

## PRELIMINARY HYDROGEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION POLOCORP FERGUS SUBDIVISION – PHASE 1

968 St. David Street North Fergus, Ontario

#### SUBMITTED TO:

Mr. Mike Puopolo Polocorp Inc. 379 Queen Street South Kitchener, ON N2G 1W6

FILE NO: 1495 / January 31, 2025



311 VICTORIA STREET NORTH KITCHENER / ONTARIO / N2H 5E1 519-742-8979

January 31, 2025 FILE NO.: 1495

Mr. Mike Puopolo Polocorp Inc. 379 Queen Street South Kitchener, ON N2G 1W6

Dear Mr. Puopolo:

RE: Preliminary Hydrogeological Investigation Polocorp Fergus Subdivision – Phase 1 968 St. David Street North, Fergus, Ontario

This report summarizes the preliminary results of a hydrogeological investigation completed in support of a proposed subdivision containing a combination of residential single units, stacked townhouses, mixed-use units, roadways, a stormwater management facility, parkland, and other environmental features located at 968 St. David Street North in Fergus, Ontario.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding the report, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly, CHUNG & VANDER DOELEN ENGINEERING LTD.

Peter Dao, M.Sc., P.Geo. Manager, Environmental & Hydrogeology

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page
Letter Table	of Transmittal of Contents	I ii
List of	Appendices and Enclosures	iii
1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Investigation Scope	2
2.1	Background Data Review	2
2.2	Borehole Drilling & Monitoring Well Installation	2
2.3	Drive-Point Piezometer Installation	3
2.3	Well Development, Water Level Monitoring, & Response Tests	3
3.0	Site Characterization	4
3.1	Topography, Drainage & Wetland Features	4
3.2	Geologic Setting	5
3.3	Hydrogeologic Setting	6
3	B.3.1 Water Table Depth and Configuration	6
3	3.3.2 Groundwater Infiltration and Recharge	6
3	B.3.3 Groundwater / Surface Water / Wetland Interaction	7
3.4	Source Water Protection And Groundwater Use	8
4.0	Water Budget Assessment	8
5.0	Site Servicing Requirements & Impact Assessment	10
5.1	Wastewater Systems & Potential Impact of Effluent	10
5.2	Water Supply & Potential Impact of Water Taking	10
5.3	Stormwater Management & Potential Impact to Groundwater Recharge	10
6.0	Conclusions & Recommendations	11
7.0	Closing	13
8.0	References	14



## LIST OF APPENDICES AND ENCLOSURES

Appendix A	Draft Plan of Subdivision (Polocorp, December 10, 2024)
Appendix B	Figure 1 – Site Location Figure 2 – Quaternary Geology Figure 3 – Watershed Mapping (GRCA) Figure 4 – Monitoring Well and Piezometer Locations Figure 5 – Water Table Contours Interpretation (September 18, 2024)
Appendix C	Well Response Test Analysis Charts
Appendix D	Table 1 – Summary of Groundwater Levels and Elevations & Hydrograph
Appendix E	Water Balance Calculation
Appendix F	Water Chemistry Results (AGAT Laboratories of Mississauga, Ontario)
Appendix G	MECP Well Records
Appendix H	Limitations of Report
Enclosure A	Soil Abbreviations and Terms Used on Record of Borehole Log Sheets
Enclosures 4 to 8 & 14 to 21	Borehole Log Sheets

Enclosures 24 to 28 Grain Size Distribution Charts



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Chung & Vander Doelen Engineering Ltd. (CVD) has been retained by the 'Client', Polocorp Inc., to complete a hydrogeological investigation for the property located at 968 St. David Street North in Fergus, Ontario ("Site"), as part of the Phase 1 development of the Polocorp Fergus Subdivision. The currently planned development comprises of Lot 18, Concession 16 of the Subject Lands.

The purpose of the investigation is to evaluate existing hydrogeological conditions at the Site in support of a proposal for a residential subdivision and subsequent development, comprised of a combination of residential single units, stacked townhouses, mixed-use units, roadways, a stormwater management facility, and park.

This report presents the preliminary findings of the ongoing hydrogeological investigation, conducted between February and September 2024 for the proposed subdivision. At the time of the writing of this report, only a Draft Plan of Subdivision (Polocorp Inc., December 10, 2024), as provided in Appendix A, was available for our review.

The 19.39±-hectare (ha) Site is currently comprised of a cultivated agricultural field, a residential dwelling fronting St. David Street North and its related structures, and a small wetland in the southeast corner of the Site. The Site is bound by St. David Street North to the west and predominantly by agricultural lands to the north, east, and south. An existing residential subdivision is situated directly southeast of the southeastern corner of the Site. Figure 1 shows the location of the subject Site in the Town of Fergus.

It is understood that the Site is to be fully municipally serviced with the water and wastewater services and will include the construction of a 2.41± ha sized stormwater management (SWM) facility within the southwest corner of the Site, and a roadway network throughout the subdivision, connecting it to St. David Street North, within the west portion of the site. This investigation characterizes the hydrogeological setting and assesses potential impacts from future servicing. Other characteristics of the future development are to include a 0.81± ha sized park (Block 25) and a 3.34± ha sized environmental feature and buffer. The existing wetland will be maintained as part of this environmental feature postdevelopment.

The overall objectives of the hydrogeological investigation are as follows:

- 1. To characterize the hydrogeologic setting, using data from the current and previous investigations, with primary emphasis on the near surface hydrogeologic setting.
- 2. To assess the roles (or functions) that groundwater and surface water have with respect to the wetland and other environmental features at or adjacent to the property.
- 3. To identify and evaluate potential impacts and opportunities to enhance groundwater and surface water contribution to receptors from the proposed development, and to make recommendations to safeguard these features from the potential impacts associated with the development.



As this is a preliminary report, early in the investigation process, the objectives above cannot be fully addressed at this time. An updated report will be provided after the completion of the investigative scope of work.

### 2.0 INVESTIGATION SCOPE

#### 2.1 BACKGROUND DATA REVIEW

The following background information (Section 8.0 lists the specific information), which has been considered and reviewed as part of this investigation:

- CVD Engineering Ltd.; Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation (May 28, 2024)
- Golder; Hydrogeological Investigation, 8243 and 8282 Wellington Road 19, Ontario (February 2022)
- Grounded Engineering; Hydrogeological Assessment, 350 Wellington Road 7, Elora, Ontario (October 2022)
- Government of Canada Environment and Natural Resources; Historical Data; Fergus Shand Dam Weather Station; 2024 Daily Data Reports
- Grand River Conservation Authority; ESRI; ArcGIS; Map Your Property Tool (2024)
- Karrow, P.F.; Pleistocene Geology of the Guelph Area, Southern Ontario; Geological Report 61; Map 22153, scale 1:63,360 (1968) (Figure 2)
- Matrix Solutions Inc.; Centre Wellington Scoped Tier Three Water Budget Assessment (December 2017)
- Polocorp; Draft Plan of Subdivision (December 10, 2024) (Appendix A)
- MECP Water Well Records near Site (Appendix G)
- Toporama Topographical Map (Ministry of Natural Resources, Retrieved June 11, 2024) (Figure 1)
- WSP Canada Inc.; Hydrogeological Investigation Updated, 8243 and 8282 Wellington Road 19, Ontario (April 2023)

#### 2.2 BOREHOLE DRILLING & MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION

As part of both the initial hydrogeological and preliminary geotechnical investigations completed between January 15 and 17, 2024, five (5) boreholes were advanced to depths of between 5.20 and 8.25 m below existing grade, and monitoring wells were installed at each borehole location (labelled BH 4 to 8). A supplemental investigation was completed, between September 9 and 12, 2024, in which eight (8) additional boreholes/monitoring wells (labelled BH 106 to 113) were drilled and installed to a depth of 6.55 m below grade. These boreholes/wells were drilled/installed to investigate the shallow subsoil and shallow groundwater table conditions at the property.

The investigation was completed using a track-mounted CME-55 drill rig, equipped with standard 83 mm inner diameter hollow stem augers (HSA) operated by Davis Drilling Ltd. of Milton, Ontario. Soil samples collected during the borehole investigation program were examined in the field and subsequently



brought to CVD's laboratory for tactile and textural examination. Moisture content determinations were performed on all retrieved soil samples from the drilling program.

The ground surface elevation of the boreholes, monitoring wells, and geomorphological features of the site were surveyed by CVD for the purpose of this report using a Network RTK Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Receiver. The survey data was collected using the UTM Zone 17N Projection, NAD83(CSRS)v7-2010 datum and Canada Geoid Model HT2\_2010v70 (CGVD28).

#### 2.3 DRIVE-POINT PIEZOMETER INSTALLATION

Shallow drive-point piezometers (DP) were installed at three (3) wetland/water course locations (DP1 to DP 3D, Figure 4) on November 10, 2023, and an additional (1) shallow piezometer (DP 3S) was installed on March 11, 2024, beside DP 3D. Furthermore, four (4) additional piezometers (DP 4S/4D and DP 5S/5D) were installed in other areas of the wetland as part of the September 2024 supplemental investigation. The piezometers were installed to investigate both groundwater and surface water levels (i.e., both inside and outside the piezometers) to characterize the groundwater-dependent features for the Grand River Conservation Authority (GRCA) regulated wetland, located within the southeast section of the Site. DP 1 was installed in the southern corner of the wetland at the edge of a pond connected to a stream travelling in a southern direction. DP 2 was installed roughly in the western central edge of the wetland, amongst cattails and other marsh-related vegetation, in what is likely an intermittent stream. DP 3S/3D were installed near the northwest edge of the wetland, in an area with no standing water. DP 4S/4D and DP 5S/5D were installed in the central area of the wetland – DP 4S/4D was installed in standing water (September) while the area surrounding DP 5S/D contained marsh-like vegetation but no standing water. According to the Grand River Conservation Authority (GRCA), the wetland in which DP 1, 2, 4S/D, and 5S/D are located is what is considered as a marsh, while the wetland beside DP 3S/3D is classified as a swamp. Figure 4 shows the locations of the drive-point piezometers within the wetlands and water courses.

The procedure for installing each drive-point piezometer was as follows: 1) auger a 0.85 m hole through the upper soil layers to observe the stratigraphy and groundwater conditions of the location 2) attach a 0.30-m long, 1.9-cm diameter stainless steel piezometer tip (or screen) to a length of 1.9-cm threaded steel riser pipe, 3) drive the assembled piezometer from ground surface to the depth desired using a post hammer, and 4) fill any annular space around the pipe with bentonite to prevent surface water from moving directly along the annulus to the piezometer screen.

#### 2.3 WELL DEVELOPMENT, WATER LEVEL MONITORING, & RESPONSE TESTS

Each monitoring well was developed using Waterra<sup>™</sup> polyethylene tubing and foot-valve hand pumps. As of the writing of this report, groundwater level monitoring was performed on five (5) occasions: on February 6, March 11, July 22, September 12, and September 18, 2024. Groundwater levels were obtained at all of the monitoring wells and piezometer locations during each occasion. Table 1 provides a summary of the groundwater levels and calculated elevations for the five monitoring events. Four (4) water level measurements were recorded from the drive point mini piezometers; DP 1 to DP 3D starting



in November 2023; however, the results have not yet been completed and will be included in future revisions of the report once more data is collected.

Well response tests (slug/bail tests) were completed at three (3) of the monitoring well locations (Boreholes 5 to 7) on March 11, 2024, to provide a more accurate estimate of the hydraulic conductivity (K) (or permeability) of the saturated aquifer subsoil strata, to assist in providing more accurate infiltration rates. The hydraulic conductivities were calculated through the Aquifer Test software utilizing the Bouwer and Rice method. The results of the tests are graphically presented in Appendix C, and a summary of the data is also included in Table 1.

Furthermore, five (5) grain size distribution analyses were carried out on representative subsoil samples to help identify and assist in providing estimates of hydraulic conductivities for the encountered groundwater-bearing subsoil deposits. The results of these tests are presented in Enclosures 22 and 28.

Additionally, to provide a more comprehensive summary of groundwater conditions at the Site, six (6) Van Essen DI801 10 m TD-Diver automated data loggers and one (1) Baro-Diver were installed in wells BH 5, 7, 101, 106, 111, and 113. Furthermore, two (2) DI601 10 m Micro-Divers were installed in DP 1 and DP 3D. The automated monitoring at these wells/piezometers using the datal loggers is currently ongoing.

#### 3.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION

#### 3.1 TOPOGRAPHY, DRAINAGE & WETLAND FEATURES

The Site is within the Upper Grand River watershed and is fully encompassed within the West Montrose – Grand River subwatershed. Locally, the groundwater table and any surface water runoff traverses across the site in a generally north to south orientation, towards the southwestern corner of the property and towards the wetland, located within the southeastern corner/side of the Site.

According to the Grand River Conservation Authority (GRCA), the wetland within the southeastern corner of the Site is GRCA regulated and is split into two categories: marsh and swamp. Roughly the northern third of the wetland and a small section of the southeastern side of the area are designated as a swamp wetland, while the remainder of the wetland is designated as a marsh. The wetland extends past the southern confines of the Site and includes multiples ponds connected by perennial streams. Occasional intermittent streams can be observed travelling along the north-south axis across the wetland, towards the southern streams/ponds. Various trees, frequent cattails, and other marsh-related vegetation are present throughout most of the wetland. The GRCA mapping for the regulated lands abreast of the wetlands and water courses are shown on Figure 3.

