999 999 =======	Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 24.49 40.00
SWWWMMMHHYYMMMO O 9999 SSSSSWWWMMMHHHHH YMMMO O ## 9999 Ver 4.05	Mannings n = .013 .250
S WW M M H H Y M M O O 9999 9999 Sept 2011 SSSSS WW M M H H Y M M OOO 9 9 =======	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 74.93 535.00 over(min) 1.00 5.00
9 9 9 9 # 4730904 StormWater Management HYdrologic Model 999 999 ========	Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.00 (ii) 4.61 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00
***************************************	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.07 .24 *TOTALS*
********* A single event and continuous hydrologic simulation model *********	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .00 .01 .010 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.58 1.63 1.633
********* based on the principles of HYMO and its successors ********* ***************************	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.22 18.56 19.129 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.02 25.02 25.025
********** Distributed by: J.F. Sabourin and Associates Inc.	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .74 .764
********* Ottawa, Ontario: (613) 836-3884 ******** ********* Gatineau, Quebec: (819) 243-6858 ********	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
**************************************	(11) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
	(111) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
++++++++ ++++++++ Kitchener SERIAL#:4730904 ++++++++	001:0004
*****	<pre>*# Catchment 340 - Landscaped area, drains east - 100 yr infiltrates *#</pre>
********* ++++++ PROGRAM ARRAY DIMENSIONS ++++++ ******************************	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha) = .08 Curve Number (CN) =77.00
******** Max. number of rainfall points: 105408 ********* ********* Max. number of flow points : 105408 *********	02:340 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .040
*****	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .076
**************************************	PEAK FLOW $(cms) = .003$ (i)
* DATE: 2022-05-04 TIME: 11:28:01 RUN COUNTER: 000521 *	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
* Input filename: C:\PROGRA~2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\PD2511.dat * * Output filename: C:\PROGRA~2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\PD2511.out *	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .222
* Summary filename: C:\PROGRA~2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\PD2511.sum * * User comments: *	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
* 1:*	001:0005
* 3:*	COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = .024 (cms)
011.0001	TotalHyd 02:340 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .024 (cms) Total minor system capacity = .024 (cms)
001:0001- *#***********************************	TD- NHYD APEA OPEAK TOPEAK DV DMF
*# Date : April. 21, 2022 *# Modeller : [K. Macnaudhton]	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TOTAL HYD. 02:340
<pre>*# Company : Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo) *# License # : 4730904</pre>	MAJOR SYST 04:340of .00 .000 .000 .000 .000
<pre>*#***********************************</pre>	MINOR SYST 09:340in .08 .003 1.600 5.567 .000
*# Per Centre Wellington Design Standards(<-CONFIRM?)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
<pre>*# rergus Shand Dam IDF Parameters *# *# *# Soil tumo based on Costoobnical Investigation (Dec 2021).</pre>	001:0006
<pre>*# hydrologic soil type CD (Assumed). *#</pre>	ADD HYD (R-East) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
<pre>*# Apr 25 - Each site provides separate storage *# - 25 mm event infiltrated from all rooftops</pre>	ID1 01:305 .09 .010 1.63 19.13 .000 +ID2 04:340of .00 .000 .00 .00 .000
 *# - all Reid's rooftops directed to parking area and northward *# - 100 year event infiltrated for Catchments 310 and 340 	SUM 05:R-East .09 .010 1.63 19.13 .000
*# - site & comm storage increased to match Total combined north and east flow *# - 95% to 5% split on increase in volume requirements	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
#	
TZERO = 00 brs on	*# *# Catchment 310 - Landscaped area, drains west - 100 vr infiltrates
METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NRUN = 001	*#
NSTORM= 1 # 1=GU-25mm.stm	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .11 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:310 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
001:0002	U.H. Tp(hrs)= .040
READ STORM Filename: 25-mm, 4-hr, Chicago Storm, Guelph (a=50 Ptotal= 25.02 mm Comments: 25-mm, 4-hr, Chicago Storm Guelph (a=50	PEAK FLOW (cms)= 004 (i)
TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.600 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 5.567
hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .08 1.466 1.08 4.028 2.08 5.770 3.08 2.077	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.025 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .222
.17 1.542 1.17 4.819 2.17 4.974 3.17 1.979 .25 1.626 1.25 6.031 2.25 4.379 3.25 1.891	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
.33 1.722 1.33 8.122 2.33 3.917 3.33 1.811 .42 1.831 1.42 12.538 2.42 3.549 3.42 1.739 50 1.957 1.50 2.7304 2.50 2.000 3.42 1.739	011.0009
.50 1.957 $ $ 1.50 2.224 $ $ 2.50 3.246 $ $ 3.50 $1.672.58$ 2.103 $ $ 1.58 74.928 $ $ 2.58 2.997 $ $ 3.58 1.61167 2.276 $ $ 1.67 31.441 $ $ 2.67 2.785 $ $ 3.67 1.555	UCIDUUS- L COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = 033 (cms)
.75 2.484 1.75 16.835 2.75 2.603 3.75 1.503 .83 2.739 1.83 11.368 2.83 2.446 3.83 1.454	TotalHyd 01:310 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .033 (cms)
.92 3.058 1.92 8.571 2.92 2.307 3.92 1.409 1.00 3.471 2.00 6.888 3.00 2.185 4.00 1.367	Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)
001-0002	ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
UU1:UUU3	TOTAL HYD. 01:310 .11 .004 1.600 5.567 .000
$\overset{\pi}{*}$ **********************************	MINOR SYST 08:310in .11 .004 1.600 5.567 .000
- - - - + 	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
*# Catchment 305 - Paved driveway, drains to swale, east of site *#	001:0009
DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha) = .09	*#* *# Rooftops - 360+370+380+390+395 - 25 mm infiltrated
U1:3U5	^∓
Surface Area (ha) = .08 .01	01:393 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 99.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 1.00

	*#
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (1) Surface Area (ha)= .27 .00 Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 30.00 30.00	IDESIGN NASHYD IArea (ha) = .09 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 I 01:320 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm) = 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = .040 .040 .040
Length (m)= 42.43 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .086
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 74.93 7414.48	PEAK FLOW (cms) = $.003$ (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.600
Storage Coeff. (min)= .62 (ii) 1.18 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 1.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.36 .97	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 5.567 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.025 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .222
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms) = .00 .06 .056 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.58 1.58 1.583 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.22 24.73 24.723	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.02 25.02 25.025 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .99 .988	001:0015
 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN[★] = 90.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL 	ADD HYD (RNorth) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:320 .09 .003 1.60 5.57 .000 +DD2 04:R-Sto 59 0.08 2.20 17.97 000
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	SUM 02:RNorth .68 .008 1.67 16.33 .000
	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
	001.0016
TotalHyd 01:393 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = 0.56 (cms) Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)	ADD HYD (Rcombo) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (nm) (cms)
ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	1DI 02:RNOrth .68 .008 1.67 16.33 .000 +ID2 05:R-East .09 .010 1.63 19.13 .000
(ind) (clus) (ints) (intu) (clus)	SUM 10:Rcombo .77 .018 1.63 16.65 .000
MAJOR SYST 04:393of .00 .000 .000 .000 .000 MINOR SYST 07:393in .27 .056 1.583 24.723 .000	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	001:0017
	## Catchment 300 - Future site access, paved, drains to hwy 6
001:0011	
*#	DESIGN STANDHYD Area (na)= .07 01:300 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 90.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00
DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .59 01:350 DT=1.00 Total Imp(%)= 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 65.00	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .06 .01 Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .41 .18	Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 21.60 40.00
Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00	Mannings n = .013 .250
Length (m)= 62.72 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 74.93 535.00 over (min) 1.00 5.00
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 74.93 11.26	Storage Coeff. (min)= .93 (11) 4.54 (11) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00
over (min) 2.00 19.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.76 (ii) 18.67 (ii) Unit Hud Toolk (min)= 2.00 19.00 19.00	Unit Hya. peak (cms) = 1.12 .24 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cmc) = 00 01 008 (jij)
Unit Hyd. peak (msh)= 2.00 19.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .61 .06 *TOTALS*	TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.58 1.63 1.633 BUINDEF VOLUME (mm)= 24.22 18.56 19 129
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .08 .00 .076 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.58 1.92 1.583	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.02 25.02 25.025 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .74 .764
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.22 6.35 17.968 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.02 25.02 25.025	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .25 .718	CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: $CN^* = 77.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	001:0018
001:0012	*# *#*********************************
ADD HYD (SUB) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	
(na) (cms) (nrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:350 .59 .076 1.58 17.97 .000 HD2 04:3920f 00 000 00 000	*# Catchment 420 - Landscaped area, drains to Hwy 6
SIM 06-SIB 59 076 1 58 17 07 000	= 13 Curve Number (CN = 77 0.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	04:420 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .060
001:0013	Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= .083
*# *# Storage for parking lot and excess rooftop runoff *# *******	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .004 (1) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.617 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 5.557 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 25.025
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .222
IN>06:(SUB) OUT<04:(R-Sto) ======= OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ========	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.)	001:0019
.000 .0000E+00 .035 .2325E-01 .015 .1250E-01 .000 .0000E+00	*# Total Flow to Hwy 6
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >06: (SUB) .59 .076 1.583 17.968	
OUTFLOW<04: (R-Sto) .59 .008 2.200 17.968	ID1 01:300 .07 .008 1.63 19.13 .000 +ID2 04:420 .13 .004 1.62 5.57 .000
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 10.053 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 37.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.6371E-02	SUM 07:Hwy6 .20 .012 1.63 10.31 .000
001.0014	NOIE. FEAR FLOWS DU NUI INCLUDE BASEFLUWS IF ANY.
# *#	001:0020
	1

*# Catchment 400 - Landscaped area, drains East *#	*#
	DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .14 02:430 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 99.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 1.00
U.H. Tp(hrs) = .050	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .14 .00 Dep Storage (mm)= .80 .1.50
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .002 (i)	Average Slope $(\&) = 2.00$ 2.00 Length (m) = 30.55 40.00
TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.600 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 5.565	Mannings n = .013 .250
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.025 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .222	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 74.93 7414.11 over (min) 1.00 2.00
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Storage Coeff. (mn)= 1.14 (11) 2.40 (11) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (mn)= 1.00 2.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .99 .50 *TOTALS*
001:0021	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .00 .03 .026 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.58 1.58 1.583
*# Catchment 410 - Commercial Parking Lot, drains East *#	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.22 24.73 24.723 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.02 25.02 25.025
DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha) = .31	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .99 .988
	<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 90.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL</pre>
Surface Area (ha) = .28 .03 Dep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 45.46 40.00	
Mannings n = .013 .250	
wax.err.inten.(mm/nr)= 74.93 37.97 over (min) 1.00 12.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.45 (ii) 11.85 (ii)	TotalHyd 02:430 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .026 (cms)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 12.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .85 .10	Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)
PEAK FLOW (cms)= .05 .00 .051 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (brs)= 1.58 1.77 1.583	TOTAL HYD 02:430 14 026 1 583 24 723 000
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 24.22 9.47 21.274 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.02 25.02 25.025	MAJOR SYST 04:430of .00 .000 1.583 24.723 .000
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .97 .38 .850 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	MINOR SYST 06:430in .14 .026 1.583 24.723 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
CN^{\star} = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	001:0028
001:0022	*#
ADD HYD (C-East) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .04 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:440 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:400 .05 .002 1.60 5.56 .000	U.H. Tp(hrs) = .030
+1D2 04:410 .31 .051 1.58 21.2/ .000	Unit Hya Qpeak (cms)= .051
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.583 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 5.566 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 25.025
001:0023	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .222
ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.	(1) PEAR FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE DASEFLOW IF ANT.
OUT<01:(C-Sto) ======== OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ====================================	R.V. may be ok. Peak flow could be off.
(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .055 .8750E-02	
.030 .6000E-02 .000 .0000E+00	ADD HYD (C-West) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TEEAK R.V. DWF
	+ID2 01:440 .04 .002 1.58 5.57 .000
OUTFLOW<01: (C-Sto) .36 .014 1.750 19.092	SUM 02:C-West .04 .002 1.58 5.58 .000
PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 26.571 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 10.00	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
MALINUM STORAGE 03ED (IId.III.)=.2009E-02	001:0030
001:0024	*# Total Flow West *#**********************
*# Total Flow to Swale at East *#************************	ADD HYD (TotalW) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
ADD HYD (TotalE) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	(na) (Cms) (nrs) (mm) (Cms) ID1 03:310of .00 .000 .00 .00 .000 +TD2 02:C-West 04 002 1 58 5 58 000
ID1 05:R-East .09 .010 1.63 19.13 .000 +ID2 01:C-Sto .36 .014 1.75 19.09 .000	SUM 01:TotalW .04 .002 1.58 5.58 .000
SUM 04:TotalE .45 .023 1.65 19.10 .000	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
	001.0031
001:0025	001:0031
001:0025	001:0031
001:0025	001:0031
001:0025	001:0031
001:0025	001:0031
001:0025	001:0031 ** END OF RUN : 1
001:0025	001:0031 ** END OF RUN : 1

<pre>*# Project Name: [Fergus] Project Number: [161414172] *# Date : April. 21, 2022 *# Modeller : [K. Macnaughton] *# Company : Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo) *# License # : 4730904 *#</pre>	ADD HYD (R-East) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) IDI 01:305 .09 .030 1.00 36.19 .000 +ID2 04:340of .00 .000 .00 .00 .00
*# Proposed conditions model 25 mm, 5-year, 100-year, and Regional Storm Events *#	SUM 05:R-East .09 .030 1.00 36.19 .000
*# Per Centre Wellington Design Standards(<-CONFIRM?) *# Fergus Shand Dam IDF Parameters	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
*# *# Soil type based on Geotechnical Investigation (Dec 2021); *# hydrologic soil type CD (Assumed).	002:0007
*# *# Apr 25 - Each site provides separate storage	<pre>*# Catchment 310 - Landscaped area, drains west - 100 yr infiltrates *#</pre>
*# - 25 mm event inflitrated from all roottops *# - all Reid's roottops directed to parking area and northward *# - 100 year event infiltrated for Catchments 310 and 340 *# - site & comm storage increased to match Total combined north and east flow *# - 95% to 5% split on increase in volume requirements	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .11 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:310 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .040
	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .105
002:0002	PEAK FLOW (cms) = .014 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.017 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 14.528 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.751 RUNOFF COEFICIENT = .340
TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr .08 4.561 .83 16.206 1.58 9.956 2.33 5.609	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
.17 4.883 .92 30.337 1.67 9.078 2.42 5.377 .25 5.266 1.00 174.456 1.75 8.367 2.50 5.167 .25 5.221 0.9 27 648 1.92 7.777 2.58 4.975	002:0008
.42 6.312 1.17 23.457 1.92 7.279 2.67 4.800 .50 7.059 1.25 17.808 2.00 6.852 2.75 4.640 .58 8.064 1.33 14.631 2.08 6.481 2.83 4.491	COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = .033 (cms) TotalHyd 01:310 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .033 (cms)
.67 9.506 1.42 12.534 2.17 6.156 2.52 4.534 .75 11.803 1.50 11.074 2.25 5.867 3.00 4.227	TD- NHVD APEA OPEAK TOPEAK P V DMF
002.0003	TOTAL HYD 01:310 11 014 1 017 14 528 000
*#************************************	MAJOR SYST 03:3100f .00 .000 .000 .000
*#************************************	MINOR SYST 08:310in .11 .014 1.017 14.528 .000
*=====================================	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
*# Catchment 305 - Paved driveway, drains to swale, east of site *#	002:0009
DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .09 01:305 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 90.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00	*#
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)	DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .27
Surrace Area (na) = .08 .01 Dep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50 Average Slope (k) = 2.00 2.00	
Length $(m) = 24.49 + 40.00$ Mannings $n = 013 - 250$	Surface Area (ha)= $.27$.00 Den Storage (mm)= 80 1 50
Max eff Inten $(mm/hr) = 174.46 - 1419.89$	Average Slope ($\$$) = 30.00 30.00 Length (m) = 42.43 40.00
$\begin{array}{c} \text{over}(\min) & 1.00 & 3.00\\ \text{Storage Coeff}(\min) & .71 (ii) & 3.16 (ii) \end{array}$	Mannings n = .013 .250
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 3.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = 1.28 .36	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 174.46 17268.43 over (min) 1.00 1.00
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= .00 .03 .030 (iii)	Storage Coeff. (min)= .44 (ii) .84 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 1.00
TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.02 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 41.95 35.55 36.188	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.52 1.18 *TOTALS*
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.75 42.75 42.751 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .83 .846	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .00 .13 .131 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.00 1.000
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 41.95 42.45 42.445 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.75 42.75 42.751
$CN^* = 77.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .99 .993
(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(1) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS DOSES: CN* = 90.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) THE STEP (DR) CHOILD PE CMAILED OF COUNT
002.0004	THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
002.0004	(III) FER FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BRSEFLOW IF ANT.
*#	002:0010
DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .08 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 02:340 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .040	COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = .056 (cms) TotalHyd 01:393 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .056 (cms) Total minor system capacity = .056 (cms)
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = .076	ID: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .010 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.017	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TOTAL HYD. 01:393 .27 .131 1.000 42.445 .000
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 14.528 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.751	MAJOR SYST 04:393of .05 .075 1.000 42.445 .000
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .340 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	MINOR SYST 07:393in .22 .056 .933 42.445 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
	002:0011
CUMPULE DUALHID AVERAGE INLET CAPACITIES [CINLET] = .024 (cms) TotalHyd 02:340 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1	"# Catchment 300 - Farking Lot and Landscaped amenity area *#
Total major system capacity = .U24 (cms) Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)	DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .59
ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	
TOTAL HYD. 02:340 .08 .010 1.017 14.528 .000	Surface Area (ha)= .41 .18 Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50
MAJOR SYST 04:340of .00 .000 .000 .000 .000 MINOR SYST 09:340in .08 .010 1.017 14.528 .000	Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 62.72 40.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 174.46 41.66
002:0006	over (min) 1.00 11.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= 1.26 (ii) 11.27 (ii)

950 St. David St Fergus	PD2511.01
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 11.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .93 .10 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = .18 .01 .187 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.00 1.17 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 41.95 16.14 32.919 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.75 42.75 42.751 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .38 .770 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .00 .02 .023 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.02 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 41.95 35.55 36.188 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 42.75 42.75 42.751 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .83 .846 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .01* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
<pre>CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.</pre>	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
002:0012	<pre></pre>
002:0013	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .003 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .013 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.033 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 14.528 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 42.751 RUNOFF COEFFCIENT = .340 (i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY
	(1) TEAK TEOW DEED KOT INCLUE ENDED ON TEAKT.
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. 	I ADD HYD (Hwy6 I ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) IDI 01:300 .07 .023 1.00 36.19 .000 +IDZ 04:420 .13 .013 1.03 14.53 .000 SUM 07:Hwy6 .20 .035 1.02 22.11 .000
<pre> DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha) = .09 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:320 DT = 1.00 Ia (mm) = 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = .086 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .012 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.017 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 14.528 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 14.2751 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .340</pre>	<pre>*#</pre>
(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 002:0015	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
ADD HYD (RNorth) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF 	002:0021
002:0016	Suffactor Afga (Ma) - .20 .03 Dep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 45.46 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 174.46 135.45 over (min) 1.00 7.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 1.03 (ii) 7.29 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 7.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. 002:0017	*TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .12 .01 .123 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.08 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 41.95 22.07 37.975 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 42.75 42.75 42.751 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .98 .52 .888
#	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 21.60 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 174.46 1419.89 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .66 (ii) 3.10 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 3.00	002:0022- 002:0022- ADD HYD (C-East) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:400 .05 .006 1.02 14.53 .000 +ID2 04:410 .31 .123 1.00 37.97 .000
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.32 .37 *TOTALS*	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.

	TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.751 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .340
	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
KOUTE KESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>06:(C-East) OURCOINCEST CONTRACT TO A CONTRACT.	*** WARNING: Time step is too large for value of TP.
OUTFLOW STORAGE TABLE ====================================	R.V. may be ok. Peak flow could be off.
.000 .0000E+00 .055 .8750E-02 .030 .6000E-02 .000 .0000E+00	ADD HYD (C-West) ID: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.	ID1 04:430of (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >06: (C-East) .36 .128 1.000 34.718	+ID2 01:440 .04 .006 1.00 14.53 .000
OUTFLOW <u1: (c-sto)="" .026="" .36="" 1.133="" 18<="" 34.="" td=""><td>SUM 02:C-West .06 .043 1.00 24.51 .000</td></u1:>	SUM 02:C-West .06 .043 1.00 24.51 .000
TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 8.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.5123E-02	NOTE: FEAR FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANT.
002:0024	*#************************************
*#************************************	*#*************************************
*#************************************	ADD HYD (TotalW) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK K.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TDI 05:R-East .09 .030 1.00 36.19 .000	+ID2 02:C-West .06 .043 1.00 24.51 .000
+ID2 01:C-Sto .36 .026 1.13 34.72 .000	SUM 01:TotalW .06 .043 1.00 24.51 .000
SUM 04:TotalE .45 .050 1.02 35.01 .000	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	002:0031
002:0025	002:0002
*# Total Flow North *#******************	END OF KUN : 2
(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 02:RNorth .73 .023 1.02 31.25 .000	
+ID2 04:TotalE .45 .050 1.02 35.01 .000	
SUM 05:ComboT 1.18 .074 1.02 32.69 .000	START Project dir.: C:\PROGRA-2\SWMHYMO\Projects\Fergus\
NOIE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOI INCLUDE DASEFLOWS IF ANI.	METOUT= 2 (output = METRIC) NEIN = 003
002:0026 *#	NSTORM= 1 # 1=Fer100y.stm
<pre>*# Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#</pre>	003:0002
DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .14	*#************************************
02:430 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 99.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 1.00	*# Date : April. 21, 2022 *# Modeller : [K. Macnaughton]
IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (1) Surface Area (ha)= .14 .00	<pre>*# Company : Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo) *# License # : 4730904 ***********************************</pre>
Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 30.55 40.00	$^{*\#}_{\star\#}$ Proposed conditions model 25 mm, 5-year, 100-year, and Regional Storm Events $_{\star\#}^{\star\#}$
Mannings n = .013 .250	*# Per Centre Wellington Design Standards(<-CONFIRM?) *# Fergus Shand Dam IDF Parameters
Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 174.46 17267.99 over (min) 1.00 2.00	<pre>*# *# Soil type based on Geotechnical Investigation (Dec 2021);</pre>
Storage Coeff. (min)= .82 (ii) 1.71 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 2.00	<pre>*# hydrologic soil type CD (Assumed). *#</pre>
Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.20 .62 *TOTALS*	<pre>*# Apr 25 - Each site provides separate storage *# - 25 mm event infiltrated from all rooftops +# - 21 Decider profession and parthward</pre>
PEAR FLOW (CMS)= .00 .06 .063 (111) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.00 1.000 PUINGE VOLUME (mm)= 41.95 42.45 42.448	*# - all keid's foottops directed to parking area and northward *# - 100 year event infiltrated for Catchments 310 and 340 *# - site f commetorage increased to match Total combined porth and east flow.
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 42.75 42.75 42.751 RUNOFF COFFFICIENT = .98 .99 .993	*# - 95% to 5% split on increase in volume requirements
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	003:0002
CN* = 90.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL	READ STORM Filename: 100-yr, 3hr Chicago Storm â6" Shand Dam
THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	Ptotal= 70.85 mm Comments: 100-yr, 3hr Chicago Storm â€" Shand Dam
002.0027	TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN TIME RAIN hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr hrs mm/hr 08 7 562 83 26 013 1 58 16 510 2 33 9 301
UCOMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet canacities [CINLET] = .026 (cms)	.17 8.096 .92 50.868 1.67 15.060 2.42 8.916 .25 8.733 1.00 287.930 1.75 13.879 2.50 8.567
TotalHyd 02:430 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .026 (cms)	.33 9.505 1.08 62.785 1.83 12.900 2.58 8.250 .42 10.468 1.17 39.004 1.92 12.073 2.67 7.960
Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)	.50 11.707 1.25 29.581 2.00 11.364 2.75 7.693 .58 13.376 1.33 24.292 2.08 10.749 2.83 7.447
ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TOTAL HYD. 02:430 .14 .063 1.000 42.448 .000	.67 15.774 1.42 20.837 2.17 10.208 2.92 7.219 .75 19.588 1.50 18.376 2.25 9.729 3.00 7.008
MAJOR SYST 04:430of .02 .037 1.000 42.448 .000	003:0003
MINOR SYST 06:430in .12 .026 .950 42.448 .000	*#************************************
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	*#************************************
002:0028	*# *# Catchment 305 - Payed driveway, drains to swale east of site
*# Catchment 440 - Landscaped area, drains west *#	*#
DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .04 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:440 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha) = .09 01:305 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%) = 90.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 10.00
Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= .051	Surface Area (ha)= .08 .01 Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .006 (i)	Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 24.49 40.00
TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 14.527	Mannings n = .013 .250

Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 287.93 2474.09 over (min) 1.00 3.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = .58 (ii) 2.54 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 3.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = 1.39 .42 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms) = .01 .05 .056 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.00 1.02 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.85 70.85 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 .89 .901	Length (m)= 42.43 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 287.93 28503.40 over (min) 1.00 1.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .36 (ii) .69 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 1.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.59 1.30 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .00 .21 .216 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= .98 1.00 1.000
<pre>(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (i) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY. 003:0004</pre>	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.05 70.55 70.546 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 70.85 70.855 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 1.00 .996 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 90.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
<pre>*# Catchment 340 - Landscaped area, drains east - 100 yr infiltrates *#</pre>	003:0010 COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = .056 (cms) TotalHyd 01:393 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .056 (cms)
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms) = .076 PEAK FLOW (cms) = .024 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.017 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 33.119 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .467	Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TOTAL HYD. 01:393 .27 .216 1.000 70.546 .000 MAJOR SYST 04:393of .07 .160 1.000 70.546 .000 MINOR SYST 07:333in .20 .056 .933 70.546 .000
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
003:0005	003:0011
COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = .024 (cms) TotalHyd 02:340 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .024 (cms) Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)	*# *# Catchment 350 - Parking lot and Landscaped amenity area *# DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .59
ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	01:350 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%) = 70.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 65.00
TOTAL HYD. 02:340 .08 .024 1.017 33.119 .000 MAJOR SYST 04:340of .00 .000 1.017 33.119 .000	Surface Area (ha) = .41 .18 Dep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00
MINOR SYST 09:340in .08 .024 1.000 33.119 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Length (m)= 62.72 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
003.0006	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 287.93 117.56 over (min) 1.00 8.00 Storage Coeff (min)= 1.03 (ii) 7.64 (ii)
IDD IDD IDD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 8.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.06 .15 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .30 .04 .321 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.10 1.000
SUM 05:R-East .09 .056 1.00 63.82 .000	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 70.05 35.96 58.119 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 70.85 70.853 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT .99 .51 .820
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLODE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. 	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .11 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:310 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .040	
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .105 PEAK FLOW (cms)= .033 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.017	ID1 01:350 .59 .321 1.00 58.12 .000 +ID2 04:3930f .07 .160 1.00 70.55 .000 SUM 06:SUB .66 .481 1.00 59.37 .000
RUNOFF VOLDME (mm) = 33.120 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .467	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	003:0013
003:0008	*# ******* *# Storage for parking lot and excess rooftop runoff *# *****
COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = .033 (cms) TotalHyd 01:310 Number of inlets in system [NTNLET] = 1 Total more system capacity = .033 (cms) Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. INDOG:(SUB) OUT<04:(R-Sto)
ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TOTAL HYD. 01:310 .11 .033 1.017 33.120 .000	OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (cms) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .035 .2325E-01 .015 .1250E-01 .000 .0000E+00
MAJOR SYST 03:310of .00 .000 1.017 33.120 .000 MINOR SYST 08:310in .11 .033 1.000 33.120 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
003:0009	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 7.258 TIME SHIFT OF PRAK FLOW (min)= 25.00
*# *# RoofLops - 360+370+380+390+395 - 25 mm infiltrated	MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.2319E-01
 DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .27 01:393 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 99.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 1.00	003:0014 *#
IMPERVIOUSPERVIOUS (i)Surface Area $(ha) =$.27.00Dep. Storage $(mm) =$.801.50Average Slope(%) =30.0030.00	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha) = .09 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:320 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm) = 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N) = 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs) = .040

		U.H. Tp(hrs) = .050
Unit Hyd Qpeak	(cms) = .086	Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .038
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .014 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.017 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 33.118 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm)= 70.853 DUNDE CONFECTION
(i) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
003:0015		
ADD HYD (RNorth) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	003:0021 *#
	(na) (cms) (nrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:320 .09 .027 1.02 33.12 .000 +D2 04:R-Sto .66 .035 1.42 59.37 .000	*#
	SUM 02:RNorth .75 .053 1.02 56.20 .000	04:410 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 90.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 80.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	NS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .28 .03
003:0016		Dep. Storage (mm) = $.80$ 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 45.46 40.00
ADD HYD (Rcombo) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	Mannings n = .013 .250
	ID1 02:RNorth .75 .053 1.02 56.20 .000 +ID2 05:R-East .09 .056 1.00 63.82 .000	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 287.93 368.85 over (min) 1.00 5.00
	SUM 10:Rcombo .84 .105 1.00 57.02 .000	Storage Coeff. (min)= .85 (ii) 5.03 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	NS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.18 .23 *TOTALS*
003.0017		PEAK FLOW (cms)= .20 .02 .212 (11) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 1.00 1.05 1.000 PUNDEF VOLUME (mm)= .70.05 45.49 65.100
*# 300 *#) - Future site access, paved, drains to hwy 6 	RONOFF VOLORID (mm) 70.05 40.49 00.140 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) 70.85 70.853 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT 99 .64 .919
DESIGN STANDHYD	 Area (ha)= .07	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: $CN^* = 77.0$ Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)
01:300 DT= 1.0	00 Total Imp(%) = 90.00 Dir. Conn.(%) = 10.00	(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
Surface Area	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) (ha)= .06 .01	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Dep. Storage Average Slope	(mm) = .80 1.50 (%) = 2.00 2.00	003:0022
Length Mannings n	(m) = 21.60 40.00 = .013 .250	ADD HYD (C-East) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr) = 287.93 2488.95	ID1 01:400 .05 .014 1.02 33.12 .000 +TD2 04:410 31 212 1.00 65 14 000
Storage Coeff. Unit Hyd. Tpea Unit Hyd. peak	(min)= .54 (ii) 2.49 (ii) k (min)= 1.00 2.00 k (cms)= 1.43 .48	SUM 06:C-East .36 .224 1.00 60.69 .000
PEAK FLOW	*TOTALS* (cms)= .01 .04 .046 (iii)	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(hrs)= 1.00 1.00 1.000 (mm)= 70.05 63.15 63.844 (mm)= 70.05 70.05	003:0023
RUNOFF COEFFIC	(mm) /0.85 /0.85 /0.853 CIENT .99 .89 .901	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min.
(i) CN PROCE CN* = 7	CDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above)	OUT<01:(C-Sto) ======== OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ====================================
(ii) TIME STE THAN THE	EP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL E STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	(cms) (ha.m.) (cms) (ha.m.) .000 .0000E+00 .055 .8750E-02
(iii) PEAK FLO	W DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	.030 .6000E-02 .000 .0000E+00
003:0018		ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
*#	DDITNICE from Commercial Site **************************	INFLOW >06: (C-East) .36 .224 1.000 60.692 OUTFLOW<01:
" *# *# * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%) = 24.310 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min) = 6.00
*# *# Catchment 420) - Landscaped area, drains to Hwy 6	MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.8696E-02
*#		003:0024
DESIGN NASHYD 04:420 DT= 1.0	Area (ha)= .13 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 0 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	*#************************************
Unit Hud Openk	U.H. Tp(nrs)= .060	*#************************************
PEAK FLOW	(cms) = .032 (i)	
TIME TO PEAK RUNOFF VOLUME	(hrs) = 1.033 (mm) = 33.119	+ID2 01:C-Sto .36 .054 1.10 60.69 .000
TOTAL RAINFALL RUNOFF COEFFIC	. (mm) = 70.853 CIENT = .467	SUM 04:TotalE .45 .099 1.02 61.32 .000
(i) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
003.0019		003:0025
*#************************************	******** Wy 6 *****	*# Total Flow North *#***********************************
) TD: NHYD AREA OPEAK TOFAK D-V DWD	ADD HYD (ComboT) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
	ID1 01:300 (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	ID1 02:RNorth .75 .053 1.02 56.20 .000 +ID2 04:TotalE .45 .099 1.02 61.32 .000
	+ID2 04:420 .13 .032 1.03 33.12 .000	SUM 05:ComboT 1.20 .152 1.02 58.13 .000
	SUM 07:Hwy6 .20 .073 1.00 43.87 .000	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	NS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
003:0020		UU3:UU20
*# Catchment 400) - Landscaped area, drains East 	*#
*# Catchment 400 *# DESIGN NASHYD) - Landscaped area, drains East 	<pre>*#</pre>

IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = .14 .00 Dep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 30.55 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 287.93 28503.40 over (min) 1.00 1.00 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.07 1.40 Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min) = 1.00 1.00 Unit Hyd. Peak (cms) = .00 .11 .109 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 1.00 1.00 1.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 70.85 70.853 70.549 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 70.85 70.853 70.853 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .99 1.00 .996 .996 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: .01 a = Dep. Storage (Above) .996 .996 (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OK EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. .101 INTAL THA THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. .101 INTAL THA THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.	<pre>*# Date : April. 21, 2022 *# Modeller : [K. Macnaughton] *# Company : Stantec Consulting Ltd. (Waterloo) *# License # : 4730904 *** *# Proposed conditions model 25 mm, 5-year, 100-year, and Regional Storm Events *# *# Per Centre Wellington Design Standards(<-CONFIRM?) *# Fergus Shand Dam IDF Parameters ** *** *** *** *** *****************</pre>
003:0027	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
<pre>Arbox First 01.350 01.3501 1.03 1.000 70.349 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY. 003:0028</pre>	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
003:0029	9.25 2.000 21.25 2.000 33.55 2.000 45.25 53.000 9.50 2.000 21.55 2.000 33.55 2.000 45.25 53.000 9.75 2.000 21.75 2.000 33.75 2.000 45.75 53.000 10.00 2.000 22.02 2.000 34.02 2.000 46.25 38.000 10.25 2.000 24.25 2.000 34.55 2.000 46.25 38.000 10.55 2.000 24.50 2.000 34.75 2.000 46.75 38.000 10.75 2.000 23.05 2.000 35.00 2.000 47.00 38.000 11.00 2.000 23.25 2.000 35.00 47.25 13.0
003:0030	
SUM 01:TotalW .08 .098 1.00 50.64 .000 NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	*#
003:0031	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha) = .08 .01 Dep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50 Average Slope (%) = 2.00 2.00 Length (m) = 24.49 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 53.00 476.41 over (min) 1.00 5.00 Storage Coeff. (min) = 1.15 (ii) 4.93 (ii)
<pre></pre>	Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 5.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .99 .23 *TOTALS* PEAK FLOW (cms)= .00 .01 .013 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 45.22 46.00 46.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm)= 284.19 276.64 277.400 TOTAL RAITNFALL (mm)= 285.00 285.000 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .97 .973 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STORAGE COEFFICIENT. THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT. (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.

PD2511.out

- 004:0004	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
*# Catchment 340 - Landscaped area, drains east - 100 yr infiltrates *#	004:0010
DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .08 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 02:340 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .040	COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = .056 (cms) TotalHyd 01:393 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .076	ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .011 (i) TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 46.000	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) TOTAL HYD. 01:393 .27 .040 45.333 284.681 .000
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 223.64/ TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285.000 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .785	MAJOR SYST 04:393of .00 .000 .000 .000 .000 MINOR SYST 07:393in .27 .040 45.333 284.677 .000
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
004:0005	004:0011
COMPUTE DUALHYD Average inlet capacities [CINLET] = .024 (cms) TotalHyd 02:340 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .024 (cms) Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)	*# Catchment 350 - Parking lot and Landscaped amenity area *# Catchment 350 - Parking lot and Landscaped amenity area
ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	01:350 DI= 1.00 Total Imp(%) = 70.00 DIT. Conn.(%) = 65.00 IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i)
TOTAL HYD. 02:340 .08 .011 46.000 223.647 .000	Surface Area (ha)= .41 .18 Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50
MAJOR SYST 04:340of .00 .000 .000 .000 MINOR SYST 09:340in .08 .011 46.000 223.647 .000	Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00 Length (m)= 62.72 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 53.00 58.78
004:0006	Storage Coeff. (min)= 2.02 (ii) 10.75 (ii) Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 2.00 11.00
ADD HYD (R-East) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms)	Unit Hyd. peak (cms) = .55 .10 *TOTALS*
IDI 01:305 .09 .013 46.00 277.40 .000 +ID2 04:340of .00 .000 .00 .00 .000	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .06 .03 .085 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 45.48 46.00 46.000 PUNDER VOLUME (ms)= 204.20 230.78 265 513
SUM 05:R-East .09 .013 46.00 277.40 .000	RONOFF VOLUME (mm) = 264.20 230.78 250.513 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285.00 285.000 285.000 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .81 .932
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:
004:0007	CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL
^#= *≢ Catchment 310 - Landscaped area, drains west - 100 yr infiltrates *#	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .11 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:310 DT=1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00	
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)= .105	Image: height of the second
PEAK FLOW (cms) = .015 (i)	+ID2 04:3930f .00 .000 .00 .000
IIME IO EDAR (iii.5) = 40.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 223.647 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285.000 BUNDEF COEFFICIENT 785	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	004:0013
004.0009	*# *# *******
UU4:UUU8	<pre>^# storage for parking lot and excess roortop runorr *# ******* </pre>
TotalHyd 01:310 Number of inlets in system [NINLET] = 1 Total minor system capacity = .033 (cms)	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>06:(SUB)
Total major system storage [TMJSTO] = 0.(cu.m.)	OUT<04: (R-Sto) ======== OUTLFOW STORAGE TABLE ============ OUTFLOW STORAGE OUTFLOW STORAGE (cms) (ba m) (cms) (ba m)
TOTAL HYD. 01:310 .11 .015 46.000 223.647 .000	.000 .0000E+00 .035 .2325E-01 .015 .1250E-01 .000 .0000E+00
MAJOR SYST 03:310of .00 .000 .000 .000	*** WARNING: STORAGE-Q values were extrapolated. Increase curve or use overflow option.
MINOR SYST 08:3101n .11 .015 46.000 223.647 .000	ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
	INFLOW >06: (SUB) .59 .085 46.000 265.513 OUTFLOW<04: (R-Sto) .59 .057 47.017 265.513
004:0009	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION [Qout/Qin](%)= 66.643 TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW (min)= 61.00 MAXIMUM STORAGE USED (ha.m.)=.3493B-01
DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha) = .27	
TMPERUIGUS PERUIGUS (j)	004:0014- *#
Surface Area (ha) = .27 .00 Dep. Storage (mm) = .80 1.50	*#
Average Slope (%)= 30.00 30.00 Length (m)= 42.43 40.00	DESIGN NASHYD Area (ha)= .09 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 01:320 DT= 1.00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00
Mannings n = .013 .250 Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr)= 53.00 5247.03	Unit Hyd Opeak (cms)= .086
over (min) 1.00 1.00 Storage Coeff. (min)= .71 (ii) 1.35 (ii)	PEAK FLOW (cms)= .012 (i)
Unit Hyd. Tpeak (min)= 1.00 1.00 Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= 1.28 .89	TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 46.000 RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 223.647 momt Palmers (mm) = 225.000
TOTALS PEAK FLOW (cms)= .00 .04 .040 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 45.13 45.35 45.33	TUTAL KAINFALL (mm) = 285.000 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = .785
RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 284.20 284.69 284.681 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285.00 285.00 285.00 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 1.00 .999	(i) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES:	004:0015
<pre>(ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.</pre>	ID ID ID AREA QPEAK TPEAK K.V. DWF

<u></u>		
	+ID2 04:R-Sto .59 .057 47.02 265.51 .000 	 DESIGN STANDHYD Area (ha)= .31 04:410 DT= 1.00 Total Imp(%)= 90.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 80.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	WS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) Surface Area (ha)= .28 .03
004:0016		Dep. Storage (mm)= .80 1.50 Average Slope (%)= 2.00 2.00
ADD HYD (Rcombo) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	Length (m) = 45.46 40.00 Mannings n = .013 .250
	ID1 02:RNorth (ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) HD2 05:PEFact 00 013 46.00 277 400 000	Max.eff.Inten.(mm/hr) = 53.00 103.86
	SUM 10:Rcombo .77 .076 46.00 262.01 .000	Storage Coeff. (min) = 1.67 (ii) 8.62 (ii) Unit Hvd. Tpeak (min) = 2.00 9.00
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	WS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	Unit Hyd. peak (cms)= .63 .13 *TOTALS*
		PEAK FLOW (cms)= .04 .01 .045 (iii) TIME TO PEAK (hrs)= 45.40 46.00 46.000
004:0017 *# *# Catchment 300 *#	 0 - Future site access, paved, drains to hwy 6 	RUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 284.18 250.76 277.516 TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285.00 285.00 285.000 RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 1.00 .88 .974
DESIGN STANDHYD 01:300 DT= 1.0	 Area (ha)= .07 00 Total Imp(%)= 90.00 Dir. Conn.(%)= 10.00	 (i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: CN* = 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD BE SMALLER OR EQUAL THAN THE STORAGE COEFFICIENT.
Surface Area	IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS (i) (ha)= .06 .01	(iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.
Dep. Storage Average Slope	(mm) = .80 1.50 (%) = 2.00 2.00	004:0022
Length Mannings n	(m) = 21.60 40.00 = .013 .250	ADD HYD (C-East) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
Max.eff.Inten.	(mm/hr) = 53.00 476.41	(na) (cms) (nrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:400 .05 .007 46.00 223.65 .000 ID2 04.410
Storage Coeff.	(min) = 1.07 (ii) 4.85 (ii) (min) = 1.07 (ii) 5.00	SIM 06:C-East 36 052 46 00 270 03 000
Unit Hyd. peak	k (cms) = 1.03 .23 *TOTALS*	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
PEAK FLOW TIME TO PEAK	(cms) = .00 .01 .010 (iii) (hrs) = 45.20 46.00 46.000	
RUNOFF VOLUME TOTAL RAINFALI	(mm) = 284.19 276.64 277.400 L (mm) = 285.00 285.00 285.000	004:0023
RUNOFF COEFFIC	CIENT = 1.00 .97 .973	ROUTE RESERVOIR Requested routing time step = 1.0 min. IN>06:(C-East)
(1) CN PROCE CN* = 7 (ii) TIME STE	EDURE SELECTED FOR PERVIOUS LOSSES: 77.0 Ia = Dep. Storage (Above) ED. DE. SHOULD DE SMALLER OR FOUNT	
(iii) THAN THE (iii) PEAK FLC	E STORAGE COEFFICIENT. DW DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY	.000 .0000E+00 .055 .8750E-02 030 6000E-02 .000 .0000E+00
		ROUTING RESULTS AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V.
004:0018	****	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) INFLOW >06: (C-East) .36 .052 46.000 270.035
*# *#***************	DRAINAGE from Commercial Site ************************************	OUTFLOW<01: (C-Sto) .36 .049 46.017 270.034
*# *#*********************************	*****	PEAK FLOW REDUCTION $[Qout/Qin](8) = 94.127$ TIME SHIFT OF PEAK FLOW $(min) = 1.00$ MAXIMUM STORAGE HERD $(h_{2,m}) = 9122E_{-0.2}$
*# Catchment 420	0 - Landscaped area, drains to Hwy 6	
DESIGN NASHYD	 Area (ha)= .13 Curve Number (CN)=77.00	004:0024
04:420 DT= 1.0	00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .060	*# Total Flow to Swale at East *#***********************************
Unit Hyd Qpeak	k (cms) = .083	ADD HYD (TotalE) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
PEAK FLOW	(cms) = .018 (i)	(na) (cms) (nrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 05:R-East .09 .013 46.00 277.40 .000 + ID2 01:C-Sto .26 .049 .46 .02 .270.03 .000
RUNOFF VOLUME	(mm) = 223.648 (mm) = 285.000	SIM 04. TotalE 45 062 46 00 271 51 000
RUNOFF COEFFIC	CIENT = .785	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
(i) PEAK FLOW	DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY.	
004:0019		004:0025
*# Total Flow to H	 Hwy 6 	*# TOTAL Flow North *#***********************************
) I TD: NHYD AREA OPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF	ADD HYD (ComboT) ID: NHYD AREA QPEAK TPEAK R.V. DWF
	(ha) (cms) (hrs) (mm) (cms) ID1 01:300 .07 .010 46.00 277.40 .000	ID1 02:RNorth .68 .066 47.00 259.97 .000 +ID2 04:TotalE .45 .062 46.00 271.51 .000
	+ID2 04:420 .13 .018 46.00 223.65 .000	SUM 05:ComboT 1.13 .125 46.00 264.57 .000
	SUM 07:Hwy6 .20 .028 46.00 242.46 .000	NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.
NOTE: PEAK FLOW	WS DO NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOWS IF ANY.	
004:0020		*#
*#		*# Catchment 430 = Commercial Roofton = 25 mm infiltrates
*#400 *# Catchment 400	 0 - Landscaped area, drains East 	*# Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#
#		<pre># Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#</pre>
#		<pre># Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#</pre>
#	<pre> 0 - Landscaped area, drains East Area (ha)= .05 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .050 k (cms)= .038 (cms)= .007 (i)</pre>	<pre># Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#</pre>
#	<pre></pre>	<pre># Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#</pre>
#		<pre># Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#</pre>
#	<pre></pre>	<pre># Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#</pre>
#	<pre> 0 - Landscaped area, drains East Area (ha)= .05 Curve Number (CN)=77.00 00 Ia (mm)= 1.500 # of Linear Res.(N)= 3.00 U.H. Tp(hrs)= .050 k (cms)= .038 (cms)= .007 (i) (hrs)= 46.000 (mm)= 223.646 L (mm)= 223.646 L (mm)= 225.000 CIENT = .785 DOES NOT INCLUDE BASEFLOW IF ANY</pre>	<pre># Catchment 430 - Commercial Rooftop - 25 mm infiltrates *#</pre>

RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	1.00	1.0	0	.99	9	
(i) CN PROCEDURE SELECTED	FOR PERV	IOUS LOSS	ES:			
CN* = 90.0 Ia = D (ii) TIME STEP (DT) SHOULD	BE SMALL	ge (Abov ER OR EQU	re) IAL			
THAN THE STORAGE COEF (iii) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT IN	FICIENT.	EFLOW IF	ANY.			
· · ·						
004:0027						
COMPUTE DUALHYD Average	inlet cap	pacities	[CINLE	T] =	.026	(cms)
TotalHyd 02:430 Number Total m	of inlets inor syste	in syste em capaci	em [NINLE .ty	r] = =	.026	(cms)
Total m	ajor syst	em storaç	e [TMJST	0] =	0.	(cu.m.)
ID: NHYD	AREA (ha)	QPEAK (cms)	TPEAK (hrs)	R. (n	V. m)	DWF (cms)
TOTAL HYD. 02:430	.14	.021	45.667	284.6	96	.000
MAJOR SYST 04:430of	.00	.000	.000	.0	00	.000
NOTE, DEAK FLONG DO NOT IN	CLUDE DAG	.021	10.007	204.0		.000
NOIE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOI IN	CLUDE BAS	EFLOWS IF	ANI.			
004:0028						
*# Catchment 440 - Landscaped	area, dr	ains west				
*#						
DESIGN NASHYD Area 01:440 DT= 1.00 Ia U.H. Tp	(ha) = (mm) = (hrs) =	.04 c 1.500 # .030	urve Num of Line	ber (ar Res.	CN) =77 (N) = 3	.00 .00
Unit Hyd Qpeak (cms)=	.051					
PEAK FLOW (cms)=	.006 (i)					
TIME TO PEAK (hrs) = 46 PUNOFF VOLUME (mm) = 223	.000					
TOTAL RAINFALL (mm) = 285	.000					
RUNOFF COEFFICIENT =	. /85					
(1) PEAK FLOW DOES NOT INCL	UDE BASEF.	LOW IF AN				
*** WARNING: Time step is R.V. may be o	too large k. Peak	for valu flow coul	le of TP. .d be off			
004:0029						
ADD HYD (C-West) TD: NHY	D	AREA	OPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	DWF
TD1 04:4300	f	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(cms)
+ID2 01:440		.04	.006	46.00	223.65	.000
SUM 02:C-We	st	.04	.006	46.00	223.65	.000
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCL	UDE BASEF	LOWS IF A	NY.			
*#****						
*# Total Flow West *#********						
ADD HYD (TotalW) ID: NHY	D	AREA	QPEAK	TPEAK	R.V.	DWF
TD1 03:3100	f	(ha)	(cms)	(hrs)	(mm)	(cms)
+ID2 02:C-We	st	.04	.006	46.00	223.65	.000
SUM 01:Tota	1W	.04	.006	46.00	223.65	.000
NOTE: PEAK FLOWS DO NOT INCL	UDE BASEF	LOWS IF A	NY.			
004:0031						
004:0002						
004:0002						
004:0002 FINISH						
WARNINGS / ERRORS / NOTES	*****	*******	******	******	*****	 ****
001:0028 DESIGN NASHYD		_				
*** WARNING: Time step is R.V. may be o	too large k. Peak	for valu flow coul	e of TP. d be off			
*** WARNING: Time step is R.V. may be o	too large k. Peak	for valu	e of TP. d be off			
*** WARNING: Time step is	too large	for valu	e of TP.			
004:0013 ROUTE RESERVOIR *** WARNING: STORAGE-Q val	ues were	extrapola	ited.	•		
Increase curv 004:0028 DESIGN NASHYD *** WARNING. Time step is	too larce	for value	option.			
R.V. may be o Simulation ended on 2022-05-0	k. Peak	flow coul	d be off			
	au					

Subject:	Infiltration Facility Drawdown Calculations
Project:	Fergus SWM Design
Project No.:	1614-14172
Client:	Reid's Heritage Homes
Date:	05/05/22

W	(est)	E	ast)	(Commercial)			
Max Volume	77 m³	Max Volume	54 m³	Max Volume	35 m³		
Footprint	121.3 m ²	Footprint	92.4 m ²	Footprint	98 m²		
Infiltration Rate	15 mm/hr	Infiltration Rate	15 mm/hr	Infiltration Rate	15 mm/hr		
Outflow	0.00051 cms	Outflow	0.00039 cms	Outflow	0.00041 cms		
Drawdown Time	42.0 hrs	Drawdown Time	39.0 hrs	Drawdown Time	23.8 hrs		

ENGINEERED PRODUCT MANAGER	
ADS SALES REP	
PROJECT NO	



950-960 ST. DAVID ST (REID'S) FERGUS, CANADA

SC-740 STORMTECH CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

- CHAMBERS SHALL BE STORMTECH SC-740. 1.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE ARCH-SHAPED AND SHALL BE MANUFACTURED FROM VIRGIN, IMPACT-MODIFIED POLYPROPYLENE 2. COPOLYMERS.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE CERTIFIED TO CSA B184, "POLYMERIC SUB-SURFACE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES", AND MEET 3. THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418, "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- CHAMBER ROWS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS, UNOBSTRUCTED INTERNAL SPACE WITH NO INTERNAL SUPPORTS THAT WOULD Δ IMPEDE FLOW OR LIMIT ACCESS FOR INSPECTION.
- THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE CHAMBERS, THE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL, AND THE INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS SHALL ENSURE THAT THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS. SECTION 12.12, ARE MET FOR: 1) LONG-DURATION DEAD LOADS AND 2) SHORT-DURATION LIVE LOADS, BASED ON THE CSA S6 CL-625 TRUCK AND THE AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK WITH CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCES.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED, TESTED AND ALLOWABLE LOAD CONFIGURATIONS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787, 6 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS". LOAD CONFIGURATIONS SHALL INCLUDE: 1) INSTANTANEOUS (<1 MIN) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK LIVE LOAD ON MINIMUM COVER 2) MAXIMUM PERMANENT (75-YR) COVER LOAD AND 3) ALLOWABLE COVER WITH PARKED (1-WEEK) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK.
- REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION: 7
 - TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
 - TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 50 mm (2").
 - TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION. a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418 SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 550 LBS/FT/%. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 23° C / 73° F), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.
- ONLY CHAMBERS THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER WILL BE ALLOWED. UPON REQUEST BY THE SITE DESIGN 8. ENGINEER OR OWNER. THE CHAMBER MANUFACTURER SHALL SUBMIT A STRUCTURAL EVALUATION FOR APPROVAL BEFORE DELIVERING CHAMBERS TO THE PROJECT SITE AS FOLLOWS:
 - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL BE SEALED BY A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER.
 - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL DEMONSTRATE THAT THE SAFETY FACTORS ARE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.95 FOR DEAD LOAD AND 1.75 FOR LIVE LOAD, THE MINIMUM REQUIRED BY ASTM F2787 AND BY SECTIONS 3 AND 12.12 OF THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR THERMOPLASTIC PIPE.
 - THE TEST DERIVED CREEP MODULUS AS SPECIFIED IN ASTM F2418 SHALL BE USED FOR PERMANENT DEAD LOAD DESIGN EXCEPT THAT IT SHALL BE THE 75-YEAR MODULUS USED FOR DESIGN.
- CHAMBERS AND END CAPS SHALL BE PRODUCED AT AN ISO 9001 CERTIFIED MANUFACTURING FACILITY. 9

IMPORTANT - NOTES FOR THE BIDDING AND INSTALLATION OF THE SC-740 SYSTEM

- STORMTECH SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED UNTIL THE MANUFACTURER'S REPRESENTATIVE HAS COMPLETED A 1 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE INSTALLERS.
- 2.
- 3 CHAMBERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILLED WITH A DOZER OR AN EXCAVATOR SITUATED OVER THE CHAMBERS. STORMTECH RECOMMENDS 3 BACKFILL METHODS:
 - STONESHOOTER LOCATED OFF THE CHAMBER BED.
 - BACKFILL AS ROWS ARE BUILT USING AN EXCAVATOR ON THE FOUNDATION STONE OR SUBGRADE.
 - BACKFILL FROM OUTSIDE THE EXCAVATION USING A LONG BOOM HOE OR EXCAVATOR.
- THE FOUNDATION STONE SHALL BE LEVELED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO PLACING CHAMBERS. 4.
- JOINTS BETWEEN CHAMBERS SHALL BE PROPERLY SEATED PRIOR TO PLACING STONE. 5.
- MAINTAIN MINIMUM 150 mm (6") SPACING BETWEEN THE CHAMBER ROWS. 6.
- EMBEDMENT STONE SURROUNDING CHAMBERS MUST BE A CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE 20-50 mm (3/4-2"). 7.
- 8 THE CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH CHAMBER FOUNDATION MATERIALS BEARING CAPACITIES TO THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER.
- ADS RECOMMENDS THE USE OF "FLEXSTORM CATCH IT" INSERTS DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL INLETS TO PROTECT THE SUBSURFACE 9. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FROM CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF.

NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

- 1.
- 2. THE USE OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OVER SC-740 CHAMBERS IS LIMITED:
 - NO EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED ON BARE CHAMBERS.
 - WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- 3. FULL 900 mm (36") OF STABILIZED COVER MATERIALS OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REQUIRED FOR DUMP TRUCK TRAVEL OR DUMPING.

USE OF A DOZER TO PUSH EMBEDMENT STONE BETWEEN THE ROWS OF CHAMBERS MAY CAUSE DAMAGE TO THE CHAMBERS AND IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE BACKFILL METHOD. ANY CHAMBERS DAMAGED BY THE "DUMP AND PUSH" METHOD ARE NOT COVERED UNDER THE STORMTECH STANDARD WARRANTY.

CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694 WITH ANY QUESTIONS ON INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS OR WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.





STORMTECH SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".

STORMTECH SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".

NO RUBBER TIRED LOADERS, DUMP TRUCKS, OR EXCAVATORS ARE ALLOWED UNTIL PROPER FILL DEPTHS ARE REACHED IN ACCORDANCE

WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT CAN BE FOUND IN THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".

PR	ROPOSED LAYOUT: WEST	PROPOSED ELEVATIONS: WEST INFILTRATION			-	
	INFILTRATION	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF PAVEMENT/UNPAVED):	424.753	PART TYPE	ITEM ON	DESCRIPTION
32	STORMTECH SC-740 CHAMBERS	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED WITH TRAFFIC):	422.924	MANIFOLD	A	300 mm x 300 mm BOTTOM MANIFOLD, ADS N-12
8	STORMTECH SC-740 END CAPS	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED NO TRAFFIC):	422.772	MANIFOLD	В	300 mm x 300 mm BOTTOM MANIFOLD, ADS N-12
152	STONE BELOW (mm)	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF RIGID CONCRETE PAVEMENT). MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (BASE OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT):	422.772	NYLOPLAST (INLET W/ ISO	с	750 mm DIAMETER (610 mm SUMP MIN)
40	STONE VOID	TOP OF STONE:	422.467		-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	INSTALLED SYSTEM VOLUME (m ²)	TOP OF SC-740 CHAMBER:	422.314	INYLOPLAST (INLET W/ ISO	D	750 mm DIAMETER (610 mm SUMP MIN)
76 7	(PERIMETER STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm x 300 mm BOTTOM MANIFOLD INVERT:	421.583	PLUS ROW)		, , ,
10.1	(COVER STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm x 300 mm BOTTOM MANIFOLD INVERT:	421.583	8		
	(BASE STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm BOTTOM CONNECTION INVERT:	421.583	8		
121.3	SYSTEM AREA (m ⁻)	300 mm BOTTOM CONNECTION INVERT:	421.583	3		
53.7	SYSTEM PERIMETER (m)	BOTTOM OF SC-740 CHAMBER:	421.552	2		
		BOTTOM OF STONE:	421.400			



NO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS

PLACE MINIMUM 3.810 m OF ADSPLUS125 WOVEN GEOTEXTILE OVER BEDDING STONE AND UNDERNEATH CHAMBER FEET FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AT ALL CHAMBER INLET ROWS

NOTES
 MANIFOLD SIZE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. SEE TECH NOTE #6.32 FOR MANIFOLD SIZING GUIDANCE.
 DUE TO THE ADAPTATION OF THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM TO SPECIFIC SITE AND DESIGN CONSTRAINTS, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO CUT AND COMPONENTS IN THE FIELD.
 THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW ELEVATIONS AND IF NECESSARY ADJUST GRADING TO ENSURE THE CHAMBER COVER REQUING THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM WAS DESIGNED WITHOUT SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS OR BEARING CAPACITY. THE SITE DETERMINING
 THE SUITABILITY OF THE SOIL AND PROVIDING THE BEARING CAPACITY OF THE INSITU SOILS. THE BASE STONE DEPTH MAY BE INCREASED OF PROVIDED.
 NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION: THIS LAYOUT IS FOR DIMENSIONAL PURPOSES ONLY TO PROVE CONCEPT & THE REQUIRED STORAGE

BED LIMITS

INVERT ABOVE DASE OF CHANNER INVERT ABOVE DASE OF CHANNER <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1</th><th></th></td<>					1	
Couper Early 100 mm 10			(S			IMATE
COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOLD COUPLE ADDITIONAL PI	30 mm		Â			1E ULT
Couple a double of the service of t	30 mm	0517 101	(RE	z	N/A	IT IS TI
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINTON IN SUBJECT STANDARD MAINTON HIGH AND ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD M		65 L/s IN	ST	ADA VN: O	KED	CTION.
Locure La additional de la construction de la const		65 L/s IN	Ð	CAN ^A	L H	ISTRUG
			AV	US, O		TO CO
COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINING COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIP				ERG		- RIOR
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOL DECOUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOL TO COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE T			O	ш		WING
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAIN EVA			-96		CT #:	IIS DRA
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINTEND D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE			950	Ë	ONE	IEW TH
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOLD D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE					L R	LL REV
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOLD STORMAN OF THE STANDARD MAINFOLD TO COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOL						ER SHA
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLI USANDARD 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					Z	NGINEL ENTS.
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLI USANDARD STANDARD STANDARD MANIFOLIC USANDARD STANDARD STANDARD MANIFOLIC USANDARD STANDARD STA					IPTIC	SIGN E
COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD USENERTS ARE MET. DESIGNE REGINERER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS SEVENT ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD USENERT ADDITIONAL P					SCR	TTE DE
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOL USCUTE = 1 : 100 SCATE = 1 : 100 BOOGNET MONTHUM STANDARD MAINFOL USCUTE = 1 : 100 BOOGNET MONTHUM STANDARD MAINFOL SHEET 2 : 0 F 7					В	- THE S PROJEC
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOL DECOUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOL USUAL SCALE = 1 : 100 SHEET C OF 7 C OF 7						TATIVE
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINIFOLD JICTUREMENTS ARE MET. D SOUTH A 100 1 HOUSE STATUS COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINIFOLD JICTUREMENTS ARE MET. D SIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS DE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. 2 OF 7					×	RESEN ⁻
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOLD JI-MIT SATE = 1 : 100 SUBJECT 2 : 100 SHEET R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS DE VOLUME CEAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. C OF 7 C OF 7					R	T REPI
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOLD D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE	_				JRW	ROJEC
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINTEND					ات س	THER F
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINTON THE MEMORY AND A THE POOL OF A THE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD AND A THE ADDITIONAL AND A					DA	R OR O
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINIFOLD USANTE BESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS DE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. 2 OF 7 2 OF 7					F	IGINEEI IET ALL
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOLD JIEMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS DE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. 2 OF 7 2 OF 7					I.CON	SIGN EN
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MAINFOLD JIEMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS DE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. DE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.					LECH	TE DES D ET A
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFUL DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS DESIGN ENGINEER HORE ON NICE.			®		DRM	THE SI
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS DESIGN ENGINEER DATE R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS DE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			2	~	N.STO	ON OF L ASSC
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD DECOUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD DIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			Ŭ_	sten	ŴM	IRECTI AND AL
- Couple additional pipe to standard manifold D Couple Additional Pipe to standard manifold Direments are met. Design engineer is responsible for R decreased once this information is de volume can be achieved on site. Be volume can be achieved on site.			ם 2	ŝ	694	R THE D
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.	_			Ibel	392-21	UNDEF S) DEP
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			jt o	han	888-8	O ADS
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			0			/IDED T HE PRC
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.						N PROV THAT T
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			BLVE 3026	6	>	MATIO USURE
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			MAN NH 4; 173		$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$	TO EN
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			RUEA 2D, C 33-74		•	SINEER
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			340 TI LLLIAF 300-7		-	ED BA
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			8 H +		Ļ	REPAR 'E DESI
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.			Ø	<	Ţ	3EEN P
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. CONTINUES OF THE CONTINUES OF THE			9		\mathbf{c}	3 HAS E TY OF 1
JIREMENTS ARE MET. DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS SHEET GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. 2 OF 7	D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDA	RD MANIFOLD				ZAWING VSIBILIT
R DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION IS SHEET GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. 2 OF 7	UIREMENTS ARE MET.)B				THIS DF (ESPO)
GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE. 2 OF 7	OR DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATIO	NIS	5	SHEET	-	<u>, u</u>
	GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.		2	OF	7	,