Regionally, the surface topography decreases significantly towards Guelph and Kitchener/Waterloo, and generally increases north, towards The Grand Valley and Arthur. Elevations also decrease westward, towards Listowel, and significantly decrease eastward, towards Brampton. Currently, the topography in the area is formed from the most recent glacial activity (Wisconsin). The area around Fergus is full of



kames associated with the Orangeville Moraine (Karrow, 1968). Figure 1 shows the topographic contours across the site.

Local Site topography is considered 'rolling land', with ground elevations from north to south across the site ranging from 427± to 420± mASL. There is also generally a slight increase in surface elevation from east to west, across the Site. The current terrain on-Site is relatively even, with no major undulations, or topographical features. In general, runoff will mimic topography flowing to low elevations where water courses and wetlands are present. As a result, surface runoff from the farm fields is anticipated to be primarily controlled by topography and to drain towards the relatively lower lying south/wetland portion the property.

Excluding the wetland, most of the Site consists of a farm field with occasional minor residential/grassy areas fronting St. David Street North.

#### 3.2 GEOLOGIC SETTING

Surface geological mapping for the area (by Karrow P.F., 1968) is presented in the Quaternary Geology map of Figure 2. According to Karrow (1968), the the Site is primarily underlain by lacustrine, kame deposits, comprising mainly outwash sands, with an underlying Wentworth till unit. Both deposits were laid down during the late Wisconsinan stage of the Pleistocene period. The local topography of the area has been largely influenced by the presence of The Wentworth Till. The entire area is underlain by dolostone bedrock of the Guelph Formation. This is confirmed through the MECP well record data for drinking water wells in the area surrounding the property, as included in Appendix G. According to the records, bedrock was encountered at depths between 24± and 32± m below existing grade (mbeg). Bedrock outcrops can be found in certain areas around Fergus and are notably present towards the southwest within the Elora Gorge.

The monitoring well-recorded data and borehole log data (Enclosures 4 to 8 and 14 to 21) collected during the Site investigation are generally consistent with Quaternary geological mapping. Based on the drilled boreholes, the surficial and subsoils encountered generally consisted of topsoil underlain by a 0.5 to 1.5 m thick layer of sandy silt to silty sand, in turn underlain by a major layer of fine sand with trace to some silt. North of the Site, fine sand deposits can extend deeper than 9.60 mbeg but only extend to 1.85 mbeg beneath the south portion (BH 7). Boreholes 109 to 113 contained only minor sand seams. The subsoil here is predominantly composed of sandy silt to silty sand and/or silt, underlain by a clayey silt till/sandy silt till deposit. Similarly, a clayey silt till with some sand content, ranging from trace sand to sandy was encountered in Boreholes 6 to 8, underlying the sand deposit. Based on the findings from the subsurface investigation, it appears that the contact depth of the clayey silt till/sandy silt till layer increases in elevation towards the south part of the property. This geological unit is probably the Wentworth Till deposit, referred to in Karrow (1968); however, is should be noted that the deposit was not encountered during this investigation anywhere in the north portion of the Site as was depicted in the geological maps. Occasional silt layers were also encountered interlayered with the clayey silt till.



#### 3.3 HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING

#### 3.3.1 Water Table Depth and Configuration

The water table exists predominantly within the shallow fine to granular deposits (fine sand, sandy silt to silty sand, and silt subsoils) encountered beneath the entire Site. The encountered depth of the groundwater table greatly reduces from north to south across the property. Table 1 summarizes the groundwater level measurements collected thus far during this investigation from the period extending from February 2024 to September 2024 at all of monitoring well locations.

Figure 5 presents an interpretation of the water table configuration and shallow groundwater flow directions across the property using the groundwater elevation measurements gathered on September 18, 2024. As expected, the water table mimics the topography with shallow groundwater flowing southerly towards the southeastern portion of the Site in the direction of the wetland. Additionally, groundwater appears to also flow into the existing drainage feature located within the southwestern corner of the Site.

During the monitoring period, according to the gathered data, groundwater levels were encountered at depths ranging between 2.02 (BH 5) and 0.52 mbeg (BH 8), corresponding to elevations ranging between 423.59 and 420.50 masl according to the July 22, 2024, water levels measurements. It should be noted that these high-water levels documented during the summer season are likely caused by the abnormally high amount of precipitation experienced throughout the first half of 2024. Furthermore, groundwater level monitoring is required to understand the seasonal and yearly variability in groundwater elevations across the Site. Ongoing groundwater monitoring is being conducted on a seasonal basis to provide an evaluation of the seasonal variability of the groundwater table and its fluctuations. Appendix D includes a summary table of measured groundwater levels (Table 1) and interpreted hydrographs collected during the monitoring period. The hydrographs were plotted against monthly precipitation data sourced from the Government of Canada Environment and Natural Resources Daily Data Reports for the Fergus Shand Dam weather station, the nearest active weather station to the Site.

#### 3.3.2 Groundwater Infiltration and Recharge

Groundwater infiltration rates are expected to vary across the Site; however, due to the general predominance of shallow fine grained sand deposits throughout the entire Site, infiltration rates are expected to be generally fast. Infiltration and recharge areas are also heavily influenced by the topographical features of the land, which in this case promotes shallow groundwater to flow towards the south.

Based on the single well response tests and physical examination of the soil deposits, the correlating estimated infiltration rates for the various surficial soils at the property range as follows:

- Fine to Medium Sand: 75 to 150 mm/hr
- Silty Sand: 15 to 30 mm/hr



- Sandy Silt to Sand and Silt: 10 to 20 mm/hr
- Silt: 3 to 5 mm/hr
- Clayey Silt Till: <1 mm/hr

According to data layers provided from the GRCA-Web GIS application, the property has a recharge rate of 320± to 370± mm/year. Recharge/infiltration should be high due to the ubiquitous presence of the fine sand deposit and its overall 'dry' condition, especially within the northern section of the Site where the water table during the peak groundwater elevation period (February to March 2024) was encountered at depths of between 2± to 3± mbeg.

During the period of higher groundwater elevations, expected during the spring and fall, infiltration is expected to be reduced in the wetland; however, considering the intermittent nature of the streams and surface water features in the marsh section of the wetland, infiltration will likely increase during the summer and fall seasons as the water table lowers. A large portion of the wetland is interpreted to promote groundwater recharge, as will be further discussed in the next section.

#### 3.3.3 Groundwater / Surface Water / Wetland Interaction

The interactions occurring in the wetland area were examined based on both field observations and surface water/groundwater level data gathered at the five (5) mini-piezometer locations: DP1, DP2, DP3S/3D, DP 4S/4D, and DP 5S/5D (Figure 4). It is interpreted that a large portion of the wetland located on the estate is largely intermittent in nature, with more perennial surface water features located south of the property.

DP 1, which is located within a pond on the southwestern edge of the wetland, has continually exhibited a noticeable positive vertical hydraulic gradient (VHG) since installation, evidence that this is primarily a groundwater discharge area. Based on the current collected data and field observations, the surface water features in this area are some of the only perennial groundwater features found on the property and they are connected to the larger streams and ponds found south of the Site. Aside from groundwater discharge to maintain the wetland, surface water runoff also aids in maintaining these features since they are located in the relatively lowest section of the property in an area where there are fewer sand deposits, and higher amounts of clayey silt/sandy silt till deposits, and an overall higher groundwater table, all of which reduces potential infiltration of surface water and helps drive runoff towards the wetland.

The data collected at DP 2 shows a variable nature, switching from a clearly negative VHG from November to December 2023, indicating groundwater recharge, to a neutral VHG from February to July 2024. This is likely symptomatic of the conjectured intermittent nature of the marsh surface water features.

The preliminary groundwater data collected during September 2024 from both DP 4S/4D and DP 5S/5D show a clearly negative (recharging) VHG; however, it is predicted that the groundwater within these piezometers will also show intermittent behavior. More data is required to provide firm conclusions.



So far, not enough data has been collected at DP 3S/3D to provide an adequate evaluation of the conditions existing in that section of the eastern wetland. An initial comparison of the groundwater elevations (September 2024) with the mini piezometers to the groundwater elevations collected in the nearby well BH 107, potentially suggests a neutral VGH at the time of measurement. More data is required to provide firm conclusions.

#### 3.4 SOURCE WATER PROTECTION AND GROUNDWATER USE

The entire Site is located within the Fergus Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA). Fergus currently has six (6) municipal supply wells (wells F1, F2, F4, F5, F6, F7), with the Site located in between three of these wells (F4, F6, F7). According to data provided on the GRCA-Web GIS application, most of the property is classified as WHPA-C, denoting an area in which travel time is between 2 to 5 years, and with a vulnerability score of 6. The entire eastern boundary of the Site falls with WHPA-B for the municipal well, F6, denoting an area in which the groundwater travel time to the well is 2 years or less, and having a vulnerability score of 8. Both sections are considered to have a medium vulnerability. It should also be noted that the Belwood Reservoir, where the lake levels are controlled by the Fergus Shand Dam, is located along the Grand River, upstream of Fergus.

All six (6) of the existing municipal supply wells pump groundwater from the dolostone bedrock aquifers of the Guelph, Gasport, and Goat Island Formations. They are both primarily cased and open in the Guelph Formation dolostone. One of these six wells (F2), which is now inactive, is a well with groundwater under the direct influence of surface water (GUDI). Transmissivity values of the Fergus wells range between 52 to 395 m<sup>2</sup>/day (Matrix Solutions Inc., 2017). The wells were drilled to depths of between 76.5 mbeg (F2) and 138.7 mbeg (F7).

Regarding the water quality at the Site, three (3) groundwater samples were submitted from monitoring wells at BH 5, 6, and 7 for analysis of general chemistry, nutrients, and metals. These samples were submitted to the AGAT Laboratories of Mississauga for testing/analysis, with results being provided in Appendix F. No major concerns were identified. Elevated levels of iron were present which exceed the aesthetic drinking water objectives; however, this is naturally occurring within the shallow groundwater of the area. Minor amounts of cadmium, cobalt, copper, vanadium, and zinc were also detected. Slightly elevated amounts of sulphate were also detected in the monitoring wells BH 5 and 7, and slightly higher amounts of nitrate were detected in BH 6, likely caused by on-going agricultural activity. Nitrate and nitrite levels measured at BH 7, in the proposed area for the SWM facility, met Ontario Drinking Water Standard criteria. No chemical threats to groundwater at the Site were detected.

## 4.0 WATER BUDGET ASSESSMENT

#### Pre-Development Water Balance

Precipitation ultimately becomes split into three 'water budget' components: evapotranspiration, runoff, and recharge, with the latter two often referred to together as 'the water balance' (i.e., the remainder after evapotranspiration is removed). Groundwater recharge rates will vary at a given site



based on the permeability of the surficial deposits but will also depend on topography and type of vegetative cover. Most of the subject property has been historically agricultural and has had different types of crops depending on the year, with no crop planted at all during certain portions of the year. During fall to summer 2024, winter wheat was being grown.

A pre-development water balance for the property has been estimated using the Water Balance Method (WBM) of Thornthwaite and Mather (1957), a method cited in the MECP document "Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual" (March 2003) to estimate evapotranspiration. The calculations utilize the 1981-2010 'Climate Normals' from the closest weather station located at the Fergus Shand Dam (gives an annual precipitation rate of 946 mm/yr) and considers a combination of cultivated land use with moderately deep-rooted crops (e.g. wheat), pasture & lawns, wetland, and impervious surfaces. Using these conditions, the subject site has an average evapotranspiration rate of about 56.5% (or 536 mm/yr) and remaining 'water balance' of about 43.5% (410 mm/yr) is estimated. The 2003 MECP document also provides a methodology for estimating the proportions of the water balance that ultimately become runoff vs. recharge, based on applicable infiltration factors for soil, vegetative cover, and topography.

The overall water balance and water budget calculations are included in Appendix E. In summary, the pre-development average annual water budget for the 19.39± ha property is as follows:

A) Pervious Cultivated Land of 14.35 ha

Precipitation	946 mm/yr	135,737 m³/yr
Evapotranspiration	536 mm/yr	76,876 m³/yr
Water Balance	410 mm/yr	58,861 m³/yr
Recharge	287 mm/yr	41,202 m³/yr
Runoff	123 mm/yr	17,658 m³/yr

B) Pervious Pasture & Lawns of 1.50 ha

946 mm/yr	14,189 m³/yr
536 mm/yr	8,036 m³/yr
410 mm/yr	6,153 m³/yr
308 mm/yr	4,615 m³/yr
103 mm/yr	1,538 m³/yr
	946 mm/yr 536 mm/yr 410 mm/yr <b>308 mm/yr</b> 103 mm/yr

C) Pervious Woodland & Wetland of 3.34 ha

Runoff	82 mm/yr	2,740 m <sup>3</sup> /yr
Recharge	328 mm/yr	10,960 m <sup>3</sup> /yr
Water Balance	410 mm/yr	13,700 m³/yr
Evapotranspiration	536 mm/yr	17,893 m³/yr
Precipitation	946 mm/yr	31,593 m³/yr



D) Impervious Rooftops to Pervious Areas of 0.20 ha

Precipitation	946 mm/yr	1,892 m³/yr
Evapotranspiration	95 mm/yr	189 m³/yr
Water Balance	851 mm/yr	1,703 m³/yr
Recharge	213 mm/yr	426 m³/yr
Runoff	638 mm/yr	1,277 m³/yr

Assuming that the wetland area is to remain undeveloped, the target recharge quantity for matching in post-development is 46,243 m<sup>3</sup>/yr. Recharge can likely be met through a stormwater management design utilizing enhanced infiltration facilities as Low Impact Development (LID) infrastructure for the developed site.