PR	ROPOSED LAYOUT: EAST	PROPOSED ELEVATIONS: EAST INFILTRATION				
	INFILTRATION	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF PAVEMENT/UNPAVED):	424.553	PART TYPE	ITEM ON	DESCRIPTION
23	STORMTECH SC-740 CHAMBERS	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED WITH TRAFFIC):	422.724	MANIFOLD	A	300 mm x 300 mm BOTTOM MANIFOLD, ADS N-12
6	STORMTECH SC-740 END CAPS	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED NO TRAFFIC):	422.572	MANIFOLD	В	300 mm x 300 mm BOTTOM MANIFOLD, ADS N-12
<u>152</u> 152	STONE ABOVE (mm)	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF RIGID CONCRETE PAVEMENT):	422.572	NYLOPLAST (INLET W/ ISO		750 mm DIAMETER (610 mm SUMP MIN)
40	STONE VOID	TOP OF STONE:	422.267	PLUS ROW)		
	INSTALLED SYSTEM VOLUME (m ³)	TOP OF SC-740 CHAMBER:	422.114	NYLOPLAST (INLET W/ ISO		750 mm DIAMETER (610 mm SUMP MIN)
57 A	(PERIMETER STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm x 300 mm BOTTOM MANIFOLD INVERT:	421.383	PLUS ROW)		
57.4	(COVER STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm x 300 mm BOTTOM MANIFOLD INVERT:	421.383			
	(BASE STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm BOTTOM CONNECTION INVERT:	421.383			
92.4	SYSTEM AREA (m ⁻)	300 mm BOTTOM CONNECTION INVERT:	421.383			
50.8	SYSTEM PERIMETER (m)	BOTTOM OF SC-740 CHAMBER:	421.352	1		
		BOTTOM OF STONE:	421.200			



NO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS

PLACE MINIMUM 3.810 m OF ADSPLUS125 WOVEN GEOTEXTILE OVER BEDDING STONE AND UNDERNEATH CHAMBER FEET FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AT ALL CHAMBER INLET ROWS

MOTES
 MANIFOLD SIZE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. SEE TECH NOTE #6.32 FOR MANIFOLD SIZING GUIDANCE.
 DUE TO THE ADAPTATION OF THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM TO SPECIFIC SITE AND DESIGN CONSTRAINTS, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO CUT AND COMPONENTS IN THE FIELD.
 THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW ELEVATIONS AND IF NECESSARY ADJUST GRADING TO ENSURE THE CHAMBER COVER REQUING THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM WAS DESIGNED WITHOUT SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS OR BEARING CAPACITY. THE SITE DETERMINING
 THE SUITABILITY OF THE SOIL AND PROVIDING THE BEARING CAPACITY OF THE INSITU SOILS. THE BASE STONE DEPTH MAY BE INCREASED OF PROVIDED.
 MOT FOR CONSTRUCTION: THIS LAYOUT IS FOR DIMENSIONAL PURPOSES ONLY TO PROVE CONCEPT & THE REQUIRED STORAGE

- BED LIMITS

	SE OF CHAMBER					
INVERT	MAX FLOW	(S)				TIMATE
30 mm		EID			⊿	THE UL
30 mm	65 L/s IN	R R		S	NN IC	I. IT IS 1
	65 L/s IN	ST (IADA	ŇŇ	CKE	UCTION
			CAN	DRA	ВH	ONSTR
		DA	GUS			R TO C
		ST.	FER			g prio
		60 (#:	RAWIN
		6-0			ECT	THIS D
		95		DATE	ROJ	TEVIEW
						3HALL R
						NEER S
					LION	
					CRIPT	DESIG
					DESC	IE SITE
						ND PRC
						SENTAT
					CHK	REPRE
				+	Ň	OJECT I
			_	+	Б	IER PR
_					DATE	OR OTH
						INEER
					COM	SN ENG
					ECH.	
		0			RMT	THE SITI
		Ś	1		/.STC	N OF T
		00	tem .	2	MMM	
_				, ,	94	THE DI
			her	2	92-26	UNDER
		ţ		5	388-8	
		ى س)		DED TO
		3LVD 8026		\sim	`	MATION
		AAN E H 43 173		10	5	INFOR
		RUEN 80, 0 33-74		·	•	ED ON
		40 TF -LIAF 00-7;			-	ED BAS
		46 1 H 1 8-		Ш	ļ	REPARE
		Ø		V	L	SEEN PF
		9		C))	3 HAS E
D COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDA	RD MANIFOLD			U	,	AWING
UIREMENTS ARE MET. E DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBILE EC)R					THIS DF
DR DECREASED ONCE THIS INFORMATION	N IS		SHE	ET		<u> ư</u>
GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON SITE.		3	0	F	7	



NO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS

PLACE MINIMUM 3.810 m OF ADSPLUS125 WOVEN GEOTEXTILE OVER BEDDING STONE AND UNDERNEATH CHAMBER FEET FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AT ALL CHAMBER INLET ROWS

NOTES

MANIFOLD SIZE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. SEE TECH NOTE #6.32 FOR MANIFOLD SIZING GUIDANCE. DUE TO THE ADAPTATION OF THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM TO SPECIFIC SITE AND DESIGN CONSTRAINTS, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO CUT AN COMPONENTS IN THE FIELD.

THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW ELEVATIONS AND IF NECESSARY ADJUST GRADING TO ENSURE THE CHAMBER COVER REC THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM WAS DESIGNED WITHOUT SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS OR BEARING CAPACITY. THE SIT

DETERMINING THE SUITABILITY OF THE SOIL AND PROVIDING THE BEARING CAPACITY OF THE INSITU SOILS. THE BASE STONE DEPTH MAY BE INCREASED PROVIDED.

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION: THIS LAYOUT IS FOR DIMENSIONAL PURPOSES ONLY TO PROVE CONCEPT & THE REQUIRED STORA

BED LIMITS

*INVERT AB	OVE BAS	E OF CHAMBER					ш
	INVERT*	MAX FLOW	S)				TIMAT
18B / TYP OF ALL 450 mm	41 mm					4	THE UL
	41 mm		R)		z	N/N :	IT IS 1
	41 mm	396 L /s IN	ST	ΡA	VN: C	XEC	CTION.
		227 L/s OUT	Ω	ANA	RAV	HEC	ISTRUC
			A	JS, C		0	0 CON
				ERG			RIOR T
			S	Щ			ING PI
			960			Γ#:	DRAM
			00		ய்	лЕС.	V THIS
			ð		DATI	PRO	REVIEV
							SHALL .
							VEER S S.
						NO	ENGI MENT
						RIPT	ESIGN
	↑					ESCF	SITE D CT RE
						ä	:. THE ; PROJE
							TATIVE
				\vdash	+	×	RESEN'
						Ę	T REPF
						RV	ROJEC:
					_		HER PF
						DATE	DR OTH
							VEER C ALL AF
						MC	MEET.
						ХН. С(ESIGN TAILS
	2.878 3.487					MTEO	SITE D
			®	_		TOR	F THE SOCIAT
				5	F	N.S.	LION O
			ļ	5.	ster	\mathbb{N}	DIRECT AND A
			5	Ċ) V	694	R THE I
				-	loor	92-2	UNDEF S) DEP
			+		пап	388-8	DUCT(
			U		ر		DED TO E PRO
							PROVII
			-VD 26				ATION I JRE TH
			N BI 430	,	8	3	FORM/ D ENSI
			JEMP OH			-	ON IN EER T(
			TRL ARD		~	_	BASED ENGIN
			4640 -800		I	I	ARED I ESIGN
					Ц		PREP.
			Q		<	ć	BEEN
			9		U	ך כ	IG HAS ITY OF
ND COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO	STANDAF	RD MANIFOLD			2		RAWIN
QUIREMENTS ARE MET.		ج					THIS D. RESPO
OR DECREASED ONCE THIS INFOR		` IS		SH	EET		uĽ
AGE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED C	ON SITE		4	С)F	7	
ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS: STORMTECH SC-740 CHAMBER SYSTEMS

	MATERIAL LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	AASHTO MATERIAL CLASSIFICATIONS	COMF
D	FINAL FILL : FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'D' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE 'C' LAYER TO THE BOTTOM OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OR UNPAVED FINISHED GRADE ABOVE. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE PART OF THE 'D' LAYER.	ANY SOIL/ROCK MATERIALS, NATIVE SOILS, OR PER ENGINEER'S PLANS. CHECK PLANS FOR PAVEMENT SUBGRADE REQUIREMENTS.	N/A	PREPA INSTA
с	INITIAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'C' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE EMBEDMENT STONE ('B' LAYER) TO 18" (450 mm) ABOVE THE TOP OF THE CHAMBER. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE A PART OF THE 'C' LAYER.	GRANULAR WELL-GRADED SOIL/AGGREGATE MIXTURES, <35% FINES OR PROCESSED AGGREGATE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE MATERIALS CAN BE USED IN LIEU OF THIS LAYER.	AASHTO M145 ¹ A-1, A-2-4, A-3 OR AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57, 6, 67, 68, 7, 78, 8, 89, 9, 10	BEGIN CC THE CHAM 6" (150 mi WELL G PROC VEHICLE
В	EMBEDMENT STONE: FILL SURROUNDING THE CHAMBERS FROM THE FOUNDATION STONE ('A' LAYER) TO THE 'C' LAYER ABOVE.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	
А	FOUNDATION STONE: FILL BELOW CHAMBERS FROM THE SUBGRADE UP TO THE FOOT (BOTTOM) OF THE CHAMBER.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	PLATE C

PLEASE NOTE:

THE LISTED AASHTO DESIGNATIONS ARE FOR GRADATIONS ONLY. THE STONE MUST ALSO BE CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR. FOR EXAMPLE, A SPECIFICATION FOR #4 STONE WOULD STATE: "CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR NO. 4 (AASHTO M43) STONE". 1.

STORMTECH COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE MET FOR 'A' LOCATION MATERIALS WHEN PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 6" (150 mm) (MAX) LIFTS USING TWO FULL COVERAGES WITH A VIBRATORY COMPACTOR. 2

WHERE INFILTRATION SURFACES MAY BE COMPROMISED BY COMPACTION, FOR STANDARD DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS, A FLAT SURFACE MAY BE ACHIEVED BY RAKING OR DRAGGING WITHOUT COMPACTION EQUIPMENT. FOR SPECIAL LOAD DESIGNS, CONTACT STORMTECH FOR 3. COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS.

ONCE LAYER 'C' IS PLACED, ANY SOIL/MATERIAL CAN BE PLACED IN LAYER 'D' UP TO THE FINISHED GRADE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE SOILS CAN BE USED TO REPLACE THE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAYER 'C' OR 'D' AT THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S DISCRETION. 4



NOTES:

- CHAMBERS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418, "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS". 1.
- 2. SC-740 CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSESSING THE BEARING RESISTANCE (ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY) OF THE SUBGRADE SOILS AND THE DEPTH OF FOUNDATION STONE WITH 3 CONSIDERATION FOR THE RANGE OF EXPECTED SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS.
- 4. PERIMETER STONE MUST BE EXTENDED HORIZONTALLY TO THE EXCAVATION WALL FOR BOTH VERTICAL AND SLOPED EXCAVATION WALLS.
- 5. REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION:
 - TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
 - TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 2".
 - TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 550 LBS/FT/%. THE ASC IS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 • OF ASTM F2418. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 73° F / 23° C), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.

PACTION / DENSITY REQUIREMENT

ARE PER SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S PLANS. PAVED LLATIONS MAY HAVE STRINGENT MATERIAL AND PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS.

MPACTIONS AFTER 12" (300 mm) OF MATERIAL OVER BERS IS REACHED. COMPACT ADDITIONAL LAYERS IN m) MAX LIFTS TO A MIN. 95% PROCTOR DENSITY FOR RADED MATERIAL AND 95% RELATIVE DENSITY FOR ESSED AGGREGATE MATERIALS. ROLLER GROSS WEIGHT NOT TO EXCEED 12,000 lbs (53 kN). DYNAMIC FORCE NOT TO EXCEED 20,000 lbs (89 kN).

NO COMPACTION REQUIRED.