#### 5.0 SITE SERVICING REQUIREMENTS & IMPACT ASSESSMENT

#### 5.1 WASTEWATER SYSTEMS & POTENTIAL IMPACT OF EFFLUENT

The proposed subdivision will be connected to municipal sanitary sewers. No private wastewater treatment system requirements are anticipated for the proposed subdivision.

#### 5.2 WATER SUPPLY & POTENTIAL IMPACT OF WATER TAKING

The proposed subdivision will be serviced by municipal water services. No private water supply service requirements are anticipated.

#### 5.3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT & POTENTIAL IMPACT TO GROUNDWATER RECHARGE

The proposed subdivision will be serviced by municipal stormwater management works; however, at the time of the writing of this report, aside from an overall concept, no specific design drawings/plans were available. As the realization of the project proceeds, addendums addressing stormwater management and any theoretical impacts to groundwater recharge including proposed LID infrastructure at the Site may be provided during the detailed design stage.

It is understood that a 2.41± ha SWM facility is to be constructed in the southwestern area of the Site. The general shallow groundwater gradient travels towards the proposed location of the SWM facility. The fine sand deposits generally thin out while simultaneously, the clayey silt till deposits rise in elevation towards the south of the property. Groundwater levels in the area ranged from the depths of 0.2± to 0.5± mbeg (elevations 420.6± to 421.4± mASL) during the winter to spring 2023-2024, corresponding to the high-water table. Since it is recommended that the base of any infiltration facilities be situated no less than 1 m above the seasonally high-water table to ensure reliable infiltration functionality, the SWM facility will likely have to be raised or redesigned in a way to avoid the



near-surface shallow groundwater table.

Further groundwater monitoring is being performed to better understand the water table and its seasonal variations to support development design.

Due to the abundance of 'dry' and highly permeable sands throughout much of the Site, infiltration galleries, open ditches and/or swales, and or soak away pits can be constructed into the sandy soils to maintain pre-development recharge rates. Further recommendations may be provided once a more detailed plan is available.

It is important to ensure that the adjacent regulated wetland east of the proposed SWM facility is not negatively impacted following development. The current conceptual plan (July 2024) proposes a 10 m buffer zone between the wetland and the remainder of the Site. During the design of the stormwater facilities, it is critical that water balance and quality of water are maintained throughout the property, and any potential effects associated with erosion and sedimentation control to the topographical /environmental features are properly designed and controlled.

The Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (March 2003) provided by the Ministry of Environment may be used as a reference to the planning of the stormwater systems. Additionally, the Township of Centre Wellington Sewer Use By-Law No. 2022-66 should be consulted.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the hydrogeological investigation described in this report, the following conclusions and recommendations are provided.

- The subject property is predominantly underlain by fine sand deposits of the late Wisconsin stage of the Pleistocene period, which reduces in thickness from north to south across the property. A clayey silt till/sandy silt till deposit, likely the Wentworth Till, underlies the fine granular deposits and generally increases in contact elevation to the south. During the spring to early summer 2024 period, the groundwater table depth depths ranged between 0.25 (BH 8) and 2.10 mbeg (BH 5) across the property, corresponding to elevations between 423.62 (BH 5) and 420.76 (BH 8) masl.
- Topography decreases in elevation from north to south with ground surface elevations approximately ranging from between 427± to 420± mASL. Shallow groundwater generally mimics the topography of the Site and flows towards the southwestern corner of the property and towards the southeastern wetland.
- 3. Due to the predominance of the underlying fine sand deposits with a high infiltration rate (100 mm/hr), and the relatively deeper lying groundwater table beneath the north section of the site (2± to 3± mbeg, March 2024), infiltration/recharge opportunities throughout the Site should be abundant. Based on the calculated pre-development water balance, the Site has an average evapotranspiration rate of about 56.5% (or 536 mm/yr) and a remaining 'water balance' of about



43.5% (410 mm/yr) is estimated. Assuming that the wetland area is to remain undeveloped, the target recharge quantity for matching in post-development is 46,243 m<sup>3</sup>/yr.

- 4. The wetland area is split into two categories: swamp and marsh. Currently, the available data suggests that much of the wetland area is considered to have intermittent surface water features which are primary involved in groundwater recharge and infiltration. However, perennial swamps and streams do exist within the southern portion of the wetland at the southwestern edge of the Site, where an upward vertical hydraulic gradient and an overall groundwater discharge condition has been observed.
- 5. The property falls within the Fergus Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA) and is currently classified as WHPA-C and WHPA-B with an overall medium vulnerability rating between 6 and 8. The property is located between three (3) of the six (6) Fergus municipal supply wells. No chemical threats or concerns were identified within the property bounds.
- 6. Site servicing (wastewater, water supply, stormwater management) for the development is to be fully municipally supplied. At the time of the writing of this report, aside from a general concept plan showing the location of the proposed SWM facility, no specific design drawings / plans were available. It is also recommended that as design plans are updated and information becomes available, addendums be added to address the specific site servicing issues. Furthermore, groundwater monitoring should be completed to aid in the design and location of the proposed SWM facility and any proposed LID, infiltration infrastructure.
- 7. Seasonal groundwater monitoring is incomplete and is ongoing at the Site to better characterize the hydrogeological setting and groundwater/surface water interactions.
- 8. It is recommended that once finished floor elevations and a site grading plan are available, that CVD be retained to review the final design and provide updates to the recommendations and conclusions provided in this report. Based on the final design, additional boreholes/monitoring wells might be required to delineate the extent of the water table and provide additional information.



January 31, 2025 FILE NO.: 1495 Page 13

#### 7.0 CLOSING

This hydrogeological investigation report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Client and their assigns for specific application to this project property.

The assessment was conducted in accordance with the verbal and written requests from the Client, and generally accepted assessment practices. Performance of this assessment is intended to reduce, but not eliminate, uncertainty regarding the hydrogeological conditions encountered at the project site, given reasonable limits of time and cost. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

We trust this report is sufficient for your immediate requirements. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted, CHUNG & VANDER DOELEN ENGINEERING LTD.

Yaroslav Chudin, EIT Geotechnical Engineering Intern



Peter Dao, M.Sc., P.Geo. Manager, Environmental & Hydrogeology





Gavin R. O'Brien M.Sc., P.Geo. Senior Hydrogeologist



#### 8.0 **REFERENCES**

The following documents, maps, or other publications have been used in the preparation of this report.

- "Centre Wellington Scoped Tier Three Water Budget Assessment", Matrix Solutions Inc. (December 2017).
- "Draft Plan of Subdivision", Polocorp (December 10, 2024).
- "Historical Data; Fergus Shand Dam Weather Station; 2024 Daily Data Reports" Government of Canada Environment and Natural Resources; Retrieved October 1, 202
- "Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation: Proposed Residential Subdivision", Project No.: 1495, CVD Engineering Ltd. (May 28, 2024)
- "Hydrogeological Assessment, 350 Wellington Road 7, Elora, Ontario", Grounded Engineering (October 2022)
- "Hydrogeological Investigation, 8243 and 8282 Wellington Road 19, Ontario", Golder (February 2022)
- "Hydrogeological Investigation Updated, 8243 and 8282 Wellington Road 19, Ontario", WSP Canada Inc. (April 2023)
- "Map Your Property Tool", Grand River Conservation Authority; ESRI; ArcGIS (2024)
- "Pleistocene Geology of the Guelph Area, Southern Ontario; Geological Report 61", Map 22153, scale 1:63,360, Karrow, P. F. (1968).
- Toporama Topographical Map, Ministry of Natural Resources (Retrieved June 11, 2024)



**APPENDIX A** 

Conceptual Subdivision Plan (Polocorp, December 10, 2024)





**APPENDIX B** 

Figures 1 to 5

















Drawn By: YC / PD	Date: Janaury 2025	File No.: 1495
-------------------	--------------------	----------------

**APPENDIX C** 

Well Response Test Analysis Charts



## CHUNG & VANDER DOELEN

ENGINEERING LTD. 311 Victoria Street North Kitchener / Ontario / N2H 5E1 519-742-8979

Respons	Page 2 of 4	
Project:	Polocorp Fergus Subdivision	

Number: 1495

Client: Polocorp Inc.

Location: 968 St. David Street North & 6581 Highway 6, Fergus, ON Response Test: BH 5

Test C	onducted by: Y.C.		Test I	Date: 2024-03-11		Aquifer Thickness: 6.20 m		
Water level at t=0 [m]: 2.09			Static	Water Level [m]: 1.9	9	Water level change at t=0 [m]: 0.09		
	Time [min]	Water Level [m]		WL Change [m]				
1	0	2.087		0.093				
2	0.0333	2.057		2.057		0.063		
3	0.0667	2.037		0.043				
4	0.1667	2.027		0.033				
5	0.25	2.022		0.028				
6	0.3167	2.017		0.023				
7	0.4833	2.012		0.018				
8	0.7833	2.007		0.013				
9	1.1167	2.002		0.008				
10	1.55	2.00		0.006				





# C EN 312 Kite

## **CHUNG & VANDER DOELEN**

ENGINEERING LTD. 311 Victoria Street North Kitchener / Ontario / N2H 5E1 519-742-8979

# Response Test - Water Level Data and Analysis Page 4 of 4 Desired: Desired: Desired:

Project: Polocorp Fergus Subdivision

Number: 1495

Client: Polocorp Inc.

Location: 968 St. David Street North & 6581 Highway 6, Fergus, ON Response Test: BH 7

Test C	onducted by: Y.C.	Tes	t Date: 2024-03-11	Aquifer Thickness: 1.50 m
Water level at t=0 [m]: 1.20			tic Water Level [m]: 0.5	1 Water level change at t=0 [m]: 0.69
	Time [min]	Water Level [m]	WL Change [m]	
1	0	1.199	0.69	
2	0.05	1.119	0.61	
3	0.0833	1.079	0.57	
4	0.1333	1.049	0.54	
5	0.2667	1.019	0.51	
6	0.4167	0.999	0.49	
7	0.6333	0.969	0.46	
8	0.7833	0.949	0.44	
9	1.2	0.899	0.39	
10	1.9167	0.849	0.34	
11	2.6333	0.819	0.31	
12	3.3833	0.799	0.29	
13	3.9667	0.789	0.28	
14	4.5167	0.779	0.27	
15	5.1333	0.769	0.26	



**APPENDIX D** 

Table 1 – Water Level Measurements & Hydrograph(February 2024 to September 2024)



#### 968 St. David Street North, Fergus CVD Engineering Ltd. Project: 1495

Well	Ground Elevation (mASL)	Top Pipe Elevation (mASL)	Pipe Length (m)	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s)		Water Level (m Below Ground)						Water Elevation (m Above Sea Level)						Fluctuation Relative to February 6, 2024 (m)				
	(III/(SE)	(III/(SE)		(11/3)	10-Nov-23	12-Dec-23	06-Feb-24	11-Mar-24	22-Jul-24	12-Sep-24	18-Sep-24	10-Nov-23	12-Dec-23	06-Feb-24	11-Mar-24	22-Jul-24	12-Sep-24	18-Sep-24	11-Mar-24	22-Jul-24	12-Sep-24	18-Sep-24
BH 4	426.94	428.13	1.18	-			3.61	3.48	3.39		3.56			423.34	423.46	423.56		423.39	0.13	0.22		0.05
BH 5	425.61	426.87	1.26	9 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>			2.10	1.99	2.02		2.27			423.51	423.62	423.59		423.34	0.11	0.09		-0.17
BH 6	424.53	425.53	1.00	9 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>			1.62	1.56	1.66		1.90			422.91	422.97	422.87		422.63	0.06	-0.04		-0.27
BH 7	421.82	422.97	1.15	3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>			0.41	0.51	0.71		1.14			421.41	421.31	421.12		420.68	-0.10	-0.30		-0.74
BH 8	421.01	422.12	1.11	-			0.44	0.25	0.52		0.73			420.58	420.76	420.50		420.28	0.18	-0.08		-0.29
BH 106	424.80	425.74	0.94	-						2.07	2.08						422.73	422.72				
BH 107	422.93	423.89	0.96	-						0.40	0.41						422.53	422.52				
BH 108	424.74	425.68	0.94	-						1.82	1.83						422.92	422.91				
BH 109	422.66	423.64	0.98	-						0.40	0.41						422.26	422.25				
BH 110	422.92	423.97	1.05	-						0.57	0.58						422.35	422.34				
BH 111	421.24	422.19	0.95	-						0.60	0.67						420.64	420.57				
BH 112	422.30	423.29	0.99	-						0.74	0.83						421.56	421.46				
BH 113	422.02	422.87	0.85	-						1.53	1.60						420.49	420.42				
DP 1 In	420.06	421.62	1.56	-	-0.33	-0.38	-0.34	-0.39	-0.36		-0.20	420.39	420.44	420.40	420.45	420.42		420.26				
DP 1 Out	420.06	421.62	1.56	-	-0.23	-0.20		-0.27	-0.23		-0.17	420.28	420.26		420.32	420.29		420.22				
DP 2 In	421.71	423.09	1.37	-	1.69	0.45	-0.01	-0.06	-0.06		0.10	420.02	421.26	421.73	421.77	421.78		421.61				
DP 2 Out	421.71	423.09	1.37	-	-0.08	-0.06		-0.08	-0.06			421.79	421.77		421.79	421.78						
DP 3S In	422.86	424.48	1.62	-					0.27	_	0.36					422.59		422.50				
DP 3S Out	422.86	424.48	1.62	-																		
DP 3D In	422.88	424.42	1.54	-	0.57	0.29	0.26	1.23	0.27		0.36	422.31	422.59	422.62		422.61		422.52				
DP 3D Out	422.88	424.42	1.54	-					-1.54							424.42						
DP 4S In	421.27	422.54	1.28	-						-0.15	-0.14						421.42	421.41				
DP 4S Out	421.27	422.54	1.28	-						-0.16	-0.16						421.43	421.43				
DP 4D In	421.29	422.71	1.42	-						1.28	1.05						420.01	420.24				
DP 4D Out	421.29	422.71	1.42	-						-0.11	-0.11						421.41	421.41				
DP 5S In	421.64	422.77	1.13	-						0.39							421.25					
DP 5S Out	421.64	422.77	1.13	-																		
DP 5D In	421.60	423.00	1.39	-						0.48	0.51						421.13	421.09				
DP 5D Out	421.60	423.00	1.39	-																		

Notes: 1) All Elevations Referenced to Geodetic Survey by CVD.

2) Bolded elevations represent the maximum water table aquifer elevation measured at each monitoring well throughout all seasons.

3) Negative water level indicates that water level is above ground.

4) : Monitoring well/piezometer dry

5) Negative fluctuation indicates drop in water level relative to baseline.



1495 Hydrograph - 968 St. David Street North, Fergus (Phase 1) (February 2024 to September 2024)

Precipitation 💿 BH 4 🛆 BH 5 🔷 BH 6 🔺 BH 7 🕱 BH 8 ------BH 7 (Logger) -------BH 5 (Logger)

**APPENDIX E** 

Water Balance Calculation



#### Pre-Development Water Budget Calculations - 968 St. David Street North, Fergus

Pervious Areas (Cultivated Land and Grassed Pasture, with Trees and Shubs)

Determination of Evapotranspiration a	and Water	Balance	Compone	nts - by Wa	ater Balano	ce Method	(Thornthw	vaite & Mat	her, 1957)						
Precipitation: Fergus Shand Dam (1981-2010	), Vegetatior	n: Moderate	ely-Deep Cr	ops, Mature	Grasses wit	h Trees/Shu	bs, Soil: Fin	e Sand and (	Clay						
	Units	Annual	% Total	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Temperature	deg C	6.68		-7.4	-6.3	-1.9	5.7	12.2	17.5	20.0	19.0	14.9	8.3	2.1	-3.9
Heat Index (i)	-	35.09		0.00	0.00	0.00	1.22	3.86	6.66	8.16	7.55	5.22	2.15	0.27	0.00
Unadjusted PET (UPET)	mm/day	1.038		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.87	1.91	2.78	3.20	3.03	2.35	1.28	0.31	0.00
latitude correction (r)	-			24.3	24.5	30.6	33.6	37.9	38.5	38.8	36	31.2	28.5	24.2	23
Potential Evapotranspiration (PET)	mm	559.3		0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	72.5	107.1	124.0	109.1	73.5	36.5	7.4	0.0
Precipitation (P)	mm	945.9	100.0	67.9	55.9	59.6	74.1	86.9	83.8	89.2	96.6	93.1	77.2	93	68.6
P - PET	mm			67.9	55.9	59.6	44.9	14.4	-23.3	-34.8	-12.5	19.6	40.7	85.6	68.6
Accum. Water Loss	mm							0.0	-23.3	-58.1	-70.6				
Soil Moisture Retention (Storage - ST)	mm			75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	54.0	34.0	28.0	47.6	75.0	75.0	75.0
Storage Change (∆ST)	mm			75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-21.0	-20.0	-6.0	19.6	27.4	0.0	0.0
Actual Evapotranspiration (AE)	mm	535.7	56.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	72.5	104.8	109.2	102.6	73.5	36.5	7.4	0.0
Water Balance as Surplus/Deficit	mm	410.2	43.4	67.9	55.9	59.6	44.9	14.4	-21.0	-20.0	-6.0	19.6	40.7	85.6	68.6
Determination of Water Balance as 'C	ombined-R	unoff' (R	echarge +	Direct Ru	noff) - (usi	ing WBM A	Assumptio	ns)							
Soil Moisture Surplus (SMS)	mm	158.2		0.0	0.0	0.0	44.9	14.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	85.6	0.0
Water Balance from SMS (Assumption 1)	mm	144.8		10.8	5.4	2.7	23.8	19.1	9.6	4.8	2.4	1.2	0.6	43.1	21.5
				0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	7.20	3.60	1.80	0.90	0.45	0.22	0.11	0.06
				0.04	0.02	0.01	22.47	11.23	5.62	2.81	1.40	0.70	0.35	0.18	0.09
				10.69	5.35	2.67	1.34	0.67	0.33	0.17	0.08	0.04	0.02	42.78	21.39
Accumulated Snow (Assumption 2)	mm	252.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	252.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Water Balance from Snow (Assumption 3)	mm	252.0		0.5	0.3	0.1	25.2	113.4	56.7	28.4	14.2	7.1	3.5	1.8	0.9
Water Balance as 'Combined-Runoff'	mm	396.8	42.0	11.3	5.7	2.8	49.0	132.5	66.3	33.1	16.6	8.3	4.1	44.8	22.4

Assumptions for Monthly 'Combined-Runoff' Estimations (from WBM):

1 - Combined 'Runoff' from the Soil Moisture Surplus is assumed to be 50% in the first month and then 50% of the remaining soil surplus each following month.

2 - All Snow is Accumulated and Stored throughout Winter Sub-Zero Months (i.e. No melt until first above-zero month)

3 - Combined 'Runoff' from Snowmelt is assumed to be 10% of the Accumulated Snow in the first month and then 50% of the remaining snowmelt in each following month.

Determination of Recharge + Direct Runoff Components - using MOE Infiltration Factor Method (MOE 1995, 2003)															
Catchment Area (m²)	MOE Infiltration Factors (0 to 1.00)							Annual Rates				Annual Rates			
								EvapoT	Balance	Recharge	Runoff	EvapoT	Balance	Recharge	Runoff
	Topography		Soil		Cover		Sum	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(m³/yr)	(m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	(m³/yr)	(m³/yr)
Pervious, Cultivated Land	Rolling	0.2	Sand	0.4	Cultivated	0.1	0.7	535.7	410.2	287.1	123.1	76,876	58,861	41,202	17,658
143,500 m2								56.6%	43.4%	30.4%	13.0%	56.6%	43.4%	30.4%	13.0%
Pervious, Pasture & Lawns	Rolling	0.2	Sand	0.4	Pasture	0.15	0.75	535.7	410.2	307.6	102.5	8,036	6,153	4,615	1,538
15,000 m2					& Lawns			56.6%	43.4%	32.5%	10.8%	56.6%	43.4%	32.5%	10.8%
Pervious, Woodland/Wetland	Rolling	0.2	Sand	0.4	Woodland	0.2	0.8	535.7	410.2	328.1	82.0	17,893	13,700	10,960	2,740
33,400 m2					& Wetland			56.6%	43.4%	34.7%	8.7%	56.6%	43.4%	34.7%	8.7%
Impervious Rooftops to Pervious Areas							0.25	94.6	851.3	212.8	638.5	189	1,703	426	1,277
2,000 m2								10.0%	90.0%	22.5%	67.5%	10.0%	90.0%	22.5%	67.5%
Total Site												102,994	80,416	57,203	23,213
193,900 m2												56.2%	43.8%	31.2%	12.7%

Assumptions for Evapotranspiration/Recharge/Runoff Proportioning

1 - Pervious Areas - by MOE Infiltration Factor Method (MOE 1995, 2003)

2 - Impervious Areas Shed to Pervious Areas - Assume 10% Lost to Evapotranspiration and Balance Split 25/75% to Recharge/Runoff

**APPENDIX F** 

Water Chemistry Results (AGAT Laboratories of Mississauga, Ontario)




### CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN 311 VICTORIA STREET NORTH KITCHENER, ON N2H5E1 (519) 742-8979 ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin PROJECT: 1495 AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206 WATER ANALYSIS REVIEWED BY: Yris Verastegui, Inorganic Team Lead DATE REPORTED: Mar 22, 2024 PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 10 VERSION\*: 1

Should you require any information regarding this analysis please contact your client services representative at (905) 712-5100

*Notes	

Disclaimer:

- All work conducted herein has been done using accepted standard protocols, and generally accepted practices and methods. AGAT test methods may
  incorporate modifications from the specified reference methods to improve performance.
- All samples will be disposed of within 30 days after receipt unless a Long Term Storage Agreement is signed and returned. Some specialty analysis may be exempt, please contact your Client Project Manager for details.
- AGAT's liability in connection with any delay, performance or non-performance of these services is only to the Client and does not extend to any other third party. Unless expressly agreed otherwise in writing, AGAT's liability is limited to the actual cost of the specific analysis or analyses included in the services.
- This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
- The test results reported herewith relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.
- Application of guidelines is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of
  merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. AGAT assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the guidelines
  contained in this document.
- All reportable information as specified by ISO/IEC 17025:2017 is available from AGAT Laboratories upon request.
- For environmental samples in the Province of Quebec: The analysis is performed on and results apply to samples as received. A temperature above 6°C upon receipt, as indicated in the Sample Reception Notification (SRN), could indicate the integrity of the samples has been compromised if the delay between sampling and submission to the laboratory could not be minimized.

### AGAT Laboratories (V1)

ľ

lember of: Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of Alberta
(APEGA)
Western Enviro-Agricultural Laboratory Association (WEALA)
Environmental Services Association of Alberta (ESAA)

Page 1 of 10

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation. Measurement Uncertainty is not taken into consideration when stating conformity with a specified requirement.



# **Certificate of Analysis**

AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206 PROJECT: 1495

Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)

5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

# CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN

### SAMPLING SITE:968 ST. DAVID ST. N. FERGUS

### ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin

SAMPLED BY:Y.C.

					•				
DATE RECEIVED: 2024-03-13									DATE REPORTED: 2024-03-22
_		SAMPLE DES SAM DATE	CRIPTION: PLE TYPE: SAMPLED:	BH1 Water 2024-03-11 11:30	BH5 Water 2024-03-11 11:30	BH6 Water 2024-03-11 11:30		BH7 Water 2024-03-11 11:30	
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5722603	5722663	5722665	RDL	5722666	
Electrical Conductivity	μS/cm		2	480	497	596	2	1620	
pH	pH Units	6.5-8.5	NA	7.43	7.64	7.62	NA	7.41	
Saturation pH (Calculated)				6.53	6.62	6.72		6.36	
Langelier Index (Calculated)				0.896	1.02	0.896		1.05	
Hardness (as CaCO3) (Calculated)	mg/L		0.5	679	688	664	0.5	1260	
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L		10	224	338	412	10	706	
Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/L		5	291	255	206	5	271	
Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)	mg/L		5	291	255	206	5	271	
Carbonate (as CaCO3)	mg/L		5	<5	<5	<5	5	<5	
Hydroxide (as CaCO3)	mg/L		5	<5	<5	<5	5	<5	
Fluoride	mg/L		0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	<0.05	
Chloride	mg/L		0.10	9.18	2.04	27.3	0.12	269	
Nitrate as N	mg/L		0.05	1.48	12.8	24.9	0.05	<0.05	
Nitrite as N	mg/L		0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	<0.05	
Bromide	mg/L		0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05	<0.05	
Sulphate	mg/L		0.10	1.62	30.1	6.71	0.10	31.5	
Ortho Phosphate as P	mg/L		0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	0.10	<0.10	
Ammonia as N	mg/L		0.02	0.05	0.06	<0.02	0.02	0.03	
Ammonia-Un-ionized (Calculated)	mg/L	0.02	0.000002	0.000774	0.00151	<0.00002	0.000002	0.000453	
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*	0.02	0.73	0.74	1.22	0.06	2.03	
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L		0.5	7.6	9.2	8.4	0.5	14.4	
True Colour	TCU		2.50	<2.50	<2.50	<2.50	2.50	4.55	
Turbidity	NTU		0.5	92.5	192	60.3	0.5	97.6	
Total Calcium	mg/L		0.20	204	209	192	0.20	394	
Total Magnesium	mg/L		0.10	41.1	40.4	44.9	0.10	65.9	
Total Potassium	mg/L		0.50	3.04	3.57	3.32	0.50	6.64	
Total Sodium	mg/L		0.10	3.94	4.32	3.03	0.10	98.5	
Aluminum-dissolved	mg/L	*	0.004	0.004	0.008	< 0.004	0.004	< 0.004	
Total Antimony	mg/L	0.020	0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	0.003	< 0.003	