COMPACT OR ROLL TO ACHIEVE A FLAT SURFACE.^{2,3}

ļ	4640 TRUEMAN BLVD				950-960 ST. DA	VID ST (REID'S)
5	1-800-733-7473	StormTach®				
sн С					FERGUS	CANADA
EE)F		Chamber System			DATE.	
Т -						
7		888-892-2694 WWW.STORMTECH.COM	DATE DRW CHK	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT #:	CHECKED: N/A
	THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROVII RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE THAT TH	DED TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEI HE PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET AL	R OR OTHER PROJECT REPRESEN	TATIVE. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER SHAI , AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.	LL REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO C	CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATI



6 OF 7



SIZE (W X H X INSTALLED LENGTH)
CHAMBER STORAGE
MINIMUM INSTALLED STORAGE*
WEIGHT

PART #	STUB	Α	
SC740EPE06T / SC740EPE06TPC	6" (150 mm)	10.9" (277 mm)	
SC740EPE06B / SC740EPE06BPC	0 (130 mm)	10.9 (277 1111)	
SC740EPE08T /SC740EPE08TPC	8" (200 mm)	12.2" (310 mm)	
SC740EPE08B / SC740EPE08BPC	0 (200 mm)	12.2 (310 1111)	
SC740EPE10T / SC740EPE10TPC	10" (250 mm)	13 //" (3/0 mm)	
SC740EPE10B / SC740EPE10BPC	10 (200 mm)	10.4 (040 mm)	
SC740EPE12T / SC740EPE12TPC	12" (300 mm)	14 7" (373 mm)	
SC740EPE12B / SC740EPE12BPC	12 (300 mm)	14.7 (373 1111)	
SC740EPE15T / SC740EPE15TPC	15" (375 mm)	18 //" (/67 mm)	
SC740EPE15B / SC740EPE15BPC	13 (373 mm)	10.4 (407 1111)	
SC740EPE18T / SC740EPE18TPC	18" (450 mm)	19.7" (500 mm)	
SC740EPE18B / SC740EPE18BPC		13.7 (300 mm)	
SC740ECEZ*	24" (600 mm)	18.5" (470 mm)	

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE NOMINAL



NOTES

- 1. 8-30" (200-750 mm) GRATES/SOLID COVERS SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
- 12-30" (300-750 mm) FRAMES SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
 DRAIN BASIN TO BE CUSTOM MANUFACTURED ACCORDING TO PLAN DETAILS
- DRAINAGE CONNECTION STUB JOINT TIGHTNESS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM D3212 4.
- FOR CORRUGATED HDPE (ADS & HANCOR DUAL WALL) & SDR 35 PVC 5. FOR COMPLETE DESIGN AND PRODUCT INFORMATION: WWW.NYLOPLAST-US.COM
- 6. TO ORDER CALL: 800-821-6710

Α	PART #	GRATE/S	SOLID COVER (OPTIONS
8" (200 mm)	2808AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY
10" (250 mm)	2810AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY
12"	2812AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(300 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20
15"	2815AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(375 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20
18"	2818AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(450 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20
24"	2824AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(600 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20
30"	2830AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(750 mm)		AASHTO H-20	H-20	AASHTO H-20

	4640 IRUEMAN BLVU					DED DED CT	יסיחוסם/ דס חו/ועם
7	HILLIARD, OH 43026 1-800-733-7473	Nvionlast [®]				950-960 SI.	DAVID ST (REID'S)
sн С						LEE	RGUS, CANADA
EET)F						DATE:	DRAWN: CN
7		770-932-2443 WWW.NYLOPLAST-US.COM	DATE DRW	V CHK	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT #:	CHECKED: N/A











Peak Flowrate:

Page 1 of 2

Project Name:	950-960 St. David St - Reid's OG	S	
Consulting Engineer:	Stantec		
Location:	Fergus, ON		
Sizing Completed By:	C. Neath	Email:	cody.neath@ads-pipe.com

Treatment	Requireme	ents
Treatment Goal:	Enhar	nced (MOE)
Selected Parameters:	80% TSS	90% Volume
Selected Unit:	F	D-4HC

S	ummary of Resu	lts
Model	TSS Removal	Volume Treated
FD-4HC	91.0%	>90%
FD-5HC	94.0%	>90%
FD-6HC	95.0%	>90%
FD-8HC	97.0%	>90%
FD-10HC	98.0%	>90%

FD-4HC Specification	on
Unit Diameter (A):	1,200 mm
Inlet Pipe Diameter (B):	450 mm
Outlet Pipe Diameter (C):	450 mm
Height, T/G to Outlet Invert (D):	1880 mm
Height, Outlet Invert to Sump (E):	1515 mm
Sediment Storage Capacity (F):	0.78 m³
Oil Storage Capacity (G):	723 L
Recommended Sediment Depth for Maintenance:	440 mm
Max. Pipe Diameter:	600 mm
Peak Flow Capacity:	510 L/s

Site Elevat	ions:
Rim Elevation:	423.27
Inlet Pipe Elevation:	421.39
Outlet Pipe Elevation:	421.39

Site D	etails
Site Area:	0.59 ha
% Impervious:	80%
Rational C:	0.78
Rainfall Station:	Waterloo_Wellington
Particle Size Distribution:	Fine

481 L/s



Notes:

Removal efficiencies are based on NJDEP Test Protocols and independently verified.

All units supplied by ADS have numerous local, provincial, and international certifications (copies of which can be provided upon request). The design engineer is responsible for ensuring compliance with applicable regulations.



Net Annual Removal Efficiency Summary: FD-4HC

Rainfall Intensity ⁽¹⁾	Fraction of Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	FD-4HC Removal Efficiency ⁽²⁾	Weighted Net-Annual Removal Efficiency
mm/hr	%	%	%
0.50	0.3%	100.0%	0.3%
1.00	27.0%	100.0%	27.0%
1.50	3.2%	97.9%	3.2%
2.00	13.6%	95.3%	13.0%
2.50	7.2%	93.3%	6.7%
3.00	1.8%	91.8%	1.7%
3.50	6.7%	90.5%	6.1%
4.00	3.7%	89.3%	3.3%
4.50	1.5%	88.4%	1.3%
5.00	4.8%	87.5%	4.2%
6.00	3.3%	86.0%	2.9%
7.00	4.7%	84.8%	4.0%
8.00	2.8%	83.8%	2.3%
9.00	2.0%	82.9%	1.6%
10.00	2.5%	82.0%	2.1%
20.00	9.0%	76.9%	6.9%
30.00	3.1%	74.1%	2.3%
40.00	1.0%	72.1%	0.7%
50.00	0.8%	70.6%	0.5%
100.00	0.9%	66.2%	0.6%
150.00	0.1%	63.8%	0.1%
200.00	0.0%	62.1%	0.0%
	Total Net Annua	I Removal Efficiency:	91.0%
	Total Ru	noff Volume Treated:	99.9%

Notes:

- (1) Rainfall Data: 1981:2007,HLY03 6149387, Waterloo/Wellingotn Airport, ON
- (2) Based on third party verified data and appoximating the removal of a PSD similar to the STC Fine distribution
- (3) Rainfall adjusted to 5 min peak intensity based on hourly average.

PROJEC	T INFORMATION
ENGINEERED PRODUCT MANAGER	
ADS SALES REP	
PROJECT NO.	



950-960 ST. DAVID ST (COMMERCIAL) FERGUS, CANADA

SC-310 STORMTECH CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

- CHAMBERS SHALL BE STORMTECH SC-310. 1.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE ARCH-SHAPED AND SHALL BE MANUFACTURED FROM VIRGIN, IMPACT-MODIFIED POLYPROPYLENE OR 2. POLYETHYLENE COPOLYMERS.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE CERTIFIED TO CSA B184, "POLYMERIC SUB-SURFACE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES", AND MEET 3. THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2922 (POLETHYLENE) OR ASTM F2418 (POLYPROPYLENE), "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- CHAMBER ROWS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS, UNOBSTRUCTED INTERNAL SPACE WITH NO INTERNAL SUPPORTS THAT WOULD Δ IMPEDE FLOW OR LIMIT ACCESS FOR INSPECTION.
- THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE CHAMBERS, THE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL, AND THE INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS SHALL ENSURE THAT THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS. SECTION 12.12, ARE MET FOR: 1) LONG-DURATION DEAD LOADS AND 2) SHORT-DURATION LIVE LOADS, BASED ON THE CSA S6 CL-625 TRUCK AND THE AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK WITH CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCES.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED, TESTED AND ALLOWABLE LOAD CONFIGURATIONS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787, 6 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS". LOAD CONFIGURATIONS SHALL INCLUDE: 1) INSTANTANEOUS (<1 MIN) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK LIVE LOAD ON MINIMUM COVER 2) MAXIMUM PERMANENT (75-YR) COVER LOAD AND 3) ALLOWABLE COVER WITH PARKED (1-WEEK) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK.
- REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION: 7
 - TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
 - TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 50 mm (2").
 - TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION. a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2922 SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 400 LBS/FT/%. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 23° C / 73° F), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.
- ONLY CHAMBERS THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER WILL BE ALLOWED. UPON REQUEST BY THE SITE DESIGN 8. ENGINEER OR OWNER. THE CHAMBER MANUFACTURER SHALL SUBMIT A STRUCTURAL EVALUATION FOR APPROVAL BEFORE DELIVERING CHAMBERS TO THE PROJECT SITE AS FOLLOWS:
 - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL BE SEALED BY A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER.
 - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL DEMONSTRATE THAT THE SAFETY FACTORS ARE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.95 FOR DEAD LOAD AND 1.75 FOR LIVE LOAD, THE MINIMUM REQUIRED BY ASTM F2787 AND BY SECTIONS 3 AND 12.12 OF THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR THERMOPLASTIC PIPE.
 - THE TEST DERIVED CREEP MODULUS AS SPECIFIED IN ASTM F2922 SHALL BE USED FOR PERMANENT DEAD LOAD DESIGN EXCEPT THAT IT SHALL BE THE 75-YEAR MODULUS USED FOR DESIGN.
- CHAMBERS AND END CAPS SHALL BE PRODUCED AT AN ISO 9001 CERTIFIED MANUFACTURING FACILITY. 9

IMPORTANT - NOTES FOR THE BIDDING AND INSTALLATION OF THE SC-310 SYSTEM

- STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED UNTIL THE MANUFACTURER'S REPRESENTATIVE HAS COMPLETED A 1 PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE INSTALLERS.
- 2.
- 3 CHAMBERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILLED WITH A DOZER OR AN EXCAVATOR SITUATED OVER THE CHAMBERS. STORMTECH RECOMMENDS 3 BACKFILL METHODS:
 - STONESHOOTER LOCATED OFF THE CHAMBER BED.
 - BACKFILL AS ROWS ARE BUILT USING AN EXCAVATOR ON THE FOUNDATION STONE OR SUBGRADE.
 - BACKFILL FROM OUTSIDE THE EXCAVATION USING A LONG BOOM HOE OR EXCAVATOR.
- THE FOUNDATION STONE SHALL BE LEVELED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO PLACING CHAMBERS. 4.
- JOINTS BETWEEN CHAMBERS SHALL BE PROPERLY SEATED PRIOR TO PLACING STONE. 5.
- MAINTAIN MINIMUM 150 mm (6") SPACING BETWEEN THE CHAMBER ROWS. 6.
- EMBEDMENT STONE SURROUNDING CHAMBERS MUST BE A CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE 20-50 mm (3/4-2"). 7.
- 8 THE CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH CHAMBER FOUNDATION MATERIALS BEARING CAPACITIES TO THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER.
- ADS RECOMMENDS THE USE OF "FLEXSTORM CATCH IT" INSERTS DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL INLETS TO PROTECT THE SUBSURFACE 9. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FROM CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF.

NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

- 1.
- 2. THE USE OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OVER SC-310 & SC-740 CHAMBERS IS LIMITED:
 - NO EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED ON BARE CHAMBERS.
 - WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- 3. FULL 900 mm (36") OF STABILIZED COVER MATERIALS OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REQUIRED FOR DUMP TRUCK TRAVEL OR DUMPING.

USE OF A DOZER TO PUSH EMBEDMENT STONE BETWEEN THE ROWS OF CHAMBERS MAY CAUSE DAMAGE TO THE CHAMBERS AND IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE BACKFILL METHOD. ANY CHAMBERS DAMAGED BY THE "DUMP AND PUSH" METHOD ARE NOT COVERED UNDER THE STORMTECH STANDARD WARRANTY.

CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694 WITH ANY QUESTIONS ON INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS OR WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.





STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".

STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".

NO RUBBER TIRED LOADERS, DUMP TRUCKS, OR EXCAVATORS ARE ALLOWED UNTIL PROPER FILL DEPTHS ARE REACHED IN ACCORDANCE

WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT CAN BE FOUND IN THE "STORMTECH SC-310/SC-740/DC-780 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".



NO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS

PLACE MINIMUM 3.810 m OF ADSPLUS125 WOVEN GEOTEXTILE OVER BEDDING STONE AND UNDERNEATH CHAMBER FEET FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AT ALL CHAMBER INLET ROWS

NOTES

MANIFOLD SIZE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. SEE TECH NOTE #6.32 FOR MANIFOLD SIZING GUIDANCE. DUE TO THE ADAPTATION OF THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM TO SPECIFIC SITE AND DESIGN CONSTRAINTS, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO CUT AN

COMPONENTS IN THE FIELD.

THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW ELEVATIONS AND IF NECESSARY ADJUST GRADING TO ENSURE THE CHAMBER COVER REC THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM WAS DESIGNED WITHOUT SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS OR BEARING CAPACITY. THE SIT

DETERMINING THE SUITABILITY OF THE SOIL AND PROVIDING THE BEARING CAPACITY OF THE INSITU SOILS. THE BASE STONE DEPTH MAY BE INCREASED (PROVIDED.

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION: THIS LAYOUT IS FOR DIMENSIONAL PURPOSES ONLY TO PROVE CONCEPT & THE REQUIRED STORA

- BED LIMITS

*INVERT ABC	OVE BASE	OF CHAMBER				TE
	NVERT*	MAX FLOW				JLTIM/
	15 mm	74 1 / 121	ST		NA I	S THE (
		/ 1 L/S IN	, L D	CN 	- E D: V	N. IT I
				AWN	ECKE	RUCTIC
			E R D	DR/	ЧË	ONSTF
						R TO C
			960 CO ^r			G PRIO
	Bab CHK DESCRIPTION BATE DRW CHK DESCRIPTION BATE DRW CHK	RAWIN				
			6		ECT 3	THIS D
I				ATE:	ROJI	NIEW
					<u> </u>	IALL RE
-						EER SH
					NO	ENGIN
—	4				RIPT	ESIGN OUIRE
					ESCI	SITE D ECT RE
					Ō	E. THE
						NTATIV VS, AND
				\square	¥	PRESE
					× ۲	CT REF
					DRW	PROJE LAWS,
					Ë	THER CABLE
					DA	R OR O APPLIC
						GINEEI ET ALL
	 E				CON	IGN EN
	.553				TECH	TE DES DETA
	9		R		DRM ⁻	THE SI
			, Ľ	_	V.ST(JN OF
			ec	ster	MM	RECTIC ND AL
			F	Sys	94	THE DI
			Z	ber	92-26	JNDER) DEPI(
/			ţ	ham	388-8) ADS L
			S	ō		DED TC E PROL
						PROVIL 4AT THI
			1LVD 326			
			AN B 1 43(73		20	VFORM O ENS
	<u> </u>		UEM. 2, OF. 3-747		•	D ON IN
			0 TR -IARI 10-73		-	D BASE
			464 HILI 1-80	Ц	Ļ	EPAREL
			(N	<	L T	EN PRE E SITE
			X	C	Ś	IAS BEI
				U)	VING H BILITY
ND COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO S	ANDAR	U MANIFULD				S DRAV
E DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSI			<u></u>			H H H
DR DECREASED ONCE THIS INFOR	MATION	IS	ی ۲ ()E	7	,
GE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED ON	N SITE.			ノ「	1	

PRO	POSED LAYOUT: STORAGE	PROPOSED ELEVATIONS: STORAGE SYSTEM				
	SYSTEM	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF PAVEMENT/UNPAVED):	424.847	PART TYPE		DESCRIPTION
90	STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBERS	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED WITH TRAFFIC):	423.018			300 mm BOTTOM PREFABRICATED EZ END CAP PART# SC310E
18	STORMTECH SC-310 END CAPS	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (UNPAVED NO TRAFFIC):	422.866	PREFABRICATED EZ END CAP	A	BOTTOM CONNECTIONS AND ISOLATOR PLUS ROWS
152	STONE ABOVE (mm)	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (TOP OF RIGID CONCRETE PAVEMENT):	422.866			INSTALL ELAMO ON 200 mm ACCESS DIDE / DAPT#: SC21012DAM
152	STONE BELOW (mm)	MINIMUM ALLOWABLE GRADE (BASE OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT):	422.866			200 mm v 200 mm TOD MANIEOLD, MOLDED ELTTINGS
40	STONE VOID	TOP OF STONE:	422.561			
	INSTALLED SYSTEM VOLUME (m ⁻)	TOP OF SC-310 CHAMBER:	422.409	CONCRETE STRUCTURE		(DESIGN BY ENGINEER / PROVIDED BY OTHERS)
07.0	(PERIMETER STONE INCLUDED)	200 mm x 200 mm TOP MANIFOLD INVERT:	422.091			
87.8	(COVER STONE INCLUDED)	300 mm ISOLATOR ROW PLUS INVERT:	422.025			
	(BASE STONE INCLUDED)	BOTTOM OF SC-310 CHAMBER:	422.002	1		
229.3	SYSTEM AREA (m ⁻)	BOTTOM OF STONE:	421.850			
66.8	SYSTEM PERIMETER (m)			•		