Certified By:

Inis Verastegui



# **Certificate of Analysis**

AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206 PROJECT: 1495 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.aqatlabs.com

#### ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin

CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN SAMPLING SITE:968 ST. DAVID ST. N. FERGUS

SΔ	BY Y C	
	DI.I.0	

#### Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L) **DATE REPORTED: 2024-03-22** DATE RECEIVED: 2024-03-13 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: BH1 BH5 BH6 BH7 SAMPLE TYPE: Water Water Water Water DATE SAMPLED: 2024-03-11 2024-03-11 2024-03-11 2024-03-11 11:30 11:30 11:30 11:30 Parameter Unit G/S RDL 5722603 5722663 5722665 RDL 5722666 Total Arsenic mg/L 0.1 0.003 0.006 0.008 0.007 0.003 0.007 Total Barium mg/L 0.002 0.043 0.059 0.050 0.002 0.203 0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.001 < 0.001 Total Beryllium mg/L mg/L 0.2 0.026 0.017 0.010 Total Boron 0.010 0.031 0.031 0.0002 0.0001 0.0003 0.0003 0.0003 0.0001 0.0004 Total Cadmium mg/L Total Chromium mg/L 0.003 0.011 0.018 0.011 0.003 0.042 0.0084 Total Cobalt mg/L 0.0009 0.0005 0.0066 0.0096 0.0005 0.0137 Total Copper mg/L 0.005 0.002 0.021 0.029 0.030 0.002 0.037 Total Iron mg/L 0.3 0.050 12.2 18.0 15.2 0.050 31.5 0.0322 0.0318 0.0005 Total Lead mg/L 0.0005 0.0224 0.0249 Total Manganese mg/L 0.002 0.651 0.860 0.991 0.002 1.03 0.0001 Total Mercury mg/L 0.0001 < 0.0001 < 0.0001 < 0.0001 < 0.0001 0.040 0.002 < 0.002 < 0.002 < 0.002 0.002 < 0.002 Total Molybdenum mg/L 0.025 0.012 0.018 0.003 0.032 Total Nickel mg/L 0.003 0.019 Total Selenium mg/L 0.1 0.002 < 0.002 0.004 < 0.002 0.002 0.004 Total Silver 0.0001 0.0001 < 0.0001 < 0.0001 < 0.0001 0.0001 < 0.0001 mg/L Total Strontium mg/L 0.005 0.298 0.281 0.304 0.005 0.670 Total Thallium 0.0003 mg/L 0.0003 0.0003 < 0.0003 < 0.0003 < 0.0003 < 0.0003 Total Tin mg/L 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 < 0.002 0.302 Total Titanium mg/L 0.010 0.199 0.325 0.010 0.832 0.010 Total Tungsten mg/L 0.030 0.010 < 0.010 < 0.010 < 0.010 < 0.010 0.005 < 0.0005 0.0006 < 0.0005 0.0005 0.0023 Total Uranium mg/L 0.0005 Total Vanadium mg/L 0.006 0.002 0.017 0.022 0.017 0.002 0.052 Total Zinc mg/L 0.030 0.020 0.153 0.185 0.136 0.020 0.498 Total Zirconium mg/L 0.004 0.004 < 0.004 < 0.004 < 0.004 0.004 0.004 Lab Filtration Aluminum Dissolved Υ Υ Υ Υ

Certified By:

Inis Verastegui



# **Certificate of Analysis**

AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206 PROJECT: 1495 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

# CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN

#### SAMPLING SITE:968 ST. DAVID ST. N. FERGUS

### ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin

**DATE REPORTED: 2024-03-22** 

SAMPLED BY:Y.C.

# Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)

#### DATE RECEIVED: 2024-03-13

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard: Refers to PWQO \* Variable - refer to guideline reference document

Guideline values are for general reference only. The guidelines provided may or may not be relevant for the intended use. Refer directly to the applicable standard for regulatory interpretation. 5722603-5722666 Dilution required, RDL has been increased accordingly.

Un-ionized Ammonia detection limit is a calculated RDL. The calculation of Un-ionized Ammonia is based on lab measured parameters (ammonia as N, pH and temperature). Values are reported as calculated.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by \*)

Certified By:

Inis Verastegui



CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN

# **Exceedance Summary**

### AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206 PROJECT: 1495

5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

#### **ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin**

SAMPLEID	SAMPLE TITLE	GUIDELINE	ANALYSIS PACKAGE	PARAMETER	UNIT	GUIDEVALUE	RESULT
5722603	BH1	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Cadmium	mg/L	0.0002	0.0003
5722603	BH1	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Cobalt	mg/L	0.0009	0.0066
5722603	BH1	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Copper	mg/L	0.005	0.021
5722603	BH1	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Iron	mg/L	0.3	12.2
5722603	BH1	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Vanadium	mg/L	0.006	0.017
5722603	BH1	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Zinc	mg/L	0.030	0.153
5722663	BH5	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Cadmium	mg/L	0.0002	0.0003
5722663	BH5	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Cobalt	mg/L	0.0009	0.0096
5722663	BH5	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Copper	mg/L	0.005	0.029
5722663	BH5	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Iron	mg/L	0.3	18.0
5722663	BH5	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Vanadium	mg/L	0.006	0.022
5722663	BH5	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Zinc	mg/L	0.030	0.185
5722665	BH6	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Cadmium	mg/L	0.0002	0.0003
5722665	BH6	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Cobalt	mg/L	0.0009	0.0084
5722665	BH6	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Copper	mg/L	0.005	0.030
5722665	BH6	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Iron	mg/L	0.3	15.2
5722665	BH6	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Vanadium	mg/L	0.006	0.017
5722665	BH6	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Zinc	mg/L	0.030	0.136
5722666	BH7	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Cadmium	mg/L	0.0002	0.0004
5722666	BH7	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Cobalt	mg/L	0.0009	0.0137
5722666	BH7	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Copper	mg/L	0.005	0.037
5722666	BH7	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Iron	mg/L	0.3	31.5
5722666	BH7	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Nickel	mg/L	0.025	0.032
5722666	BH7	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Vanadium	mg/L	0.006	0.052
5722666	BH7	ON PWQO	Water Quality Assessment - PWQO (mg/L)	Total Zinc	mg/L	0.030	0.498



# **Quality Assurance**

Water Analysis

### CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN

#### PROJECT: 1495

#### SAMPLING SITE:968 ST. DAVID ST. N. FERGUS

AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206

**ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin** 

# SAMPLED BY:Y.C.

			mat		laiyo									
RPT Date: Mar 22, 2024		1	DUPLICATE			REFERENCE MATERIAL		METHOD BLANK SPIKE			MATRIX SPIKE		KE	
	Samp	e	5		Method Blank	Measured	Acce	ptable nits	_	Acceptable Limits		_	Acce	ptable
PARAMETER	Batch	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD		Value	Lower	Upper	Recovery	Lower	Upper	Recovery	Lower	Upper
Water Quality Assessment - F	PWQO (mg/L)	1												
Electrical Conductivity	5722603 5722603	480	477	0.6%	< 2	104%	90%	110%						
pH	5722603 5722603	3 7.43	7.55	1.6%	NA	99%	90%	110%						
Total Dissolved Solids	5720157	190	168	12.3%	< 10	92%	80%	120%						
Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	5722603 5722603	3 291	306	5.0%	< 5	95%	80%	120%						
Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)	5722603 5722603	8 291	306	5.0%	< 5	NA								
Carbonate (as CaCO3)	5722603 5722603	8 <5	<5	NA	< 5	NA								
Hydroxide (as CaCO3)	5722603 5722603	8 <5	<5	NA	< 5	NA								
Fluoride	5718363	<0.05	<0.05	NA	< 0.05	105%	70%	130%	91%	80%	120%	98%	70%	130%
Chloride	5718363	153	152	0.7%	< 0.10	96%	70%	130%	91%	80%	120%	NA	70%	130%
Nitrate as N	5718363	<0.05	<0.05	NA	< 0.05	100%	70%	130%	98%	80%	120%	100%	70%	130%
Nitrite as N	5718363	<0.05	<0.05	NA	< 0.05	101%	70%	130%	101%	80%	120%	109%	70%	130%
Bromide	5718363	<0.05	<0.05	NA	< 0.05	105%	70%	130%	96%	80%	120%	95%	70%	130%
Sulphate	5718363	<0.10	<0.10	NA	< 0.10	94%	70%	130%	94%	80%	120%	94%	70%	130%
Ortho Phosphate as P	5718363	<0.10	<0.10	NA	< 0.10	101%	70%	130%	104%	80%	120%	105%	70%	130%
Ammonia as N	5724966	<0.02	<0.02	NA	< 0.02	108%	70%	130%	105%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
Total Phosphorus	5737950	0.05	0.05	NA	< 0.02	99%	70%	130%	99%	80%	120%	105%	70%	130%
Total Organic Carbon	5720157	1.8	1.7	NA	< 0.5	98%	90%	110%	98%	90%	110%	99%	80%	120%
True Colour	5720157	<2.50	<2.50	NA	< 2.5	100%	90%	110%						
Turbidity	5722603 5722603	92.5	144	43.6%	< 0.5	90%	80%	120%						
Total Calcium	5724836	80.1	80.4	0.4%	< 0.20	113%	70%	130%	107%	80%	120%	108%	70%	130%
Total Magnesium	5724836	6.75	6.85	1.5%	< 0.10	118%	70%	130%	111%	80%	120%	94%	70%	130%
Total Potassium	5724836	5.43	5.50	1.3%	< 0.50	113%	70%	130%	106%	80%	120%	97%	70%	130%
Total Sodium	5724836	858	817	4.9%	< 0.10	114%	70%	130%	108%	80%	120%	NA	70%	130%
Aluminum-dissolved	5722603 5722603	0.004	0.005	NA	< 0.004	104%	70%	130%	110%	80%	120%	104%	70%	130%
Total Antimony	5724836	<0.003	<0.003	NA	< 0.003	105%	70%	130%	105%	80%	120%	100%	70%	130%
Total Arsenic	5724836	<0.003	<0.003	NA	< 0.003	99%	70%	130%	105%	80%	120%	102%	70%	130%
Total Barium	5724836	0.009	0.010	NA	< 0.002	100%	70%	130%	105%	80%	120%	97%	70%	130%
Total Beryllium	5724836	<0.001	<0.001	NA	< 0.001	104%	70%	130%	115%	80%	120%	94%	70%	130%
Total Boron	5724836	0.072	0.076	5.4%	< 0.010	101%	70%	130%	112%	80%	120%	93%	70%	130%
Total Cadmium	5724836	0.0002	0.0002	NA	< 0.0001	102%	70%	130%	106%	80%	120%	98%	70%	130%
Total Chromium	5724836	0.005	0.005	NA	< 0.003	100%	70%	130%	106%	80%	120%	105%	70%	130%
Total Cobalt	5724836	0.0013	0.0015	NA	< 0.0005	101%	70%	130%	104%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
Total Copper	5724836	0.026	0.026	0.0%	< 0.002	99%	70%	130%	106%	80%	120%	98%	70%	130%
Total Iron	5724836	1.68	1.67	0.6%	< 0.050	102%	70%	130%	112%	80%	120%	108%	70%	130%
Total Lead	5724836	0.0079	0.0081	2.5%	< 0.0005	101%	70%	130%	98%	80%	120%	89%	70%	130%
Total Manganese	5724836	0.383	0.389	1.6%	< 0.002	103%	70%	130%	109%	80%	120%	105%	70%	130%
Total Mercury	5722014	<0.0001	<0.0001	NA	< 0.0001	100%	70%	130%	97%	80%	120%	98%	70%	130%
Total Molybdenum	5724836	0.009	0.010	NA	< 0.002	106%	70%	130%	91%	80%	120%	116%	70%	130%
Total Nickel	5724836	0.030	0.028	6.9%	< 0.003	102%	70%	130%	105%	80%	120%	101%	70%	130%

### AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V1)

Page 6 of 10

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation. RPDs calculated using raw data. The RPD may not be reflective of duplicate values shown, due to rounding of final results.



> Acceptable Limits Lower Upper 70% 130%

70% 130%

70% 130%

70% 130%

70% 130%

70% 130%

130%

130%

130%

130%

70% 130%

70%

70%

70%

70%

# **Quality Assurance**

- -

#### CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN

#### PROJECT: 1495

Total Tungsten

**Total Uranium** 

Total Zinc

Total Vanadium

Total Zirconium

#### SAMPLING SITE:968 ST. DAVID ST. N. FERGUS

AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206

## ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin

SAMPLED BY:Y.C.

	Water Analysis (Continued)														
RPT Date: Mar 22, 2024		DUPLICATE				REFERENCE MATERIAL			METHOD BLANK SPIKE			MATRIX SPIKE			
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Method Blank	Measured Value	Acceptable Limits		Recoverv	Acceptable Limits		Recoverv	Accepta Limits	
		Id						Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Up
Total Selenium	5724836		0.004	0.006	NA	< 0.002	101%	70%	130%	108%	80%	120%	101%	70%	13
Total Silver	5724836		0.0001	<0.0001	NA	< 0.0001	103%	70%	130%	110%	80%	120%	96%	70%	13
Total Strontium	5724836		1.15	1.14	0.9%	< 0.005	104%	70%	130%	109%	80%	120%	99%	70%	13
Total Thallium	5724836		<0.0003	< 0.0003	NA	< 0.0003	92%	70%	130%	105%	80%	120%	94%	70%	13
Total Tin	5724836		<0.002	<0.002	NA	< 0.002	100%	70%	130%	109%	80%	120%	105%	70%	13
Total Titanium	5724836		<0.010	<0.010	NA	< 0.010	102%	70%	130%	110%	80%	120%	106%	70%	13

NA

NA

NA

4.9%

NA

< 0.010

< 0.0005

< 0.002

< 0.020

< 0.004

94%

92%

103%

100%

101%

70%

70%

70%

70%

70%

130%

130%

130%

130%

130%

100%

109%

110%

105%

106%

80%

80%

80%

80%

80%

120%

120%

120%

120%

120%

97%

106%

111%

93%

109%

<0.010

< 0.0005

0.002

0.125

< 0.004

Comments: NA signifies Not Applicable.

Duplicate NA: results are under 5X the RDL and will not be calculated.

5724836

5724836

5724836

5724836

5724836

Matrix spike NA: Spike level < native concentration. Matrix spike acceptance limits do not apply and are not calculated.

< 0.010

< 0.0005

0.003

0.119

< 0.004

Certified By:

Inis Verastegui

### **AGAT** QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V1)

Page 7 of 10

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation. RPDs calculated using raw data. The RPD may not be reflective of duplicate values shown, due to rounding of final results.



# **Method Summary**

# CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN

### PROJECT: 1495

### SAMPLING SITE ORS ST DAVID ST N FERGUS

# AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206

**ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin** 

SAMPLING SITE:968 ST. DAVID ST. N	I. FERGUS	SAMPLED BY:Y.C.					
PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE				
Water Analysis		I					
Electrical Conductivity	INOR-93-6000	modified from SM 2510 B	PC TITRATE				
рН	INOR-93-6000	modified from SM 4500-H+ B	PC TITRATE				
Saturation pH (Calculated)		SM 2320 B	CALCULATION				
Langelier Index (Calculated)		SM 2330B	CALCULATION				
Hardness (as CaCO3) (Calculated)	MET-93-6105	modified from EPA SW-846 6010C & 200.7 & SM 2340 B	CALCULATION				
Total Dissolved Solids	INOR-93-6028	modified from EPA 1684,ON MOECC E3139,SM 2540C,D	BALANCE				
Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	INOR-93-6000	Modified from SM 2320 B	PC TITRATE				
Bicarbonate (as CaCO3)	INOR-93-6000	modified from SM 2320 B	PC TITRATE				
Carbonate (as CaCO3)	INOR-93-6000	modified from SM 2320 B	PC TITRATE				
Hydroxide (as CaCO3)	INOR-93-6000	modified from SM 2320 B	PC TITRATE				
Fluoride	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH				
Chloride	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH				
Nitrate as N	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH				
Nitrite as N	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH				
Bromide	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH				
Sulphate	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH				
Ortho Phosphate as P	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH				
Ammonia as N	INOR-93-6059	modified from SM 4500-NH3 H	LACHAT FIA				
Ammonia-Un-ionized (Calculated)		MOE REFERENCE, PWQOs Tab 2	CALCULATION				
Total Phosphorus	INOR-93-6022	modified from SM 4500-P B and SM 4500-P E	SPECTROPHOTOMETER				
Total Organic Carbon	INOR-93-6049	modified from SM 5310 B	SHIMADZU CARBON ANALYZER				
True Colour	INOR-93-6074	modified from SM 2120 B	LACHAT FIA				
Turbidity	INOR-93-6000	modified from SM 2130 B	PC TITRATE				
Total Calcium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP/MS				
Total Magnesium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP/MS				
Total Potassium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP/MS				
Total Sodium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP/MS				
Aluminum-dissolved	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8 and EPA 3005A	ICP-MS				
Total Antimony	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS				
Total Arsenic	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS				
Total Barium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS				
Total Beryllium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS				
Total Boron	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS				
Total Cadmium	MET -93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS				
Total Chromium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS				
Total Cobalt	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS				



# Method Summary

### CLIENT NAME: CHUNG AND VANDER DOELEN PROJECT: 1495

AGAT WORK ORDER: 24T129206

ATTENTION TO: Yaroslav Chudin

SAMPLING SITE:968 ST. DAVID ST.	N. FERGUS	SAMPLED BY:Y.C.						
PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE					
Total Copper	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Iron	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Lead	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Manganese	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Mercury	MET-93-6100	modified from EPA 245.2 and SM 31 B	<sup>12</sup> CVAAS					
Total Molybdenum	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Nickel	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Selenium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Silver	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Strontium	INOR-93-6003	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Thallium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Tin	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Titanium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Tungsten	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Uranium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Vanadium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Zinc	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Total Zirconium	MET-93-6103	modified from EPA 200.8, 3005A, 3010A & 6020B	ICP-MS					
Lab Filtration Aluminum Dissolved	SR-78-9001		FILTRATION					



**Chain of Custody Record** 

11/7

**Report Information:** 

Company:



If this is a Drinking Water sample, please use Drinking Water Chain of Custody Form (potable water consumed by humans)

(Please check all applicable boxes)

**Regulatory Requirements:** 

5835 Coopers Avenue Mississauga, Ontario L4Z 1Y2 Ph: 905 712 5100 Fax: 905 712 5122 webearth.agatlabs com

	,		
	Work Order #:	247	1293
	Cooler Quantity:	Ima	Q.
	Arrival Temperatures:	3.7	13.9
-1	Depot Temperatures:		1
	Custody Seal Intact:	Yes	□No
	Notes:	LOUS	eig

□N/A

Concentration (Y/N)

or High (

Potentially Hazardous

Laboratory Use Only

Sewer Use Contact: Regulation 153/04 Regulation 406 Sanitary Storm **Turnaround Time (TAT) Required:** Address: Table Indicate One Table - Indicate One N2H SEJ **Regular TAT** Ind/Com Ind/Com Region 5 to 7 Business Days Res/Park Res/Park Prov. Water Quality Rush TAT (Rush Surcharges Apply) Fax Phone: Agriculture Agriculture **Objectives** (PWQO) Reports to be sent to: Soil Texture (Check Onc) 2 Business 3 Business Next Business Regulation 558 1 Email: Other Coarse Days Days Dav CCME Fine OR Date Required (Rush Surcharges May Apply): 2 Email: Indicate One Is this submission for a Record **Report Guideline on Project Information:** of Site Condition (RSC)? Please provide prior notification for rush TAT **Certificate of Analysis** Project: \*TAT is exclusive of weekends and statutory holidays PNO □ No □ Yes P Yes Site Location: For 'Same Day' analysis, please contact your AGAT CSR Sampled By: O. Reg 153 O. Reg 0. Reg 406 CrVI, DOC AGAT Quote #: PO: Legal Sample □ svocs □oc □ B(a)P □ PCBe Please note: If guotation number is not provided, client will be billed full price for analysis Landfill Disposal Characterization TCLP: Regulation 406 SPLP Rainwater Leach Pack Corrosivity: 
Moisture 
Sulphide μ **Invoice Information:** Bill To Same: Yes 🕒 No 🗌 **HWSB** Sample Matrix Legend Regulation 406 Characterization Metals, 1 Company: 22 GW Ground Water SD Sediment UVOCS DABNS D vocs [ Contact: 0 Oil SW Surface Water μ BTEX, F1-F4 Metals & Inorganics 2 Field Filtered Address: Rock/Shale Ρ Paint R BTEX, F1-F4 PHCs mSPLP: C Metals Email: s Soil PCBs: Aroclors DM&I pH, Metals, Metals -SAR PAHS Comments/ Date Time Sample # of VOC TCLP: Y/N Sample Identification Ľ. Sampled Containers Sampled Matrix Special Instructions AM 1. 11.3. 00 GN N PIRI 111 in AM 2. 3 -1 N RLI S 46 12.3 3. 1:41 AM 8 4 4 N 3++1 (PM 4. B 4 315 N 44.4 u 5. AM PM AM 6. AM 7 AM PM 8. AM PM 9. AM PM 10 AM 11 By time 1:46 moriz 12 50 B 1 Page of Samples Relinguished By (Print Name and Sign amples Received By (Print Name and Sign,

Pink

AGAT

Copy-

Any and all products and/or services provided by AGAT Labs are pursuant to the terms and conditions as set forth at www.agatabate.com/termsand/conditions unless otherwise agreed in a current written contractual document.

Page 10 of 10

Nº.

**APPENDIX G** 

**MECP Well Records** 



Ministry of the		VATI	The Or ER \	itario Water Res	ources Act	ORD
Intario	PACES PROVIDED	11 6	70983	34 670	09 CON	
2. CHECK X CORRE	ECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH CITY, TO	1 2 WN. VILLAGE		CON BLOCK TRACT.	SURVEY ETC	LOT 25-27
Wellington DWNER (SURNAME FIRST) 28-47	Nichol Address			XVI	DATE COMPLETED	5 89
Fergus PUC	P.O. Box 1	0, Fergus	, Ont. N	RC BASIN CODE	DAY M	0 YR <sup>-</sup>
				30 31		
LC	G OF OVERBURDEN A	ND BEDROC	K MATERIAL	S (SEE INSTRUCTIONS	)	DEPTH - FEET
SENERAL COLOUR COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MATER	1ALS		GENERAL DESCRIPTI	ON F	
Brown Clay	Fill, Gravel Si	1t			0	18 9 24
Brown Clay	Gravel				2	o 24 / 84
Grey Clay	Gravel				2	4 165
Grey Limestone					0	<u>65</u> 213
Brown Limestone					2	13 225
Grey Limestone					2	25 232
Brown Limestone					2	32 315
Lt.Grey Limestone		a			3	378
Brown Limestone						378 400
Grey Limestone						402
White Limestone		117	·	or.		
31       .   .   .   .		* ليليل				
						34-38 LIENGTH 39-40
41 WATER RECORD	51 CASING & O	PEN HOLE R		SIZE (S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO)		INCHES - FEET
WATER FOUND KIND OF WATER	INSIDE DIAM MATERIAL INCHES	WALL D THICKNESS FRO INCHES FRO	м то	MATERIAL AND TYP	E DEPT OF S	TH TO TOP 41-44 30 CREEN _
128'	10-11 15 STEEL 12 12 20 GALVANIZED 30 CONCRETE	.375 109	(3-14			
15-18 1 □ FRESH 3 □ SULPHUR 19 4 □ MINERALS 2 □ SALTY 6 □ GAS	4 OPEN HOLE 5 PLASTIC	+1.	5 109.5	DEPTH SET AT FEET	MATERIAL AND TYP	
20-23 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 24 2 SALTY 6 GAS	1 D STEEL 2 D GALVANIZED 3 D CONCRETE	109.5 109	.5 402	FROM 10 10-13 1	14-17	
25-24 1 C FRESH 3 SULPHUR 29	4 TE OPEN HOLE 5 D PLASTIC 24-25 1 STEEL 26		27-30	0 109.	5 12" casing	cemented
2 SALIT 6 GAS 30-33 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 34 4 WINERALS	AC 2 GALVANIZED 3 GONCRETE 4 OPEN HOLE			26-29 3	in 18" dia	• open noie
2 . SALTY 6 GAS	5 DPLASTIC	MPING			ON OF WELL	10
71 1 PUMPING TEST MEIHOU 2 DAVING AN	арм <u>24</u> ноиг	6 17-18 RS MINS	IN DI	AGRAM BELOW SHOW D	ISTANCES OF WELL FRO	M ROAD AND
STATIC WATER LEVEL 25 END OF WATER LEVEL PUMPING	LEVELS DURING	PUMPING	LOT	INE INDICATE NOR	TH BY ARROW.	
57.51 153.29 109.5	25 30 MINUTES 45 MINUTES 6-10 20-31 32 52 112.41 -	" 115.75		- 1	k ft	N
FEET         FEET           IF FLOWING.         38-41           GIVE RATE         38-41	FEET FEET FEET FEET FEET FEET FEET FEET	DF TEST 41		- Y	- 12	Å
GPM GPM RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE RECOMMEND	FEET 12 CLEAR DED 43-45 RECOMMENDED	2 CLOUDY 46-45				
C SHALLOW DEEP SETTING	250 FEET RATE	300 GPM	Fr 13	····	1 e- 16 13	
54		ELCIENT SUPPLY	16/2			s -
FINAL 2 OBSERVATION V STATUS 1 DEST HOLE	VELL CABANDONED POOR	QUALITY	1214	Lor 10	MITS R 112	1/2
OF WELL 4 C RECHARGE WEL			Ele	Town	HE .	$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{r}}$
	MUNICIPAL			2 Gus	<u>fu</u>	
	COOLING OR AIR CONDI COOLING OR AIR CONDI CONDING	ITIONING F USED			p.	
57 I CABLE TOOL	• D BORING				•	
METHOD 22 ROTARY (CONV OF 3 COTARY (REVE	(ENTIONAL) 7 DIAMOND (RSE) 8 JETTING					31325
		OTHER	DRILLERS REMA	NRXS		
Thternational Water	WELL	CONTRACTOR'S			53-62 DATE RECEIVED	<b>n 100</b> 0
A Incornectones neste	Supply, Ltd. 28	301	DATE OF IN		ASPECTOR	U 1909
Address U 342 Rayriew Dr. Ra	Supply,Ltd. 28	801 F5		SPECTION	NSPECTOR	U 1303
Address 342 Bayview Dr., Ba	Supply,Ltd. 28	BOL I TECHNICIAN'S ENCE NUMBER 0117	INO DATE OF IN US AEMARKS	SPECTION		U 1303
ADDRESS 342 Bayview Dr., Ba NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN R.C. Magee SIGNATURE OF FECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR	Supply,Ltd. 28 Irrie, Ont. L4M 4 UCC DR SUBMISSION DATE	BO1 IS L TECHNICIAN'S ENCE NUMBER 0117 07 07 07		SPECTION		CSS.ES