ISOLATOR ROW PLUS (SEE DETAIL)

> PLACE MINIMUM 3.810 m OF ADSPLUS125 WOVEN GEOTEXTILE OVER BEDDING STONE AND UNDERNEATH CHAMBER FEET FOR SCOUR PROTECTION AT ALL CHAMBER INLET ROWS

MOTES
 MANIFOLD SIZE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. SEE TECH NOTE #6.32 FOR MANIFOLD SIZING GUIDANCE.
 DUE TO THE ADAPTATION OF THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM TO SPECIFIC SITE AND DESIGN CONSTRAINTS, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO CUT AN COMPONENTS IN THE FIELD.
 THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER MUST REVIEW ELEVATIONS AND IF NECESSARY ADJUST GRADING TO ENSURE THE CHAMBER COVER REQ.
 THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM WAS DESIGNED WITHOUT SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS OR BEARING CAPACITY. THE SITE DETERMINING
 THE SUITABILITY OF THE SOIL AND PROVIDING THE BEARING CAPACITY OF THE INSITU SOILS. THE BASE STONE DEPTH MAY BE INCREASED OF PROVIDED.
 MOT FOR CONSTRUCTION: THIS LAYOUT IS FOR DIMENSIONAL PURPOSES ONLY TO PROVE CONCEPT & THE REQUIRED STORAL

BED LIMITS

*INVERT AB	OVE BAS	E OF CHAMBER					ш
	INVERT*	MAX FLOW					-TIMAT
CEZ / TYP OF ALL 300 mm	23 mm		F			Ă	THE UI
Р					CN	D: N	N. IT IS
	89 mm	65 L/s IN	AVI	ADA	NWN:	CKE	UCTIO
			950-960 ST. D (COMMER(FERGUS, CAN	DRA DRA	PROJECT #: CHE	L REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO CONSTR
						DESCRIPTION	ATIVE. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER SHAL AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.
						Ϋ́	EPRESENT.
				-	_	N N	OJECT R
				+	+	Ы	HER PR(
						DATE	R OR OTH APPLICA
D B C C 8 B C 2 B 6664 B 66664 B 66664 B 6664 B 666			StormTech®	Chombor Syntom		888-892-2694 WWW.STORMTECH.COM	VIDED TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGI THE PRODUCTSIS DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET
ND COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO	STANDAF	RD MANIFOLD	4640 TRUEMAN BLVD HILLIARD, OH 43026 1-800-733-7473				S DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROV 3PONSBILITY OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE THAT T
E DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONS		2					THIS
		IS	3		F	7	
AGE VOLUME CAN BE ACHIEVED (JN SHE.			\mathbf{U}	•		

ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS: STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBER SYSTEMS

		MATERIAL LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	AASHTO MATERIAL CLASSIFICATIONS	COMF
	D	FINAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'D' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE 'C' LAYER TO THE BOTTOM OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OR UNPAVED FINISHED GRADE ABOVE. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE PART OF THE 'D' LAYER.	ANY SOIL/ROCK MATERIALS, NATIVE SOILS, OR PER ENGINEER'S PLANS. CHECK PLANS FOR PAVEMENT SUBGRADE REQUIREMENTS.	N/A	PREPA INSTA
	С	INITIAL FILL: FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'C' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE EMBEDMENT STONE ('B' LAYER) TO 18" (450 mm) ABOVE THE TOP OF THE CHAMBER. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE A PART OF THE 'C' LAYER.	GRANULAR WELL-GRADED SOIL/AGGREGATE MIXTURES, <35% FINES OR PROCESSED AGGREGATE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE MATERIALS CAN BE USED IN LIEU OF THIS LAYER.	AASHTO M145 ¹ A-1, A-2-4, A-3 OR AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57, 6, 67, 68, 7, 78, 8, 89, 9, 10	BEGIN CC THE CHAM 6" (150 mr WELL GI PROC VEHICLE
	В	EMBEDMENT STONE: FILL SURROUNDING THE CHAMBERS FROM THE FOUNDATION STONE ('A' LAYER) TO THE 'C' LAYER ABOVE.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	
Ī	А	FOUNDATION STONE: FILL BELOW CHAMBERS FROM THE SUBGRADE UP TO THE FOOT (BOTTOM) OF THE CHAMBER.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE	AASHTO M43 ¹ 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	PLATE C

PLEASE NOTE:

1. THE LISTED AASHTO DESIGNATIONS ARE FOR GRADATIONS ONLY. THE STONE MUST ALSO BE CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR. FOR EXAMPLE, A SPECIFICATION FOR #4 STONE WOULD STATE: "CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR NO. 4 (A

2. STORMTECH COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE MET FOR 'A' LOCATION MATERIALS WHEN PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 6" (150 mm) (MAX) LIFTS USING TWO FULL COVERAGES WITH A VIBRATORY COMPACTOR.

3. WHERE INFILTRATION SURFACES MAY BE COMPROMISED BY COMPACTION, FOR STANDARD DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS, A FLAT SURFACE MAY BE ACHIEVED BY RAKING OR DRAGGING WITHOUT COMPACTION EQUIPMENT. FOR COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS.

4. ONCE LAYER 'C' IS PLACED, ANY SOIL/MATERIAL CAN BE PLACED IN LAYER 'D' UP TO THE FINISHED GRADE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE SOILS CAN BE USED TO REPLACE THE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAYER 'C' OR 'D' AT THE



NOTES:

- 1. CHAMBERS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2922 (POLETHYLENE) OR ASTM F2418 (POLYPROPYLENE), "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- 2. SC-310 CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- 3. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSESSING THE BEARING RESISTANCE (ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY) OF THE SUBGRADE SOILS AND THE DEPTH OF FOUNDATION STONE WITH CONSIDERATION FOR THE RANGE OF EXPECTED SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS.
- 4. PERIMETER STONE MUST BE EXTENDED HORIZONTALLY TO THE EXCAVATION WALL FOR BOTH VERTICAL AND SLOPED EXCAVATION WALLS.
- 5. REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION:
 - TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
 - TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 2".
 - TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 400 LBS/FT/%. THE ASC IS DEFINED IN SECTION
 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 73° F / 23° C), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.

	4640 TRUEMAN BLVD HILLIARD, OH 43026 1-800-733-7473 awing has been prepared based on information pro
450 mm) MIN* (2.4 m) (450 mm) MIN* (2.4 m) MAX 1 TONE TO BE DETERMINED SIGN ENGINEER 6" (150 mm) MIN	StormTech® Chamber System 888-892-2694 WWW.STORMTECH.COM IDED TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINE
E SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S DISCRETION.	DATE DRW CHK
ASHTO M43) STONE". SPECIAL LOAD DESIGNS, CONTACT STORMTECH FOR	DESCI
DMPACT OR ROLL TO ACHIEVE A FLAT SURFACE. ^{2,3}	RIPTION ESIGN ENGINEER S
MPACTIONS AFTER 12" (300 mm) OF MATERIAL OVER BERS IS REACHED. COMPACT ADDITIONAL LAYERS IN) MAX LIFTS TO A MIN. 95% PROCTOR DENSITY FOR ADED MATERIAL AND 95% RELATIVE DENSITY FOR SSED AGGREGATE MATERIALS. ROLLER GROSS VEIGHT NOT TO EXCEED 12,000 lbs (53 kN). DYNAMIC FORCE NOT TO EXCEED 20,000 lbs (89 kN).	950-960 (CON DATE: PROJECT #:
ACTION / DENSITY REQUIREMENT RE PER SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S PLANS. PAVED LATIONS MAY HAVE STRINGENT MATERIAL AND PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS.	ST. DAVID ST MMERCIAL) GUS, CANADA BUS, CANADA DRAWN: CN CHECKED: N/A RTO CONSTRUCTION. IT ISTH



SC-310 ISOLATOR ROW PLUS DETAIL

NTS

INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE

INSPECT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR SEDIMENT STEP 1)

- A. INSPECTION PORTS (IF PRESENT)
 - A.1. REMOVE/OPEN LID ON NYLOPLAST INLINE DRAIN
 - A.2. REMOVE AND CLEAN FLEXSTORM FILTER IF INSTALLED
 - USING A FLASHLIGHT AND STADIA ROD, MEASURE DEPTH OF SEDIMENT AND RECORD ON MAINTENANCE LOG LOWER A CAMERA INTO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION OF SEDIMENT LEVELS (OPTIONAL) A.3.
 - A.4.
 - A.5. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
- B. ALL ISOLATOR PLUS ROWS
- B.1. REMOVE COVER FROM STRUCTURE AT UPSTREAM END OF ISOLATOR ROW PLUS
- USING A FLASHLIGHT, INSPECT DOWN THE ISOLATOR ROW PLUS THROUGH OUTLET PIPE B.2.
- i) MIRRORS ON POLES OR CAMERAS MAY BE USED TO AVOID A CONFINED SPACE ENTRY ii) FOLLOW OSHA REGULATIONS FOR CONFINED SPACE ENTRY IF ENTERING MANHOLE
- B.3. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
- STEP 2) CLEAN OUT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS USING THE JETVAC PROCESS
 - A. A FIXED CULVERT CLEANING NOZZLE WITH REAR FACING SPREAD OF 45" (1.1 m) OR MORE IS PREFERRED
 - APPLY MULTIPLE PASSES OF JETVAC UNTIL BACKFLUSH WATER IS CLEAN Β.
 - C. VACUUM STRUCTURE SUMP AS REQUIRED
- STEP 3) REPLACE ALL COVERS, GRATES, FILTERS, AND LIDS; RECORD OBSERVATIONS AND ACTIONS.
- STEP 4) INSPECT AND CLEAN BASINS AND MANHOLES UPSTREAM OF THE STORMTECH SYSTEM.

NOTES

- 1. INSPECT EVERY 6 MONTHS DURING THE FIRST YEAR OF OPERATION. ADJUST THE INSPECTION INTERVAL BASED ON PREVIOUS OBSERVATIONS OF SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION AND HIGH WATER ELEVATIONS.
- 2. CONDUCT JETTING AND VACTORING ANNUALLY OR WHEN INSPECTION SHOWS THAT MAINTENANCE IS NECESSARY.

			_	N GEOTEXTILE BETWEEN RS ABRIC WITHOUT SEAMS	ON PORT SC-310 END CAP	
Ę	4640 TRUEMAN BLVD	(950-960 S	T. DAVID ST
5	1-800-733-7473	StormTech®			(COMIN	IERCIAL)
с С					FERGUS	S, CANADA
EET)F		unamper system			DATE:	DRAWN: CN
7		888-892-2694 WWW.STORMTECH.COM	DATE DRW CHK	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT #:	CHECKED: N/A
,	THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION F RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE TH	CONTREPT OF THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINE THE PRODUCT(S) DEPICIED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET AL	ER OR OTHER PROJECT REPRES	ENTATIVE. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER DNS, AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.	SHALL REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO	CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATE
			×			



CTION

ШS





IZE (W X H X INSTALLED LENGTH)	34.0" X 16.0" X 85.4"
HAMBER STORAGE	14.7 CUBIC FEET
IINIMUM INSTALLED STORAGE*	31.0 CUBIC FEET
VEIGHT	35.0 lbs.

PART #	STUB	Α	
SC310EPE06T / SC310EPE06TPC	6" (150 mm)	9.6" (244 mm)	
SC310EPE06B / SC310EPE06BPC	0 (100 mm)	3.0 (244 mm)	
SC310EPE08T / SC310EPE08TPC	8" (200 mm)	11.0" (302 mm)	
SC310EPE08B / SC310EPE08BPC	0 (200 mm)	11.9 (302 1111)	
SC310EPE10T / SC310EPE10TPC	10" (250 mm)	12.7" (222 mm)	
SC310EPE10B / SC310EPE10BPC	10 (230 mm)		
SC310ECEZ*	12" (300 mm)	13.5" (343 mm)	

ALL STUBS, EXCEPT FOR THE SC310ECEZ ARE PLACED AT BOTTOM OF END CAP SUCH THAT THE OUTSIDE DIAMETER OF THE STUB IS FLUSH WITH THE BOTTOM OF THE END CAP. FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-888-892-2694.

0.7" (18 mm)

0.9" (23 mm)

(1)

0

SHEET

6 OF 7

* FOR THE SC310ECEZ THE 12" (300 mm) STUB LIES BELOW THE BOTTOM OF THE END CAP APPROXIMATELY 0.25" (6 mm). BACKFILL MATERIAL SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM BELOW THE N-12 STUB SO THAT THE FITTING SITS LEVEL.

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE NOMINAL



NOTES

- 1. 8-30" (200-750 mm) GRATES/SOLID COVERS SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
- 12-30" (300-750 mm) FRAMES SHALL BE DUCTILE IRON PER ASTM A536 GRADE 70-50-05
 DRAIN BASIN TO BE CUSTOM MANUFACTURED ACCORDING TO PLAN DETAILS
- DRAINAGE CONNECTION STUB JOINT TIGHTNESS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM D3212 4.
- FOR CORRUGATED HDPE (ADS & HANCOR DUAL WALL) & SDR 35 PVC 5. FOR COMPLETE DESIGN AND PRODUCT INFORMATION: WWW.NYLOPLAST-US.COM
- 6. TO ORDER CALL: 800-821-6710

Α	PART #	GRATE/S	SOLID COVER (OPTIONS
8" (200 mm)	2808AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY
10" (250 mm)	2810AG	PEDESTRIAN LIGHT DUTY	STANDARD LIGHT DUTY	SOLID LIGHT DUTY
12"	2812AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(300 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20
15"	2815AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(375 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20
18"	2818AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(450 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20
24"	2824AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(600 mm)		AASHTO H-10	H-20	AASHTO H-20
30"	2830AG	PEDESTRIAN	STANDARD AASHTO	SOLID
(750 mm)		AASHTO H-20	H-20	AASHTO H-20

-									
7	P	ПІLLІАКИ, UT 43020 1-800-733-7473	Nvioniact [®]					(COMIN	IERCIAL)
sн С								FERGUS	s, canada
EE DF								DATE.	
T									
7			770-932-2443 WWW.NYLOPLAST-US.COM	DATE DF	ZW CI	¥	DESCRIPTION	PROJECT #:	CHECKED: N/A
	THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PRE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE SITE I	EPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROVI DESIGN ENGINEER TO ENSURE THAT TH	IDED TO ADS UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEE IF PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET ALI	R OR OTHER PR(APPLICABLE LA)	OJECT RE WS, REGL	PRESENTATIV LATIONS, ANE	E. THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER SHA PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.	ALL REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO	CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATE









Page 1 of 2

Project Name:	950-960 St. David St - Commercial OGS	
Consulting Engineer:	Stantec	
Location:	Fergus, ON	
Sizing Completed By:	C. Neath Email	cody.neath@ads-pipe.com

Treatment Requirements		
Treatment Goal:	Enhar	iced (MOE)
Selected Parameters:	80% TSS	90% Volume
Selected Unit:	FD-4HC	

Summary of Results		
Model	TSS Removal	Volume Treated
FD-4HC	92.0%	>90%
FD-5HC	95.0%	>90%
FD-6HC	96.0%	>90%
FD-8HC	98.0%	>90%
FD-10HC	99.0%	>90%

FD-4HC Specification	on
Unit Diameter (A):	1,200 mm
Inlet Pipe Diameter (B):	450 mm
Outlet Pipe Diameter (C):	450 mm
Height, T/G to Outlet Invert (D):	2650 mm
Height, Outlet Invert to Sump (E):	1515 mm
Sediment Storage Capacity (F):	0.78 m³
Oil Storage Capacity (G):	723 L
Recommended Sediment Depth for Maintenance:	440 mm
Max. Pipe Diameter:	600 mm
Peak Flow Capacity:	510 L/s

Site Elevat	ions:
Rim Elevation:	423.02
Inlet Pipe Elevation:	420.43
Outlet Pipe Elevation:	420.37

Site Details	
Site Area:	0.4 ha
% Impervious:	95%
Rational C:	0.87
Rainfall Station:	Waterloo_Wellington
Particle Size Distribution:	Fine
Peak Flowrate:	377 L/s



Notes:

Removal efficiencies are based on NJDEP Test Protocols and independently verified.