- 10

Ministry of the			The Ontario	Water Resources	
Environment	VV	AIE 67	10068		
1. PRINT ONLY IN SP 2. CHECK 🗵 CORREC	ACES PROVIDED		CON	BLOCK. TRACT. SURVEY. ETC	LOT 23-27
Wellington	Nichol	Douid	ST 11.	DAT	
WILDIXONESONS Ltd.	ADDRESS 745 ST FERGUS	ONT.	NIM 2LI		<u>v_29_мо_03_vr07</u>
	G OF OVERBURDEN AND	BEDROCK	MATERIALS (SEE )	NSTRUCTIONS)	DEPTH - FEET
GENERAL COLOUR COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MATERIALS		GENER	AL DESCRIPTION	FROM TO
Gravel	<u>Fill</u>			4	3 20
Br. Clay	Stores				20 30
Gr. Clay	Stones				30 77
Br/Gr Limeston	e	مىيىنىنى بىرۇرىيە بەلغانىيەن ئارىيە تەرىپى <sub>تىرى</sub>			77 96
Gr. Limesto	ne				125 265
Br. Limeston	С				100 000
	4 3 5 1 6 1 1 1			1,,,11,1,1,	<u> </u>
41 WATER RECORD	51 CASING & OPE	N HOLE REC		E(S) OF OPENING 31-3 OT NO 1	3 DIAMETER 34-38 LENGTH 39-40
WATER FOUND KIND OF WATER	INSIDE WA DIAM MATERIAL THIC INCHES INC	KNESS HES FRUM		TERIAL AND TYPE	DEPTH TO TOP 41-44 30 OF SCREEN
2370 2 GALTY 4 MINERALS 6 GAS	10-11 1 DESTEEL 20 GALVANIZED 30 CONCRETE 40 POPEN HOLE	188 0	85 61	PLUGGING 8	SEALING RECORD
20-23 1 C CPESH 3 SULPHUR 24		00 0	20-23 DEPT FROM	H SET AT FEET MATE	ERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER, ETC )
2 GALTY 4 MINERALS 2 SALTY 6 GAS 25-28 GERSH 3 SULPHUR 29	A DECONCRETE 4 DECONCRETE 4 DECOPEN HOLE 5 PLASTIC	85	265	10-13 14-17	
2 - SALTY 6 GAS 30-33 - FRESH 3 SULPHUR 34	24-25 1 STEEL 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE 4 CONCRETE			26-29 30-33 80	
					WELL
71 I DPUMP - BATLER 20	GPM HDURS	5 17-18 MINS	IN DIAGRAM B	ELOW SHOW DISTANCES C	OF WELL FROM ROAD AND
STATIC LEVEL PUMPING 19-21 22-24 IS NINUTES	LEVELS DURING & RECO	VERY 60 MINUTES	LOTLINE	NDICATE NORTH BY ARRO	
SH 97 FEET 140 140	LET FEET HATED AL END OF 15		LOT 1	క	
C IF FLOWING, 38-4) PUMP INTAKE GIVE RATE GPM	FEET 1 CLEAR 8	CLOUDY	107 14		
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE RECOMMENDED PUMP	ED 43-45 RECOMMENDED PUMPING / S	GPM		Ŧ	
\$4 \$4		NT SUPPLY		X	121 0
FINAL 2 OBSERVATION WI STATUS 3 TEST HOLE	ELL 6 ABANDONED POOR QUAN 7 UNFINISHED	LITY		•	1/1 \$ CO
OF WELL 4 CRECHARGE WELL				· 145'	
VATER 3 IRRIGATION	MONICIPAL     PUBLIC SUPPLY     COOLING OR AIR CONDITION	IING	1 -		HY Co
001 D OTHER	• 🗋 NOT USE	D	FER	GUS	
METHOD 2 CABLE TOOL 2 CROTARY (CONVE OF 3 CROTARY (REVER	NTIONAL) 7 DIAMOND SE) 8 JETTING				36914
		OTHER	DRILLERS REMARKS	1	
NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR	Licence	NTRACTOR'S NUMBER		" <b>33 1 7</b>	NOV 1 5 1989
O Real Dien	hurch, Out				·
NAME OF WELLTECHNIC AN	G WELL TE	OISO			
SIGNATURE OF TECHNICAN/CONTRACTOR	SUBMISSION DATE	10 YR 82	OFF		CSS.ES
	ONMENT COPY				FORM NO. 0506 (11/86) FORM \$

Ontario Ministry of Environme and Energy Print only in space Mark correct box	ent y es provided. with a checkmark, where ap	olicable.	Г		67	ر ۱ ۱22	The 46		Water F R-WEL	Resourc	es Act ORD
25-	97		[1	2	07	166	10	10			22 23 24
County or District	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Auto	Township/	Borough/City/	Town/Village	>		Con block	tract surve	y, etc. Lo	18
			Address	265	1001			2	Date	21 0	5 94
			P.O.	Northing	ERGU.	S M FIC Elev	ation RC	Basin Code	ii	day m	onth year
21	7 <u>1</u> M <u>10</u>	12	<u> </u>	18	24	25 26	i	31			47
General colour	LC Most common material		ERBURDE! Oth	er materials	ROCK MA	TERIALS	(see instructi General	ons) description		De	oth - feet
Round	CAND							. <u></u>		From	<u>⊺₀</u>
ISROWN LOEN	CLAJ		<u> </u>	2011						20	50
GREY	CLAV		 GR	AVEL						50	94
BROWN	Rock					-				94	115
GREY	Rack		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			e e				115	140
0.1-7	11000										<b>-</b>
							TOTA	L DE	PTH	140	
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		6	"DR	VE S.	HOE.		··				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	33       21       51         Kind of water         Fresh       3       Sulphur       14         Salty       6       Gas       6         Fresh       3       Sulphur       19         Salty       6       Gas       6         Fresh       3       Sulphur       19         Salty       6       Gas       6         Fresh       3       Sulphur       24         Salty       6       Gas       6         Fresh       3       Sulphur       24         Salty       6       Gas       6         Fresh       3       Sulphur       24         Salty       6       Gas       6         Salty       6       Gas       60         Ker       Gas       6       6         Bailer       28       6       30 min         22-24       15 minutes       30 min         22-24       15 minutes       30 min         23-28       19       14       12         4       19       10       14       12         4       10       15       16       12 </th <th>Ide         M           10-11         1<!--</th--><th>taterial Steel 12 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Steel 19 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Steel 26 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic steel 26 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Torrete Open hole Plastic Concrete Concr</th><th>9 Pectores Pec</th><th>From +1 95</th><th>13 16 75 95 20-23 /40 27 30</th><th>Sizes of og (Slot No.) Material ar</th><th>PLUGGIN PLUGGIN Annular space feet To Mate 22.25 30.33 80 CATION OF distances of</th><th>G &amp; SEALIN rial and type (Co ENTON WELL well from ro</th><th>ad and lot I</th><th>th 39- feet feet D ent ne. 7</th></th>	Ide         M           10-11         1 </th <th>taterial Steel 12 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Steel 19 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Steel 26 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic steel 26 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Torrete Open hole Plastic Concrete Concr</th> <th>9 Pectores Pec</th> <th>From +1 95</th> <th>13 16 75 95 20-23 /40 27 30</th> <th>Sizes of og (Slot No.) Material ar</th> <th>PLUGGIN PLUGGIN Annular space feet To Mate 22.25 30.33 80 CATION OF distances of</th> <th>G &amp; SEALIN rial and type (Co ENTON WELL well from ro</th> <th>ad and lot I</th> <th>th 39- feet feet D ent ne. 7</th>	taterial Steel 12 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Steel 19 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Steel 26 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic steel 26 Galvanized Concrete Open hole Plastic Torrete Open hole Plastic Concrete Concr	9 Pectores Pec	From +1 95	13 16 75 95 20-23 /40 27 30	Sizes of og (Slot No.) Material ar	PLUGGIN PLUGGIN Annular space feet To Mate 22.25 30.33 80 CATION OF distances of	G & SEALIN rial and type (Co ENTON WELL well from ro	ad and lot I	th 39- feet feet D ent ne. 7
Recommended pr     Shallow     Shallow     Shallow     So 53      FINAL STATUS     Metr suppl     Observatior     Deservatior     Test hole     Recharge w  WATER USE     METHOD OF CO     Cable tool     Rotary (rev     Retary (ai)     Rotary (rev	GPM ump type Poep Poep CF WELL y n well s □ Abandoned, insuf Abandoned, poor 7 □ Abandoned, poor 7 □ Abandoned (Other 8 □ Dewatering 55-59 5 □ Commercial 6 □ Municipal 7 □ Public supply 8 □ Cooling & air con CONSTRUCTION 57 5 □ Air percussion 10 □ Boring 7 □ Diamond 10 □ Birling	ficient supply quality t)		ed mment well		Сон	15 15 <u>7wsp</u>	R. 18	7 18 800	7619	
Name of Well Contrac Address RR#5 Re Name of Well Technicic Signature of Technicic	etor WELL DRILLII DCKWOOD, ONT IM WILSON	06-27 . NO	Well Contractor D 2 NB -2 Well Techniciar Gubrnission dat Gay mo	's Licence No. 336 KO 's Licence No. 724 yr 97	Data source Date Date Rema	e of inspection arks	58 Contractor 23	36	S9-62 Date rec JUI	8 <sup>110</sup> 2 7 1	- 997** S

F

×.