All units supplied by ADS have numerous local, provincial, and international certifications (copies of which can be provided upon request). The design engineer is responsible for ensuring compliance with applicable regulations.



Net Annual Removal Efficiency Summary: FD-4HC

Rainfall Intensity ⁽¹⁾	Fraction of Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	FD-4HC Removal Efficiency ⁽²⁾	Weighted Net-Annual Removal Efficiency
mm/hr	%	%	%
0.50	0.3%	100.0%	0.3%
1.00	27.0%	100.0%	27.0%
1.50	3.2%	100.0%	3.2%
2.00	13.6%	97.8%	13.3%
2.50	7.2%	95.8%	6.9%
3.00	1.8%	94.2%	1.7%
3.50	6.7%	92.8%	6.2%
4.00	3.7%	91.7%	3.4%
4.50	1.5%	90.7%	1.3%
5.00	4.8%	89.8%	4.3%
6.00	3.3%	88.3%	2.9%
7.00	4.7%	87.0%	4.1%
8.00	2.8%	86.0%	2.4%
9.00	2.0%	85.0%	1.7%
10.00	2.5%	84.2%	2.1%
20.00	9.0%	79.0%	7.1%
30.00	3.1%	76.0%	2.4%
40.00	1.0%	74.0%	0.7%
50.00	0.8%	72.5%	0.6%
100.00	0.9%	68.0%	0.6%
150.00	0.1%	65.5%	0.1%
200.00	0.0%	63.7%	0.0%
	Total Net Annua	I Removal Efficiency:	92.0%
	Total Ru	noff Volume Treated:	99.9%

Notes:

- (1) Rainfall Data: 1981:2007,HLY03 6149387, Waterloo/Wellingotn Airport, ON
- (2) Based on third party verified data and appoximating the removal of a PSD similar to the STC Fine distribution
- (3) Rainfall adjusted to 5 min peak intensity based on hourly average.

Appendix D Utility Correspondence

From:	Carm Stefanelli	
То:	Bellemare, Jackie	
Subject:	950 St David	
Date:	Monday, May 02, 2022 9:42:38 AM	
Attachments:	image001.png elec_plan_requirement2.pdf Cust Request for Connection 3.pdf contractor_pre_job 1.doc electrical specifications cwh A1.doc	

Good morning,

This project does fall into CWH service territory.

Please complete attached documents and return to me at your convenience Thank you

Carm Stefanelli Manager operations Centre Wellington Hydro 519-8432900 ext 236 (office) 226-8200128 (cell)



Good morning Jackie,

Thank you for your patience.

Network Analysis has advised that there is sufficient capacity in the system <u>at this time</u>. Please note that capacity in the system is not reserved without an application for service. We would need to rereview the system to determine sufficient capacity at the time the project is to proceed.

Thank you.

Jenny Thompson

Advisor, Regional Expansion **Field Services & Growth**

ENBRIDGE

TEL: 519-885-7400 ext. 5067488 | jenny.thompson@enbridge.com 603 Kumpf Drive, Waterloo, ON N2V 1K3

enbridge.com Safety. Integrity. Respect. Inclusion.

From: Jenny Thompson
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:48 PM
To: Bellemare, Jackie <Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Enbridge Servicing

Good afternoon Jackie,

Happy to help!

Please find the attached PDF file showing information for Enbridge Gas plant locations in respect to the above-mentioned project, for engineering purposes only. The location of Enbridge Gas facilities on this drawing is approximate and is to be used for information purposes. It is understood that locates must be obtained through Ontario One Call Limited at 1-800-400-2255 to confirm location of our gas line prior to excavation.

I will forward the drawing to our Network Analysis team to advise of system capacity. Network Analysis will only be able to advise regarding our current system, as we do not reserve capacity without an application for service. Capacity will need to be reviewed again once the project is to proceed.

Thank you.

Jenny Thompson

Sr Analyst New Business Projects Construction and Growth

ENBRIDGE

TEL: 519-885-7400 ext. 5067488 | jenny.thompson@enbridge.com 603 Kumpf Drive, Waterloo, ON N2V 1K3

enbridge.com Safety. Integrity. Respect. Inclusion.

From: Bellemare, Jackie <<u>Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:21 PM
To: Jenny Thompson <<u>Jenny.Thompson@enbridge.com</u>>
Subject: [External] 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Enbridge Servicing

CAUTION! EXTERNAL SENDER

Were you expecting this email? TAKE A CLOSER LOOK. Is the sender legitimate? DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you are 100% sure that the email is safe.

Good Afternoon Jenny!

Thank you for your continued assistance with providing preliminary utility servicing information on our upcoming projects – we have another we would like some information on!

This one is located on St. David Street in Fergus, see attached Concept Plan. There will be 2 separate sites being developed – the townhouse development at the back with 144 units is being considered at this time.

Could you please provide some general information on existing infrastructure in the area and expected servicing capabilities for the site?

Thank you!

Jackie Bellemare E.I.T. Project Coordinator- Community Development

Mobile: 1(519) 546-5166 Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com

Stantec 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.



From:	Ackerman, R. Neil
То:	Bellemare, Jackie; MOC (Bell)
Cc:	O"Shea, Brendan; Ackerman, R. Neil
Subject:	RE: 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Bell Servicing
Date:	Friday, April 08, 2022 3:50:00 PM
Attachments:	image001.png
	BLANK requestforwork forbuilder 2022 (22).xls
	161414172 R-CP2 20220203pdf.pdf

Hello MOC team

Please provide Jackie with a mark up of the Bell plant fronting 950 - 960 St David's St north.

Jackie we have two separate non encased duct banks fronting the property and multiple copper cables. Also there is a long haul fiber cable out front.

We have a cable feed with a lightning arrestor in the existing building. We will need to it remove prior to any building demo work.

We will also need a site CAD & PDF drawing along with the attached RFI excel sheet completed and returned once your site plan is approved.

Once we have all the info we with apply to our funding team for capital to service the site with fiber.

?

Neil Ackerman Implementation Manager, Network Provisioning

Flr 3,20 Cork St East Guelph, N1H-2W7 P 519.568.5797 C 226.750.5389 neil.ackerman1@bell.ca

From: Bellemare, Jackie <Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com>
Sent: April-08-22 3:24 PM
To: Ackerman, R. Neil <neil.ackerman1@bell.ca>
Subject: [EXT]FW: 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Bell Servicing

My mistake – I'm guessing you won't be able to advise on Enbridge servicing. We appreciate the Bell servicing information!

Jackie Bellemare E.I.T.

Project Coordinator- Community Development

Mobile: 1(519) 546-5166 Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com

Stantec 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Bellemare, Jackie
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:22 PM
To: Ackerman, R. Neil <<u>neil.ackerman1@bell.ca</u>>
Subject: 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Enbridge Servicing

Good Afternoon Neil!

Thank you for your continued assistance with providing preliminary utility servicing information on our upcoming projects – we have another we would like some information on!

This one is located on St. David Street in Fergus, see attached Concept Plan. There will be 2 separate sites being developed – the townhouse development at the back with 144 units is being considered at this time.

Could you please provide some general information on existing infrastructure in the area and expected servicing capabilities for the site?

Thank you!

Jackie Bellemare E.I.T.

Project Coordinator- Community Development

Mobile: 1(519) 546-5166 Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com

Stantec 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

External Email: Please use caution when opening links and attachments / **Courriel externe:** Soyez prudent avec les liens et documents joints

Hello Jackie,

My understanding is Rogers is not servicing Fergus at this time. I would need to have the project assessed to determine if Rogers will service. Do you have a timeline for occupancy?

Devon McDermott Rogers Communications Canada Inc. 85 Grand Crest Place Kitchener ON N2C 2L6

DEVON.MCDERMOTT@RCI.ROGERS.COM

o 519.894.8121 m 519.572.3875 f 519.893.7857



From: Devon McDermott <Dmcdermo@rci.rogers.com>
Sent: April 14, 2022 2:05 PM
To: Bellemare, Jackie <Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com>; Cheryl Jacob
<Cheryl.Jacob@rci.rogers.com>
Cc: Devon McDermott <Dmcdermo@rci.rogers.com>
Subject: RE: 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Rogers Servicing

Hello Jackie,

Are you able to complete/return the new construction checklist when the information becomes available?

Hi Cheryl, Can you comment?

Devon McDermott Rogers Communications Canada Inc. 85 Grand Crest Place Kitchener ON N2C 2L6

DEVON.MCDERMOTT@RCI.ROGERS.COM o 519.894.8121 m 519.572.3875

tf 519.893.7857



From: Bellemare, Jackie <<u>Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: April 8, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Devon McDermott <<u>Dmcdermo@rci.rogers.com</u>>; Cheryl Jacob <<u>Cheryl.Jacob@rci.rogers.com</u>>
Subject: 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Rogers Servicing

Good Afternoon Cheryl and Devon,

Thank you for your continued assistance with providing preliminary utility servicing information on our upcoming projects – we have another we would like some information on!

This one is located on St. David Street in Fergus, see attached Concept Plan. There will be 2 separate sites being developed – the townhouse development at the back with 144 units is being considered at this time. If this is located outside of your areas please advise who we may contact!

Could you please provide some general information on existing infrastructure in the area and expected servicing capabilities for the site?

Thank you!

Jackie Bellemare E.I.T.

Project Coordinator- Community Development

Mobile: 1(519) 546-5166 Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com

Stantec 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

This communication is confidential. We only send and receive email on the basis of the terms set out at <u>www.rogers.com/web/content/emailnotice</u>

Ce message est confidentiel. Notre transmission et réception de courriels se fait strictement suivant les modalités énoncées dans l'avis publié à <u>www.rogers.com/aviscourriel</u>

Good morning Jackie,

Thank you for your patience.

Network Analysis has advised that there is sufficient capacity in the system <u>at this time</u>. Please note that capacity in the system is not reserved without an application for service. We would need to rereview the system to determine sufficient capacity at the time the project is to proceed.

Thank you.

Jenny Thompson

Advisor, Regional Expansion **Field Services & Growth**

ENBRIDGE

TEL: 519-885-7400 ext. 5067488 | jenny.thompson@enbridge.com 603 Kumpf Drive, Waterloo, ON N2V 1K3

enbridge.com Safety. Integrity. Respect. Inclusion.

From: Jenny Thompson
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 4:48 PM
To: Bellemare, Jackie <Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Enbridge Servicing

Good afternoon Jackie,

Happy to help!

Please find the attached PDF file showing information for Enbridge Gas plant locations in respect to the above-mentioned project, for engineering purposes only. The location of Enbridge Gas facilities on this drawing is approximate and is to be used for information purposes. It is understood that locates must be obtained through Ontario One Call Limited at 1-800-400-2255 to confirm location of our gas line prior to excavation.

I will forward the drawing to our Network Analysis team to advise of system capacity. Network Analysis will only be able to advise regarding our current system, as we do not reserve capacity without an application for service. Capacity will need to be reviewed again once the project is to proceed.

Thank you.

Jenny Thompson

Sr Analyst New Business Projects Construction and Growth

ENBRIDGE

TEL: 519-885-7400 ext. 5067488 | jenny.thompson@enbridge.com 603 Kumpf Drive, Waterloo, ON N2V 1K3

enbridge.com Safety. Integrity. Respect. Inclusion.

From: Bellemare, Jackie <<u>Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, April 8, 2022 3:21 PM
To: Jenny Thompson <<u>Jenny.Thompson@enbridge.com</u>>
Subject: [External] 950-960 St David Street Fergus - Enbridge Servicing

CAUTION! EXTERNAL SENDER

Were you expecting this email? TAKE A CLOSER LOOK. Is the sender legitimate? DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you are 100% sure that the email is safe.

Good Afternoon Jenny!

Thank you for your continued assistance with providing preliminary utility servicing information on our upcoming projects – we have another we would like some information on!

This one is located on St. David Street in Fergus, see attached Concept Plan. There will be 2 separate sites being developed – the townhouse development at the back with 144 units is being considered at this time.

Could you please provide some general information on existing infrastructure in the area and expected servicing capabilities for the site?

Thank you!

Jackie Bellemare E.I.T. Project Coordinator- Community Development

Mobile: 1(519) 546-5166 Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com

Stantec 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Appendix E Correspondence

Good morning,

I have reviewed the proposed plans, and will note that GRCA does not have any regulations that extend onto the subject lands.

Please that legal and adequate outlet for storm water will ne necessary; the plans that I was circulated do not show any SWM infrastructure and outlet onto neighbouring property. The municipality may require a drainage agreement for an outlet of this nature.

As the project evolves, I would appreciate being recirculated.

Regards, Ben

Ben Kissner, M.Sc., MCIP, RPP

Resource Planner Grand River Conservation Authority

400 Clyde Road, PO Box 729 Cambridge, ON N1R 5W6 Office: 519-621-2763 ext. 2237 Toll-free: 1-866-900-4722 Fax: 519-621-4844 www.grandriver.ca | Connect with us on social

From: Bellemare, Jackie <Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com>
Sent: April 19, 2022 2:22 PM
To: Ben Kissner <bkissner@grandriver.ca>
Cc: Fraser, Trevor <Trevor.Fraser@stantec.com>
Subject: 950-960 St. David Street North Fergus - GRCA Correspondence

Good Afternoon Ben,

Trevor and I are working on a development located at 950 and 960 St. David Street North in Fergus per the attached concept plan (the plan is still being finalized so may change for the ZBA application). We are preparing a submission for ZBA at this time and will be focusing on the residential townhouse development at the back of the property. The current commercial property will be redeveloped per the attached at some undetermined point in the future. The site is outside of the GRCA regulated area which is why we have not reached out to you or needed to contact you to this point.

The site is generally flat sloping gently northward towards the unnamed stream shown on the attached outlet mark-up. Flows currently drain over the designated "EP" Environmental Protection area to the stream, including the swale located on the neighbouring property sideyard.

We are undergoing preliminary design in support of ZBA and would like to continue outletting clean flows to this stream under proposed conditions such to mimic the current regime and would appreciate any additional info or comment the GRCA can provide accordingly. Barring any issues on your end, we will submit our ZBA application to the Township and circulate to the GRCA for comment as well to ensure we meet any water quality or quantity control design criteria.

If you require any further information or have any questions please let us know!

Thank you,

Jackie Bellemare E.I.T. Project Coordinator- Community Development

Mobile: 1(519) 546-5166 Jackie.Bellemare@stantec.com

Stantec 100-300 Hagey Boulevard Waterloo ON N2L 0A4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.