\*

É

Print only in space	nt / es provided. with a checkmark, where applic	able.	6713	078			
64-9 County or District We	۲۹ ۲ ۲ 28-47 First name	Township/Borough/City/T	own/Village OL TW	2	Con block tract su	irvey, etc. Lot	16
1/8852	I CNTARIO LI	D 142 South M	River R.I.	ELONA,	ONT. complete	ed 26 C	je 9 onth ye
21							
	LOG	OF OVERBURDEN AND BED	ROCK MATERIA	LS (see instruct	ions)	De	
General colour	Most common material	Other materials		Genera	I description	From	To.
BROWN	SAND					0	25
GREY	CLAY	STONES				25	70
GRE-1	CLAY	GRAJEL				70	83
BROWN	Rock					83	11:
Y-BROWN	Rock					115	19
				TOTAL	DEPTH	190'	,
		6" DRWE SH	DE				
31							
32							
10 14 41 WAT	ER RECORD 51			Sizes of (Slot No.	opening <sup>31–33</sup> Diam	eter <sup>34-38</sup> Leng	jth 3
Water found at - feet	Kind of water diam inches	Material thickness s inches	From To		and type	Depth at top	f of screen
190 <sup>10-13</sup> <sup>1</sup> K	Fresh     3     Support     10-       4     Minerals       Salty     6     Gas	11     1     M     Steel     12       2     □     Galvanized       3     □     Concrete	1	<sup>13-16</sup>			41-44 feet
15-18 1	Fresh <sup>3</sup> Sulphur <sup>19</sup> Salty <sup>4</sup> Minerals	4         Open hole         185           5         Plastic         185	+1 84	/   <u>[61</u>	PLUGGING & SEA	ALING RECOF	۲D
20-23 1	Fresh 3 Gas 17-	<sup>18</sup> 1 🗆 Steel <sup>19</sup> 2 🖸 Galvar <sub>i</sub> ized	2	Depth set at	Annular space	Abandonn	nent
2 [] 25-28 1 []	Salty 6 Gas	Concrete     A      Den hole     S      Plastic	84 19	6 From	To Material and typ	e (Cement grout, b	entonite, e
2	Salty 6 Gas	25 1 Steel 26 Seel 26 See		27-30	25 Den 2-25	1010112	•
30-33 1	Fresh <sup>3</sup> Sulphur <sup>34</sup> <sup>60</sup> 4 Minerals	3 Concrete 4 Open hole		26-29	30-33 80		
Pumping test mu	ethod <sup>10</sup> Pumping rate	1-14 Duration of pumping	 ] [ <sup></sup>				
71 1 St Pump 2	Bailer 25	iPM	In dia	LC Igram below show	v distances of well from	m road and lot	line.
Static level er	Water levels during	Pumping 2 Recovery	Indica	ate north by arrow	ATHUR.	s le	
DO TEST	120.003.001	29-31 32-34 35-37		1	Cot	1	
If flowing give ra	te <sup>38-41</sup> Pump intake set at	Water at end of test 42					
Recommended	GPM pump type Recommended	feet Clear Cloudy 43-45 Recommended 46-49		0.01			
□ Shallow	Deep pump setting	feet <b>7</b> GPM				Q-15'	
			1   ]		700'	Т	
1 Water sup	ply 5 Abandoned, insuffic	ient supply <sup>9</sup> Unfinished uality <sup>10</sup> Replacement well			LUT H	g (194	
3 Test hole	7 Abandoned (Other) well 8 Dewatering			ال يد	16		
WATER USE	55-56			11-			
<sup>1</sup> Domestic 2 D Stock	5 Commercial 6 Municipal	ও □ Not used ।০ □ Other		1:24			
<ul> <li><sup>3</sup> Irrigation</li> <li>4 Industrial</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>L Public supply</li> <li>Cooling &amp; air condit</li> </ul>	ioning		Г ·			
METHOD OF C	ONSTRUCTION 57						
1 Cable too 2 Cable too	I 5 Air percussion onventional) 6 D Boring	9 Driving 10 Digging		J		1960	282
³ ∐ Rotary (re 4 🗨 Rotary (ai	rversey ′ ∐ Diamond ir) ≋ ☐ Jetting	• L] Other		¥F	chgus Imi.	T 200	101
Name of Well Contr	actor	Well Contractor's Licence No.	> Data	58 Contracctor	59-62 Dai	te received	63-68
GRAHAM	WELL DRILLING	ATU 2336	Oate of inco	ection	J D S	EP 08	999
RRAJ K	OCKWOOD ANT	NOB-ZKO					
Name of Well Techr	nician III.	Well Technician's Licence No.	Remarks			.a. 1	
Signature of Technic	cian/Contractor	Submission date	SINI				
1 toth	hom	Q31 08 TY	Σ				

2 - MINISTER OF ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY COPY

0506 (07/94) Front Form 9

Well Tag No. (Place Sticker and/or Print Below)

Tag#: A139028

Regulation 903 Ontario Water Resources Act
Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

Measurements recorded in: 🕼 Metric 🗌 Imperial

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name)	rownship	17-		1	
65 81 Hwy 6 N	City/Town/Village	aratraxa 1~	Province	Postal	Code
	Fornelis		Ontario	NI	m 2 m 3
UTM Coordinates Zone , Easting , Northing	Municipal Plan and Sub	lot Number	Other		
NAD 8 3 1 7 5 4 8 5 0 8 4 8 4 0 2	21				
Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing	ng Record (see instructions on th	e back of this form)			
General Colour Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	1	Dep From	
Bee Saul				0	10.66
Drown sand			*****	101:	1927
Guay Clay + Stones				10.66	21.01
Gray Clay				29.87	32.30
Brown limest one				32.30	54.25
	19-19-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1				
Annular Space		Results of W	ell Yield Testii	ng	
Depth Set at ( <i>m/ft</i> ) Type of Sealant Used	Volume Placed	After test of well yield, water was:	Draw Down	n Re	ecovery
From To (Material and Type)	(m³/tt³)	Clear and sand free	( <i>min</i> ) ( <i>m/ft</i> )	evel Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
O 10 m Bentonite Gro	J · 25	If pumping discontinued give reason:	Static 70	NR	2320
			Level 30.	~~~	1 1.70
			1 31.0	25 1	32.56
		Pump intake set at (m/t)	2 31.	22 2	32.03
			3 21 4	70 3	31.77
Method of Construction	Well Use	The analysis of the second sec	- 57.5		11.14
Cable Tool Diamond Public	Commercial Not used	Duration of pumping	4 31,7	2 4	31.55
Rotary (Conventional) Jetting Domestic     Deriving Livestock	Test Hole Monitoring	hrs + O min	5 31.8	6 5	31.36
Boring Digging Irrigation	Cooling & Air Conditioning	Final water level end of pumping ()/ft)	10 77 -	<b>1</b> 0	3108
☐ Air percussion ☐ Industrial		33-78	52.5	2	0.04
	Statue of Wall	If flowing give rate (//min / GPM)	10 32.	60 15	30: 15
Inside Open Hole OR Material Wall Depth (n	/ft) Water Supply	Recommended pump depth (m/m)	20 32.	76 20	30.85
Diameter (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Thickness (cm/in) Constrate Plastic Stoc) (cm/in) From	To Replacement Well	175	25 32.	87 25	30.78
	Test Hole	Recommended pump rate	20 20 6		
13.4 Steel 188 :50	33.2 Dewatering Well	(I/min / GPM)	30 32.7		30,12
	Observation and/or	Well production (I/min / GPM)	40 33.1	<b>H</b> 40	30.62
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Monitoring Hole		50 33.	28 50	30.54
	(Construction)	Disinfected?	60 77 :	2 60	2047
	Abandoned, Insufficient Supply	Yes No	2.2.3		
Construction Record - Screen	Abandoned, Poor	Map of W	all Location	- h l.	<u></u>
Diameter Material Depth (m com/n) (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) Slot No. From	To Abandoned, other,	rease provide a map below following	Instructions on the	е раск.	1
	specify	k wen			1.5
					N
	Other, speciny	Him			
Water Details	Hole Diameter				
Water found at Depth Kind of Water: Fresh X Untested	Depth ( <i>m/ft</i> ) Diameter				
54 - 15 (m/ft) Gas Other, specify	From To (cm/in)		~		
Water found at Depth Kind of Water: Fresh Untested	a 33.2 22.8	6 84"			
( <i>m/ft</i> ) Gas Other, specify	3.2 54.25 15.6		$\mathbf{i}$		1,1
( <i>m/ft</i> ) Gas Other specify				/	41/
Wall Contractor and Wall Technician In			لا لا	1	
Business Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.		$\searrow$	Seg 6	, ,
Well Initiatives	7221			1 /	
Business Address (Street Number/Name)	Municipality	Comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
15 Townline	Owang-euille				
Province Postal Code Business E-mail Address	<i>o</i>				
UVV L 4 W 3 R 4	Nomo Eirot Nomo)	well owner's Date Package Delivered		stry Use	Only
51.1984671289		delivered		150	276
Well Technician's Licence No. Signature of Technician and/or Contra	Apr Date Submitted	Ves Date Work Completed	<b></b>	T J J	61U
- 10 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
TILI - Tozh	20130630	10 No 2013061	3 Received	000	0112

**APPENDIX H** 

Limitations of Report



# **STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS**

- 1. The work performed in this report was carried out in accordance with the Standard Terms of Conditions made part of our contract. The conclusions presented herein are based solely upon the scope of services and time and budgetary limitations described in our contract.
- 2. The report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted hydrogeological study and/or engineering practices. No other warranties, either expressed or implied, are made as to the professional services provided under the terms of our contract and included in this report.
- 3. The services performed and outlined in this report were based, in part, upon visual observations of the site and attendant structures. Our opinion cannot be extended to portions of the site which were unavailable for direct observation, reasonably beyond the control of CHUNG & VANDER DOELEN ENGINEERING LTD.
- 4. The objective of this report was to assess hydrogeological conditions at the site, within the context of our contract and hydrogeological assessment guidelines within the applicable jurisdiction. Evaluating compliance of past or future owners with applicable local, provincial and federal government laws and regulations was not included in our contract for services.
- 5. CHUNG & VANDER DOELEN ENGINEERING LTD. has relied in good faith on information and services provided by others while conducting the record search. We accept no responsibility for any deficiency, misstatements or inaccuracies contained in this report as a result of omission, misinterpretation or fraudulent acts of the services used.
- 6. It should be noted that the observations and recommendations presented in this report are limited to the actual locations explored. The information presented in terms of the thickness and types of the subsoils encountered, groundwater levels, and chemical testing results, etc., are only applicable to the actual locations explored. Variations may be present between these locations. Should significant variation become apparent during later investigations, it may be necessary to reevaluate the findings of this report.
- 7. The conclusions of this report are based in part, on the information provided by others. The possibility remains that unexpected environmental conditions may be encountered at the site in locations not specifically investigated. Should such an event occur, CHUNG & VANDER DOELEN ENGINEERING LTD. must be notified in order that we may determine if modifications to our conclusions are necessary.



**ENCLOSURES** 



# Soil Abbreviations and Terms Used on Record of Borehole Sheets

### TERMINOLOGY DESCRIBING COMMON SOIL TYPES:

Topsoil	<ul> <li>mixture of soil and humus capable of supporting vegetation</li> </ul>
Peat	<ul> <li>mixture of visible and invisible fragments of decayed organic matter</li> </ul>
Till	<ul> <li>unstratified glacial deposit which may range from clay to boulders</li> </ul>
Fill	<ul> <li>soil materials identified as being placed anthropologically</li> </ul>

### CLASSIFICATION (UNIFIED SYSTEM)

Clay	<0.002mm	
Silt	0.002 to .075mm	
Sand	0.075 to 4.75mm	
	Fine	0.075 to 0.425 mm
	Mediun	n 0.425 to 2.0 mm
	Coarse	2.0 to 4.75 mm
Gravel	4.75 to 75mm	
	Fine	4.75 to 19 mm
	Coarse	19 to 75 mm
Cobbles	75 to 300mm	
Boulders	>300mm	

### TERMINOLOGY

Soil Composition	% by Weight
"traces" "some"(eg. some silt) Adjective (eg. sandy) "and"(eg. sand and gravel)	<10% 10-20% 20-35% 35-50%

Standard Penetration Resistance (SPT): Standard Penetration Resistance ('N' Values) refers to the number of blows required to advance a standard (ASTM D1586) 51 mm Ø (2 inch) split-spoon sampler by the use of a free falling, 63.5 Kg (140lbs) hammer. The number of blows from the drop weight is recorded for every 15 cm (6 inches). The hammer is dropped from a distance of 0.76m (30 inches) providing 474.5 Joules per blow. When the sampler is driven a total of 45 cm (18 inches) into the soil, the standard penetration index ('N' Value) is the total number of blows for the last 30 cm (12 inches).

Dynamic Cone Penetration Resistance (DCPT): Dynamic Cone Penetration Resistance is similar to a SPT with the 474.5 Joule/blow impulse provided by the free falling hammer where the split-spoon sampler is replaced by a 51 mm Ø, 60° conical point and the number of blows is recorded continuously for every 30 cm (12 inches).

### COHESIVE SOILS CONSISTENCY

	(kPa)	(P.S.F.)	Nominal 'N' Value
Very Soft	<12	<250	0-2
Soft	12-25	250-500	2-4
Firm	25-50	500-1000	4-8
Stiff	50-100	1000-2000	8-15
Very Stiff	100-200	2000-4000	15-30
Hard	>200	>4000	>30

# **RELATIVE DENSITY OF COHESIONLESS SOIL**

	'N' Value
Very Loose	0-4
Loose	4-10
Compact	10-30 30-50
Very Dense	>50

MOISTURE CONDITIONS:				
Cohesive Soil	Cohesionless Soil			
DTPL- Drier than plastic limit	Damp			
APL- About plastic limit	Moist			
WTPL- Wetter than plastic limit	Wet			
MWTPL- Much wetter than plastic limit	Saturated			

# SAMPLE TYPES AND ADDITIONAL FIELD TESTS

SS AS	Split Spoon Sample (obtained from SPT) Auger Sample	GS BS TW	Grab Sample Bulk Sample Thin Wall Sample or Shelby Tube	PP VANE DMT	Pocket Penetrometer Peak & Remolded shear Flat Plate Dilatometer
LABO	RATORY TESTS				
SG	Specific Gravity	S	Sieve Analysis	W	Water Content
н	Hydrometer	Р	Field Permeability	Κ	Lab Permeability
Wp	Plastic Limit	W	Liquid Limit	l <sub>p</sub>	Plasticity Index
GŚA	Grain Size Analysis	С	Consolidation	ÚNC	Unconfined compression







1495 968 ST. DAVID STREET NORTH, FERGUS (SEPTEMBER 2024) GPJ CVD ENG GDT 10-1-24











CVD BOREHOLE (2017)



CVD BOREHOLE (2017)







CVD BOREHOLE (2017)









LAW GPJ (SEPTEMBER FFRGUS NORT EET DM - NO SPECIFICATIONS 1495 968 ST. DAVID STR






GPJ (SEPTEMBER FFRGUS NORTH EET DM - NO SPECIFICATIONS 1495 968 ST. DAVID STR